

GENETIC, AGRONOMIC, BOTANICAL, PHYSICAL,
CHEMICAL, AND ORGANOLEPTIC EVALUATION
OF PEANUTS, ARACHIS HYPOGAEA L.

By

ERIC GORDON STONE

Bachelor of Science
Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, Oklahoma
1955

Master of Science
Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, Oklahoma
1964

Submitted to the faculty of the Graduate College
of the Oklahoma State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
July, 1968

JAN 30 1969

GENETIC, AGRONOMIC, BOTANICAL, PHYSICAL,
CHEMICAL, AND ORGANOLEPTIC EVALUATION
OF PEANUTS, ARACHIS HYPOGAEA L.

Thesis Approved:

Ralph S. Matlock
Thesis Adviser

Lester W. Reed

Robert D. Morrison

Dallas E. Wadsworth

D. N. Durham
Dean of the Graduate College

696469

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to the Oklahoma State University, Department of Agronomy and to the Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station for furnishing assistantships and facilities which made the study possible.

A deep expression of thankfulness is extended to the author's adviser, Dr. R. S. Matlock, for competent guidance, and genuine enthusiasm during every phase of this research.

The author would like to take this opportunity to express appreciation for the assistance, guidance, encouragement, and personal interest given by the following members of the committee: Dr. R. D. Morrison, Dr. L. W. Reed, and Dr. D. Wadsworth. Sincere appreciation is given to Dr. J. E. Webster, the Department of Biochemistry, and the Department of Horticulture for assistance in these studies.

Finally, I would like to express appreciation to my wife, Creasia, for her encouragement and typing excellence; and to son, Erin, for his understanding during this study and preparation of dissertation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
Peanut Germ Plasm Resources	3
Plant Introductions	3
Genetics and Breeding	4
Mutations	7
Speciation	8
Origin and Early History	10
III. MATERIALS AND METHODS	13
Germ Plasm Evaluation	13
Experimental Procedure	14
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.	18
Germ Plasm Evaluation	18
Agronomic	19
Botanical	33
Physical	33
Organoleptic	43
Chemical	53
Genetic Study	56
Blunt Germ	56
Seed Shape	57
Chlorophyll Deficiency	57
Outcrossing	58
V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	59
Germ Plasm Evaluation	59
Genetic Study	62
LITERATURE CITED	64
APPENDIX A	68
APPENDIX B	147

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
I. Mean Squares for Yield (lbs/A) for Peanut Introductions Grown in 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	23
II. Mean Squares for Fruit Yield, Plant Height, Plant Width, Total Sound Mature Kernels, Sound Splits, Other Kernels, Damaged Kernels and Seed Size for 1967	26
III. Mean Squares for Percentages of Sound Mature Kernels of Peanut Introductions Grown in 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	28
IV. Mean Squares for Percentages of Sound Splits for Peanut Introductions Grown in 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	30
V. Mean Squares for Percentages of Other Kernels for Peanut Introductions Grown in 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	32
VI. Mean Squares for Seed Size (gms/100) for Peanut Introductions Grown in 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	35
VII. Mean Squares for Plant Heights (cm) for Peanut Introductions Grown in 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	38
VIII. Mean Squares for Plant Width (cm) for Peanut Introductions Grown in 1965 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb and in 1966 Near Perkins, Oklahoma	40
IX. Mean Squares for Leaflet Area (cm ²) for Peanut Introductions Grown in 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Station Near Perkins, Oklahoma	42
X. Mean Squares for Organoleptic Evaluation of Peanut Butter Samples From Peanut Introductions Grown in 1965 and 1966	45

Table	Page
XI. Mean Squares for Organoleptic Evaluation of Roasted Peanuts From Peanut Introductions Grown in 1965 and 1966	51
XII. Odor of Peanut Butter After Six Months Shelf Storage for Peanut Introductions Grown in 1965	54
XIII. Mean Squares for Percentages of Oil and Protein Content for Seed of Peanut Introductions Grown in 1965 Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	55

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Table	Page
I. Peanut Introductions: Summary of Data From the Plant Introduction Station Seed Catalog and the Test Grown in 1963 at the Agronomy Research Station Near Paradise, Oklahoma	70
II. Peanut Introductions: Summary of Data From the Preliminary Test Grown in 1964 at the Agronomy Research Station Near Perkins, Oklahoma	82
III. Peanut Introductions: P-No., P.I. No., Yield, Grade, Plant Height, Plant Width, Stem Color, Thrips Score, Leafspot Score, and Remarks for Peanut Introductions Grown Near Perkins in 1965	94
IV. Peanut Introductions: P-No., P.I. No., Yield, Plant Height, Plant Width, Stem Color, Thrips Score, and Remarks for Peanut Introductions Grown Near Perkins in 1965	103
V. Peanut Introductions: P-No., Plant Introduction No., Origin, Botanical Type, Growth Habit, Yield, Seed Per Pod, Plant Height, Plant Width, Testa Color, Maturity, Vigor, Thrips Score, Leafspot, Branching, and Remarks for New Peanut Introductions Grown Near Perkins in 1965	106
VI. Summary of Data From the Southern Regional Plant Introduction Station Seed Catalog, 1965 for the Peanut Introductions in Replicated Tests in 1965 and 1966	108
VII. Mean Thrips Scores for Introductions in 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	110
VIII. Daily Precipitation Recorded From May 1 Through October 31 on the Perkins Agronomy Research Station, 1964 and 1965	112
IX. Daily Precipitation Recorded From May 1 Through October 31 on the Perkins Agronomy Research Station, 1966 and 1967	113

Table	Page
X. Daily Precipitation Recorded From May 1 Through October 31 Near the Caddo Peanut Research Station, Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma, 1965 and 1966	114
XI. Precipitation Recorded From May 1 Through October 31 Near the Perkins and Ft. Cobb Agronomy Research Stations by 10 Day Intervals	115
XII. Summary for the Mean Yield for 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	116
XIII. Mean Yield, Total Sound Mature Kernels, Sound Splits, Other Kernels, Damaged Kernels, Seed Size, Plant Height and Width for 1967 at the Agronomy Research Station Near Perkins, Oklahoma	118
XIV. Summary for the Mean Percentage of Total Sound Mature Kernels for 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	119
XV. Summary for the Mean Sound Splits Percentage for 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	121
XVI. Summary for the Mean Percentage of Other Kernels for 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	123
XVII. Summary for the Mean Seed Size ₁ / (gms/100) for 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	125
XVIII. Summary for the Mean Plant Height in Centimeters for 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	127
XIX. Summary for the Mean Plant Width in Centimeters for 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	129
XX. Mean Leaflet Area (cm ²) of Peanut Introductions for 1964, 1965 and 1966 at the Agronomy Research Station Near Perkins, Oklahoma	131
XXI. Mean Percentage of Panel Members Scoring Peanut Butter Preference Rank, Peanut Butter Turn-Out, Seed Weight, Mean Rating of Other Characteristics and C1er Score, Roast and Rank of Roasted Peanuts in Organoleptic Studies involving 33 Peanut Introductions Grown Near Ft. Cobb and Perkins, 1965	133

Table

Page

XXII.	Mean Percentage of Panel Members Scoring Peanut Butter Preference Rank, Peanut Butter Turn-Out, Seed Weight, Mean Rating of Other Characteristics and Cier Score, Roast and Rank of Roasted Peanuts in Organoleptic Studies Involving 77 Peanut Introductions Grown Near Ft. Cobb and Perkins, 1966	137
XXIII.	Summary for the Mean Percentage of Oil and Protein for 1965 at the Agronomy Research Stations Near Perkins and Ft. Cobb, Oklahoma	145

LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Page
1. Rating Form for Evaluating Peanut Butter	148
2. Rating Form for Evaluating Roasted Peanuts	149

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The peanut is one of the leading agricultural crops of the world for the production of oil and protein, and it is considered one of the basic crops in the United States. It is an important cash crop in Oklahoma and the other Southern states. The production and use of peanuts is increasing and will continue to increase as researchers develop new varieties with more desirable end use characteristics.

Since the peanut is destined for human consumption, the quality of the peanuts for specific end uses is particularly important. The existing varieties need to be improved for such characteristics as superior mill turn-out, easy blanching, excellent flavor, long shelf life, and disease and insect resistance in addition to high yield, oil and protein.

The existing germ plasm is too narrow to select strains possessing characteristics that meet the needs of the grower, processor, and consumer. At the present there is no known strain in the germ plasm that meets all of these needs. The Starr peanut cultivar is the only one grown in the southwest that has resulted from hybridization.

Plant breeders need to determine the mode of inheritance of the many genetic characters on which this information is lacking. A knowledge of the mode of inheritance would be a great asset to the plant breeder in transferring certain traits to the present peanut

cultivars.

Very little has been published concerning the characteristics of the approximately 2,500 peanut introductions. A complete genetic, cytological, agronomic, taxonomic, physiological, physical, chemical and organoleptic evaluation is needed to identify the desirable characteristics of peanut accessions. The task must be accomplished as rapidly as possible for plant breeders to have a wide selection of genetic information to use in their breeding programs.

A preliminary screening of 519 peanut accessions on the Paradise and Perkins Agronomy Research Stations in 1963 and 1964 indicated considerable genetic diversity.

The objective of this study was to evaluate 74 peanut accessions with respect to genetic, agronomic, botanical, physical, chemical and organoleptic characteristics to provide critical information for future improvement.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The peanut plant has been cultivated for at least 3,000 years. The development of the peanut industry to the present position has been long and slow.

To the casual observer a crop with so many wild relatives and distinct cultivated types available may suggest that opportunities for breeding improved cultivars are unlimited. Actually, this has not been the case because of the unsolved problems facing plant breeders. Interspecific crosses have failed, genetic information on foreign introductions is incomplete, linkage information is lacking, and intraspecific crosses have often produced undesirable progeny. A few geneticists and plant breeders are attempting to study inter- and intraspecific crosses, evaluate plant introductions, and determine the most efficient breeding methods to use for peanuts.

Peanut Germ Plasm Resources

Plant Introductions

Plant introductions are an important part of agriculture in the United States since most of the important crop species have been introduced from other countries. Though there were earlier plant importations, the United States Department of Agriculture began formal

importation of economically important plants for possible use in the agriculture of the United States in 1898 (21, 22). Since 1936 the USDA has introduced cultivated peanuts from the peanut growing countries of the world and four expeditions have been made to South America to collect cultivated and wild peanuts in their native home (12). Bailey (2) outlined the procedure for making Arachis germ plasm available to scientists. Seed of peanut introductions are planted at Beltsville, Maryland and carefully screened for possible seed borne diseases which may be new to this country. After digging, some of the seeds are sent with descriptive information to the Regional Plant Introduction Station at Experiment, Georgia for distribution to interested plant breeders, storage, or seed increase for subsequent distribution and storage.

Harlan (17) stressed the importance of introductions and the need to preserve the present germ plasm of the world. Introductions which may not be suitable as varieties in themselves, may have suitable characteristics for breeding work. The present germ plasm of the world must be collected and maintained as the centers of diversity are rapidly disappearing. Germ plasm must be collected and preserved in world collections before it is too late and lost forever (17). The peanut industry is already utilizing cultivars derived from foreign introductions with Argentine and Dixie Spanish.

Genetics and Breeding

Gregory (12) gave three basic sources which plant breeders may use for genetic material in the breeding of peanuts. 1) Hereditary differences among the varieties of cultivated peanuts, 2) differences that may be created artificially by use of mutagens, and 3) differences

which occur among the wild relatives of the cultivated species. The hereditary differences among the varieties also applies to introductions.

A number of workers have reported on the genetics and breeding of peanuts. Van der Stok (45), as reported by Gregory (13), Hayes (18), and Hull (23), made a genetic study of peanuts as early as 1910. Van der Stok was the first to report an artificial cross of peanuts. He concluded that red testa color was dominant over pink color with a single factor difference responsible for the character. Despite great effort, little success has been achieved in peanut breeding. Gregory (13) contributed this slow progress to independent and isolated attempts of the small number of workers.

Hayes (18) made a study of 15 flower, vegetative, and seed characters in 45 cultivars of peanuts. He examined the possible correlations of all characters with each other. Eight of the 15 characters showed well-marked linkage or correlation with each other. Those influencing yield included length of leaves, length of petiole, length of rachis, length of sheath, width of leaves, corolla color, hairs on petiole, and number of seeds per plant. Hayes (18) stated that, "The relation between number of seeds and hairs on petiole is more important, and may be of immediate use in the selecting or breeding for high yielding qualities." Selection for higher yield could be made early in the growing season by selecting for high number of petiole hairs. Since the length of leaves and width of leaves are listed as influencing yield, it may be possible that leaf area would influence yield in the same manner.

Hayes (18) crossed two normal leaf types and obtained a normal

leaf type in the F_1 and segregation in the F_2 for mostly normal and a few "Crinkle." He attributed this to two complementary genes. Apparently, it was a different trait than the "Krinkle" mutant found in Texas (16). Hammons (16) reported that the mutant "Krinkle" was monogenic dominant for "Krinkle." "Crinkle" did not affect productivity of the plant, but "Krinkle" produced lower fruit yields than the normal type. In Oklahoma "Krinkle" produced 10 percent less than Argentine (44).

Hull (23) reported a summary of inheritance studies. The inheritance of rest periods in peanuts was multigenic. Seed length was studied in cross of Spanish and Runner. A single pair of major genes differentiated long and short seeds with the heterozygote being intermediate between the parents. The testa color of the above showed that russet color of Runner peanuts was a simple dominant to the tan color of Spanish peanuts. A number of crosses had yellow seedlings appearing among their progeny which indicated duplicate gene inheritance with the green being fully dominant.

Tripp (44) studied virescent seedlings, appearing in an introduction and found it to be a monogenic recessive. The virescent seedlings lacked chlorophyll and usually died within a short time.

The work of Stokes and Hull (43) showed that the cross of A. hypogaea x A. nambyguarae produce fertile F_1 hybrids that were about intermediate between the parent species. The red testa in A. nambyguarae was dominant to the russet and tan in A. hypogaea.

From inheritance studies involving several sources of the white testa, Hammons (15) concluded that two sets of duplicate genes,

$F_1f_1F_2f_2$ and $D_1d_1D_2d_2$, determined flesh-pigmented testa and the development or expression of color. In crosses involving Pearl or Philippine White as one of the parents the data supported a five-factor inheritance for white testa with red, Rr , behaving as a monogenic dominant to flesh. The genotype proposed for Pearl was $RR F_1F_1F_2F_2d_1d_1d_2d_2$ while that for Philippine White was $rr f_1f_1f_2f_2D_1D_1D_2D_2$.

Mutations

Gregory (10) studied several generations of NC 2 X-rayed seed. He found that the genetic variance among the radiated normals was four times that measured in the control progenies. In 1959 Gregory (11) released NC 4x which produced high yields with good fruit and seed quality. Gregory also obtained a considerable number of undesirable progeny by irradiation.

Cooper and Gregory (7) have made a study of the use of radiation for inducing resistance to leafspot. The disease scores indicated that the radiation-induced mutants had increased or decreased resistance. These studies showed that irradiation can be a useful means of obtaining genetic diversity.

The mutagen, diethyl sulfate, has been studied using two peanut cultivars (1). Diethyl sulfate proved to be an efficient mutagen. Varietal differences were noted in mutation yield and in physiological sensitivity. Most of the mutations induced were monogenic with all but one recessive or partially dominant. A few of the mutations in each cultivar were pleiotropic.

Peanut research in Oklahoma has shown that mutations occurred in hybridization studies (unpublished). A considerable number of

undesirable progeny were obtained from the crosses. Among the progeny were virescents, albinos, sterility, and very small leaflets.

Speciation

A number of authors have reported on the cytology and chromosome numbers of the genus Arachis. Gregory, et al. (13) stated that, "The genus Arachis itself, before 1839, consisted of only one species, the cultivated peanut A. hypogaea." For a long time the systematic position of the genus Arachis was a debatable question. Bentham (4) compared Arachis with several other genera that had similar growth habit. He listed six species of Arachis, five perennials in Brazil and A. hypogaea, an annual indigenous to tropical America. John, et al. (28) stated that 13 species of Arachis have been described by botanists, and that all cultivated forms belong to A. hypogaea.

Husted (24, 25, 26) studied the chromosome number of 33 species, cultivars and hybrids. These included Arachis nambyquarae, three strains of A. rasteiro, and 29 cultivars or hybrids of A. hypogaea. All of the plants studied except one had 20 haploid chromosomes or 40 diploid chromosomes. The chromosomes were found to appear the same in size as well as the same in number. The one exception, a strain of A. rasteiro, had a diploid complement of 41 chromosomes plus a fragment. He found that the chromosome association at meiosis is usually 20 normal bivalents with an occasional multivalent. Since the chromosome complements in this study were very similar, Husted (25) indicated a monophyletic origin. It is possible that the species are allopolyploids with autosyndesis as the usual method of chromosome pairing. The peanut is possibly an autopolyploid formed by the

doubling of the chromosomes (26). Stebbins (42) stated that the peanut is probably an allopolyploid or segmental allopolyploid. Gustafsson, et al. (14) stated that it is probably an amphidiploid with partial homology between chromosomes belonging to different chromosome sets.

Kawakami (30), in his listing of chromosome numbers in Leguminosae, listed the chromosome numbers for Arachis hypogaea as $n = 20$ or $2n = 40$. Senn (39) listed $2n = 40$ for various cultivars of Arachis hypogaea. For the nine species of Arachis listed by Darlington (8), four were $2n = 20$, four were $2n = 40$ and one as both $2n = 20$ and $2n = 40$. Raman, et al. (37) stated that 22 species of Arachis have been enumerated of which eight are diploids ($2n = 20$) and the remainder are tetraploids ($2n = 40$). Three diploids, A. duranensis, A. villosa, and A. sp. (A. 329), were studied in interspecific crosses with Arachis hypogaea (36). A. 329 is Raman's designation for the unidentified A. sp. From the chromosome conjugation (10 II & 10 I) in the synthetic allo-triploids, it was concluded that one genome of A. villosa and A. sp. (A. 329) are homologous with one of the two genomes of A. hypogaea ($2n = 40$).

Smartt (40) also studied the relationships between the cultivated peanut and other species of the genus Arachis. All the hybrids were sterile due to their triploid chromosome complement. Kumar, et al. (31) obtained a synthetic allohexaploid in Arachis by crossing A. hypogaea X A. villosa var. Correntina and doubling the chromosomes with colchicine. The cross was a sterile triploid that flowered profusely but did not set seed. After doubling the chromosomes with colchicine the plant was fertile and set seed. The chromosome

complement was ($2n = 60$) and had normal pairing of 30 II. Johansen, et al. (27) made a study of the cross Arachis hypogaea ($2n = 40$) X A. diogoi ($2n = 20$). No mature seed were formed, but the fruits developed to maturity. She made a cytological and histological study of the ovaries collected from one-half day to forty-four days after pollination. Fertilization had occurred and the hybrid embryos had developed for a time, but at a markedly slower rate than comparable A. hypogaea embryos and endosperms. The embryo continued to grow for a time, but death occurred when the embryo was crushed from the continued thickening of the integuments. The ovules then ceased growing and aborted.

It is now generally accepted that the cultivated peanut is a tetraploid. At present there are no contributions which show the homology of the diploids and the evolution of the cultivated peanut. It is possible that the cultivated peanut arose as an allopolyploid from the crossing of two related diploids with subsequent chromosome doubling. Since some diploid genomes will pair with one of the genomes of the tetraploid with occasional secondary pairing it is certainly within the realm of possibility.

Origin and Early History

The exact origin of the peanut, Arachis hypogaea L., is unknown but there is considerable evidence that it is indigenous to South America, especially Brazil. It was known in Brazil and Peru as early as 950 B.C. (19, 48). MacNeish (32) stated that the peanut appeared archaeologically in coastal Peru at about 1000 B.C. which was earlier than it had been found elsewhere. "Thus peanuts seem to have originated in western South America, arriving in southern Mexico at about A.D. 300" (32).

Johnson (29) gave some theories concerning the origin of the peanut. The chief botanical argument that the peanut was indigenous to Brazil was because its wild species were found only in South America. Many of the domesticated cultivars appeared similar to the existing wild peanuts, and all of the known species of peanuts still exist in Brazil in the wild state. Archaeologists have added to the theory of South American origin by finding several cultivars associated with mummies in Peru.

Another theory indicated that the peanut was indigenous to both Africa and South America (29). It was not mentioned by any of the ancient Greek, Latin, and Arab authors, so it does not seem probable that the theory was true (29). In 1882 Candolle argued,

... A genus with all its known species thus confined to a single region of America can hardly have a species common to both the New World and the Old. That would be too great an exception to a common principle of phytogeography (5).

Vavilov (46) listed the peanut as an endemic plant in the Brazilian-Paraguayan subdivision of the South American center of origin. Mors and Rizzini (35) listed the peanut as a native of Brazil.

During the past 100 years the range of wild species of the peanut were found over more than a million square miles of South America (29).

The peanut was introduced into Africa by the early explorers and missionaries (6, 48). In 1966 de Wit (47) stated that the peanut was introduced into Africa in the 16th century. According to Darlington (9) the peanut was taken from Brazil to Peru, Africa, and India by the Portuguese, and to the Philippines by the Spaniards. Higgins (19)

wrote that, "Both Portuguese and Spaniards probably carried peanuts to the East Indies during the early years of the 16th century."

According to Merrill (34) the primitive type of peanut found in the Peruvian tombs are commonly grown in Southern China, today. He reported that peanuts were taken there by Portuguese on the second trip to India from Brazil in 1500. The peanut was introduced into North America during colonial days by slave traders bringing slaves from Africa (48). The latter has been accepted as true, but some evidence indicated that it was grown in Mexico, Central America, and the West Indies before the arrival of the Europeans (19).

The peanut was not extensively grown in North America until after the Civil War in 1865 and then confined to Virginia and North Carolina (19, 20, 48).

CHAPTER III

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Germ Plasm Evaluation

The peanut germ plasm used for this study included 74 of the best performing accessions from preliminary screening tests conducted in 1963 and 1964 and the commercial cultivars, Argentine, Spantex, and Starr. The introductions (accessions) evaluated in 1963 near Paradise and 1964 near Perkins on the Agronomy Research Stations are listed in Appendix Tables I and II. Preliminary tests and the new introductions evaluated in 1965 on the Agronomy Research Station near Perkins are listed in Appendix Tables III, IV, and V. The seed catalog information for the 74 peanut introductions evaluated in 1965 and 1966 on the Agronomy Research Station near Perkins and the Caddo Peanut Research Station near Ft. Cobb is presented in Appendix Table VI. Selected entries were evaluated in 1967 and are listed in Appendix Table XIII.

The Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station Peanut Number (P-No.) was assigned to each peanut introduction received from the United States Department of Agriculture, New Crops Branch, Southern Regional Plant Introduction Station.

The soil type in the plot area near Perkins was Norge loam for 1964, 1965 and 1967 tests and a Vanoss loam for the 1966 test. The soil type in the plot area near Ft. Cobb was a Cobb fine sandy

loam for the 1965 and 1966 tests.

Experimental Procedure

Two hundred and fifty pounds per acre of 13-39-0 fertilizer was broadcast and worked into the soil prior to seeding at the Perkins Station. At the Ft. Cobb Station 200 pounds of 18-46-0 fertilizer was applied prior to plowing under a rye cover crop.

A randomized complete block design was used at both locations with two replications in 1965 and three replications in 1966 and 1967. Plantings were made at the rate of five seed per foot of row and approximately one and one-half to two inches deep. The rows were spaced 40 inches apart for tests near Perkins and 36 inches apart for tests near Ft. Cobb. Each plot near Perkins was two rows 19 feet long with four feet of alley between ranges and near Ft. Cobb each plot was two rows 20 feet long with four feet of alley between ranges.

During the growing seasons notes were recorded with respect to stand, vigor, time of bloom, incidence of diseases and insects, growth habit, plant height and width, and leaflet size. Stand and vigor were scored two to three weeks after emergence. The time of bloom was recorded as the date of first bloom. Diseases, including Cercospora leafspot scores, were obtained by periodic visual inspection of each plot. Insects, including thrips scores, were obtained by periodic visual inspection of each plot. The growth habit was determined in the latter part of the growing season by visual inspection of each plot. The plant height and width were determined from the mean of three randomly selected plants in each plot near to time of harvest.

The leaflet size was determined with an area-photometer. A microammeter reading was recorded and the area in cm^2 was determined from a previously constructed calibration curve. The leaflet size was then recorded as the mean of the 10 leaflets used. The upper left terminal leaflet from leaves obtained at the eighth node from the top of 10 randomly selected plants in each plot was measured.

The two-row plots were trimmed to 14 feet and 5 inches and 17 feet, respectively, in tests near Perkins and Ft. Cobb. The plots were then mechanically dug and allowed to cure in the windrow. The fruits were picked from the vines with a stationary picker modified for nursery plot work and after further curing in 50 pound mesh bags at ambient temperatures the peanuts were cleaned and weighed. The fruit yields per plot were then converted to pounds per acre of clean peanuts.

The grades for the accessions were determined on representative one pound plot samples by personnel of the Federal-State Inspection Service.

The oil and protein contents for samples of shelled peanuts from replication two of each entry were determined by personnel of the Department of Biochemistry.^{1/} The peanut butter samples organoleptically evaluated in this study were prepared as follows:

1. Approximately 65 grams of kernels were selected from the first replication of each entry.

^{1/}The oil and protein were determined by Dr. James E. Webster, Department of Biochemistry.

2. Each sample of whole raw peanuts was roasted to a golden brown color at 400°F. in a rotisserie oven equipped with a rotating basket made of one-quarter-inch hardware cloth.
3. After cooling, the roasted peanuts were blanched and split in a small laboratory splitter to facilitate the removal of the testa and embryo.
4. The testa and cotyledon were separated with an aspirator, and the embryos were removed using appropriate sieves. Some hand picking was necessary to remove damaged cotyledons, embryos, and occasional testa adhering to the cotyledons.
5. The roasted, blanched, and degermed cotyledons were weighed, 0.5 percent salt added and ground into peanut butter in a Laboratory Quaker Mill, Model 4-E grinder with a worm feed adapted for oily products.
6. The peanut butter was placed in a glass jar and capped with an aluminum lined lid for organoleptic evaluation and storage. After evaluation the peanut butter samples were stored on a shelf at room temperature for approximately six months to determine the shelf life of the samples. After storage the samples were rated by odor as good, moderate, or bad.

The peanut butter samples were rated four at a time as superior to, equal to, or inferior to the standard reference sample in odor and flavor by each of five panel members. The reference sample was prepared before each taste panel from Argentine peanuts. The mean preference rank for the peanut butter samples was obtained using the ranking assigned by the five panel members. Each sample was scored for odor, flavor, taste, roast, texture and dryness according to the scoring values in Figure 1.

The roasted peanuts organoleptically evaluated in this study were prepared as follows:

1. One hundred kernels were selected from the first replication of each entry.
2. Each sample of whole raw peanuts was roasted to a golden brown

color at 400°F. in a rotisserie oven equipped with a rotating basket made of one-quarter-inch hardware cloth.

3. After cooling, 20 peanuts were placed on number cards for each of the five panel members. Each of the 20 peanuts had an assigned number from one to 20.

The panel members rated the flavor and roast of one degermed cotyledon from each of the 20 peanuts according to the qualitative categories listed at the bottom of Figure 2. The mean preference rank for the roasted peanut samples was obtained using the ranking assigned by the five panel members.

The data were analyzed using the IBM 1410 computer system in 1965 and the IBM 7040 computer system in 1966. The F and t values were used as presented by Snedecor (41).

CHAPTER IV

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Germ Plasm Evaluation

Agronomic and physical data for 519 accessions grown in preliminary tests in 1963 and 1964, and 35 additional accessions received for evaluation in 1965 are summarized in Appendix Tables I through V. In 1964, 74 accessions were selected from the preliminary tests for further evaluation in replicated tests in 1965 and 1966. Selected accessions from these 74 were evaluated in replicated test in 1967.

The origin is known for 529 of the 554 accessions grown in 1963, 1964, and 1965. Most of the accessions came from Africa, 381 came from Northern Rhodesia and 17 came from other areas of Africa. Ninety six accessions came from South America, the center of origin for peanuts, and the remainder came from several countries.

In Appendix Table I, the remarks from the Plant Introduction Seed Catalog indicate considerable genetic variability. Many of the accessions are noted as having variable pods and seed.

The agronomic factors studied included stand, vigor, incidence of diseases and insects, fruit yield and grade of unshelled peanuts.

Botanical notes were obtained for growth habit and date of bloom.

Physical measurements were obtained and statistically analyzed

for seed size, plant height, plant width and leaflet area. Notes on lodging and soil shedding from the pods were obtained in 1966.

The organoleptic phase included preparation of peanut butter and roasted peanuts. Thirty three of the entries were evaluated in 1965 and 77 were evaluated in 1966. The odor rating after six months for shelf life of the peanut butter was determined for the entries grown in 1965.

The chemical phase included determination of oil and protein contents.

Agronomic

Stand and vigor were generally good with the exception of the 1963 test. Accessions of some plots had poor stand but poor stand did not account for all of the low yields. Plots were rated for stand and plant vigor two to three weeks after emergence.

Diseases. The major disease was *Cercospora* leafspot. Southern blight occurred in 1966 but was not a serious problem. In 1963, leafspot scores in July ranged from 0.5 to 3.5 on a scale of 0=no infection to 5=severe infection. Only three accessions (P-341, P-426, and P-486) scored above 2.0 on this date (Appendix Table I). In September the leafspot had increased to a range of 2.5 to 4.5 for most accessions. The accession P-856 had a very low leafspot score of 1.0. Fourteen of the 519 accessions had a leafspot score of 2.5 on September 21. None of the accessions tested in 1963 were immuned to *Cercospora* leafspot. The incidence of *Cercospora* leafspot was nil in 1964 and 1966. The leafspot scores for 1965 are listed in Appendix Table III.

Insects. The most noticeable insect damage was caused by thrips.

The thrips scores in 1963 (Appendix Table I) ranged from 0.5 to 3.0 on a scale of 0 = no damage to 4 = very severe damage. Most of the scores ranged from 1.0 to 2.0 which indicated that thrips were not serious in 1963. Thrips caused very little damage in 1964. The thrips scores for the 1965 preliminary tests are listed in Appendix Table III. The scores ranged from 1 to 9 on a scale of 0 = no damage to 9 = very severe damage. For most accessions the thrips scores were 6 to 9. The thrips scores for the new accessions received in 1965 are listed in Appendix Table IV. The thrips scores in this test ranged from 3 to 7.

The mean thrips scores for the replicated test in 1965 and 1966 are listed in Appendix Table VII. The mean thrips scores for the test in 1965 ranged from 2.0 (P-609, P-731) to 5.3 (P-889) on a scale of 0 = no damage to 9 = very severe damage. These genotypes were from Northern Rhodesia. In 1966, the mean thrips score ranged from 4.8 (P-301) to 6.3 (P-309). These genotypes were from Uruguay and Nyasaland, respectively. The mean thrips scores were higher in 1966 than those in 1965. The mean thrips scores for the two years ranged from 3.6 (P-4, P-609, P-731) to 5.7 (P-293).

Precipitation. The precipitation on the Agronomy Research Stations are listed in Appendix Tables VIII through XI. More precipitation was received on the Perkins Station in the 1965 and 1967 growing season but the distribution was very poor for these seasons. July was very dry in both years. In 1965, most of August was very dry and in 1967 the entire month was very dry. July was very dry on the Ft. Cobb Station in 1965 and 1966 but irrigation was used during the dry periods.

During the long dry period on the Perkins Station (four consecutive 10 day intervals from July 10 to August 18, 1965) it was noted that six peanut accessions appeared to have some drought tolerance based on the condition of the plants. As noted in Appendix Table III, P-349, P-350, P-382, and P-384 appeared to have fair drought tolerance while P-357 and P-364 appeared to have good drought tolerance. All of these are from Northern Rhodesia. Precipitation and its possible influence on agronomic and physical aspects are also discussed further.

Fruit and Kernel Yield. The mean fruit and kernel yields for the accessions grown in the 1963 preliminary test are listed in Appendix Table I. The mean fruit yield ranged from 164 (P-602, P-668, P-732, P-832) to 3270 pounds per acre (P-403, P-523). Some of the low yields could be attributed to poor stands, but some accessions with poor stands yielded more than others with better stands. The mean kernel yield for 1963 ranged from 63 (P-602) to 2135 pounds per acre (P-403).

The mean fruit and kernel yields for the accessions grown in the 1964 preliminary test are listed in Appendix Table II. The mean fruit yields ranged from 409 (P-602) to 3924 pounds per acre (P-552, P-678). The mean kernel yields ranged from 264 (P-602) to 2892 pounds per acre (P-552). Mean yields were generally higher in 1964 than in 1963.

The mean fruit yields for the accessions grown in the 1965 preliminary tests are listed in Appendix Table III and IV. The mean fruit yields ranged from 136 (P-599, P-835, P-866) to 3857 pounds per acre (P-416).

The mean fruit yields for the new accessions received and grown in 1965 are listed in Appendix Table V. The mean fruit yields ranged from

454 (P-950) to 3040 pounds per acre (P-972). The new accessions appeared to be as variable as the accessions grown previously.

Mean squares for fruit yields in the replicated tests in 1965 and 1966 and their combined analyses are listed in Table I. The mean fruit yields for the two years are listed in Appendix Table XII. The mean fruit yields at Perkins for 1965 ranged from 250 (P-819) to 1180 pounds per acre (P-529). The 1965 yields were considerably lower than the preliminary 1964 test. P-22, and P-529 were significantly higher in yield than the check Spantex (P-4), but none were significantly higher than Argentine (P-2) or Starr (P-6) at Perkins in 1965.

Precipitation distribution had a significant influence on the reduced 1965 yield. Although the total precipitation during the growing season was greater for 1965, the distribution was very poor (Appendix Tables VIII and XI). During July and August of 1965 there were more than 40 consecutive days with little or no precipitation. Good rains in late September were too late for the recovery from stress caused by drought. The precipitation for the 1966 growing season was less than for 1965 but the distribution was considerably better. Adequate precipitation came in July and August at the peak of the growing season (Appendix Tables VIII and XI).

The high temperatures accompanying the long, dry period during July and August probably affected the yield by reducing fertilization. Beer (3) found that high temperatures reduced pollen viability and caused longer style growth.

The mean fruit yield at Perkins in 1966 ranged from 559 (P-340) to 1936 pounds per acre (P-22). The mean for 1966 was nearly twice the

TABLE I

MEAN SQUARES FOR YIELD (lbs/A) FOR PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN IN 1965 AND 1966
AT THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Source of Variation	DF		Perkins		Ft. Cobb	
	1965	1966	1965	1966	1965	1966
Rep.	1	1	395166.23**	321663.37**	102395.07	3081600.03**
P.I.	76	76	73693.68**	264940.38**	643406.99**	879460.23**
Error	76	152	22348.28	58717.41	185776.82	149565.10
Mean			575.6	1065.2	2389.0	2064.4
LSD .05			296.0	391.7	853.4	625.2
CV (%)			26.0	22.7	18.0	18.7

Combined Analyses

Source of Variation	DF		1965	1966	Source of Variation	DF	Perkins	Ft. Cobb
	1965	1966						
Location	1	1	2531942.96**	115315927.00**	Year	1	1134651.04**	9735740.79**
Rep. in Loc.(Error)	2	4	248837.09	1701654.20				
P.I.	76	76	489044.56**	876246.91**	P.I.	76	262834.39**	1205659.09**
Loc. x P.I.	76	76	228057.63**	268154.89**	Yr.x P.I.	76	352711.71**	317569.99**
Error	152	304	104060.93	104140.63	Error	231	45989.25	159536.51
Mean			1482.3	1564.8			820.4	2226.7
LSD .05			451.6	367.0			267.2	497.7
CV (%)			21.8	20.6			26.1	17.9

*Indicates significance at the one percent level.

mean for 1965 (Appendix Table XII). None of the accessions were significantly higher than the three check varieties in 1966. In the combined analyses of both years P-22 was significantly higher in yield than the three check varieties.

The differences among genotypes for mean fruit yields at Perkins in 1965 and 1966 were highly significant. In the combined analyses (Table I) the difference between years and among accessions were highly significant. A significant year x accession interaction occurred at both Perkins and Ft. Cobb (Table I). This would indicate that the genotypes did not respond the same to the environment of each year.

The mean fruit yield at Ft. Cobb for 1965 (Appendix Table XII) ranged from 854 (P-675) to 3844 pounds per acre (P-4). The mean fruit yield for 1966 ranged from 996 (P-885) to 2961 pounds per acre (P-634). The differences among accessions were highly significant in each year and in the combined analyses for both years. P-2, P-4, and P-6 had the highest yield at Ft. Cobb in 1965. P-560 was significantly higher in yield than P-6, but none were significantly higher than P-2 or P-4 in yield at Ft. Cobb in 1966. In the combined analyses of both years none of the accessions were higher in yield than the three varieties (P-2, P-4 or P-6). The difference in years was significant at the one percent level. The year x accession interaction was highly significant.

In the combined analyses the highly significant difference between locations was expected since one location received supplemental irrigation.

The mean fruit yields for the four test years ranged from 774

(P-885) to 2237 pounds per acre (P-22). The mean yield for the three cultivars used as check was 2136 pounds per acre.

In the combined analyses the differences among accessions were significantly different at the one percent level for the two locations in each of the years. The location x accession interaction was highly significant for both 1965 and 1966. This genotype x environment interaction was highly significant in each of the years and should be considered when evaluating strains for adaptation.

The mean fruit yields for the selected accessions grown in 1967 are listed in Appendix Table XIII. The mean yields ranged from 1134 (P-22) to 1439 pounds per acre (P-678). P-22 had the highest yield at Perkins in 1966 and the lowest yield in 1967.

The variances for fruit yields in 1967 are listed in Table II. The mean yields did not differ significantly in 1967.

Sound Mature Kernels. The mean percentages of total sound mature kernels for the preliminary tests grown in 1963 and 1964 are listed in Appendix Tables I and II. The mean total sound mature kernels ranged from 27.5 percent (P-602) to 77.2 percent (P-605) in 1963 and from 42.1 percent (P-384) to 75.6 percent (P-598) in 1964. These accessions were from Northern Rhodesia. The mean percentages of total sound mature kernels for the preliminary tests grown in 1965 are listed in Appendix Table III. The mean total sound mature kernels ranged from 29.7 percent (P-326) to 77.5 percent (P-596).

The mean total sound mature kernels for the replicated tests grown in 1965 and 1966 are listed in Appendix Table XIV. The mean total sound mature kernels ranged from 22.0 percent (P-808) to 65.0 percent (P-678) for Perkins in 1965 and from 54.5 percent (P-419)

TABLE II

MEAN SQUARES FOR FRUIT YIELD, PLANT HEIGHT, PLANT WIDTH, TOTAL SOUND MATURE KERNELS,
SOUND SPLITS, OTHER KERNELS, DAMAGED KERNELS AND SEED SIZE FOR 1967

Source of Variation	DF	Fruit Yield (lb/A)	Plant Height (cm)	Plant Width (cm)
Rep.	2	67664.43*	14.40	2.80
P.I.	9	25254.98	23.78*	68.91*
Error	18	14751.25	7.99	19.43
Mean		1272.0	36.1	69.5
LSD .05		N.S.	4.8	7.6
CV (%)		9.6	8.1	6.3

Source of Variation	DF	Total SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)	DK (%)	Seed Size gms/100
Rep.	1	0.20	0.20	6.05	1.25	7.94
P.I.	9	19.80**	9.42**	3.80	2.56	42.80**
Error	9	2.31	1.64	1.72	2.03	3.24
Mean		62.2	5.1	8.8	1.9	37.9
LSD .05		3.4	2.9	N.S.	N.S.	4.1
CV (%)		2.4	25.1	15.0	75.0	4.8

*Indicates significance at the five percent level.

**Indicates significance at the one percent level.

to 68.0 percent (P-567, P-739) in 1966. The range was from 59.5 percent (P-25) to 78.5 percent (P-600) for Ft. Cobb in 1965, and from 65.0 percent (P-739, P-846) to 74.5 percent (P-309) in 1966.

The mean squares for total sound mature kernels are listed in Table III. There was a significant difference among accessions at the one percent level for both years at Perkins and Ft. Cobb. In the combined analyses there were significant differences among accessions for years and for locations. There was a significant interaction of location x accession for both years, and a significant interaction of years x accession for both locations. The accessions not performing the same at each of the locations can be illustrated by the fact that P-739 had the highest percentage of total sound mature kernels at Perkins and the lowest at Ft. Cobb in 1966.

The means for total sound mature kernels in 1967 are listed in Appendix Table XIII. The mean squares for 1967 are listed in Table II. The means ranged from 56.0 percent (P-609) to 66.0 percent (P-567). There were significant differences among accessions for mean percentages of total sound mature kernels. P-567 was significantly higher than P-4, but none of the entries were significantly higher than P-2 or P-6.

Sound Splits. The mean percentages of sound splits for the preliminary tests grown in 1963 and 1964 are listed in Appendix Tables I and II. The mean sound splits ranged from 0.2 (P-381, P-507) to 13.8 percent (P-670, P-725) in 1963 and from 0.1 (P-419, P-501, P-606) to 14.0 percent (P-4) in 1964. The mean percentages of sound splits for the preliminary tests grown in 1965 are listed in Appendix

TABLE III

MEAN SQUARES FOR PERCENTAGES OF SOUND MATURE KERNELS OF PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN IN 1965 AND 1966
AT THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Source of Variation	DF	Perkins		Ft. Cobb	
		1965	1966	1965	1966
Rep.	1	246.92*	224.65**	10.92*	1.88
P.I.	76	132.62**	72.57**	15.71**	9.90**
Error	76	37.26	10.28	2.46	5.65
Mean		45.4	61.8	71.6	69.9
LSD .05		12.1	6.4	3.1	4.7
CV (%)		13.4	5.2	2.2	3.4

Combined Analyses

Source of Variation	DF	1965	1966	Source of Variation	DF	Perkins	Ft. Cobb
Location	1	52730.15**	4968.08*	Year	1	20735.18**	230.45**
Rep. in Loc.(Error)	2	128.92	113.26				
P.I.	76	83.84**	48.77**	P.I.	76	143.66**	21.06**
Loc. x P.I.	76	64.48**	33.71**	Yr. x P.I.	76	62.20**	5.84*
Error	152	19.86	7.97	Error	154	23.46	4.00
Mean		58.5	65.8			53.6	70.7
LSD .05		6.2	3.9			6.8	2.8
CV (%)		7.6	4.3			9.1	2.8

*Indicates significance at the five percent level.

**Indicates significance at the one percent level.

Table III. The mean sound splits ranged from 0.0 (P-326, P-799, P-924) to 15.0 percent (P-350).

The mean percentages of sound splits for the replicated tests grown in 1965 and 1966 are listed in Appendix Table XV. Sound splits ranged from 0.0 to 4.5 percent (P-731) for Perkins in 1965 and from 0.0 to 3.5 percent (P-17) in 1966. A number of accessions at Perkins did not have splits in 1965 or 1966. The range was from 1.0 to 8.0 percent for Ft. Cobb in 1965 and 0.0 to 10.5 percent (P-4) in 1966. A number of accessions at Ft. Cobb did not have splits in 1966.

The mean squares for sound splits are listed in Table IV. There were highly significant differences among accessions for the mean percentages of sound splits for both years at Perkins and Ft. Cobb. In the combined analyses significant interactions of location x accessions and year x accessions were obtained.

The mean percentages of sound splits in 1967 are listed in Appendix Table XIII. The mean squares for 1967 are listed in Table II. The means ranged from 2.0 (P-567) to 9.0 percent (P-4). There was a significant difference among accessions for mean percentages of sound splits. P-567 had significantly fewer sound splits than the three check cultivars. P-567 consistently had fewer sound splits than the three check cultivars in 1965 and 1966. It also had one of the higher percentages of sound mature kernels. P-567 appears to be a genotype that would be useful in a peanut breeding program.

Other Kernels. The mean percentages of other kernels for the preliminary tests grown in 1963 and 1964 are listed in Appendix Tables I and II. The mean percentage of other kernels ranged from 1.3 (P-447)

TABLE IV

MEAN SQUARES FOR PERCENTAGES OF SOUND SPLITS FOR PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN IN 1965 AND 1966
AT THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Source of Variation	Perkins		Ft. Cobb	
	1965	1966	1965	1966
Rep.	0.01	6.65**	3.44	0.32
P.I.	0.98**	1.25**	5.83**	6.16**
Error	0.18	0.37	1.84	1.06
Mean	0.4	1.2	3.9	3.4
LSD .05	0.8	1.2	2.7	2.0
CV (%)	110.0	51.3	35.0	30.4

Combined Analyses

Source of Variation	DF	1965	1966	Source of Variation	DF	Perkins	Ft. Cobb
Location	1	939.75**	368.73**	Year	1	50.73**	18.75**
Rep. in Loc.(Error)	2	1.72	3.48	P.I.	76	1.64**	9.16**
P.I.	76	4.16**	4.89**	Yr. x P.I.	76	0.60**	2.83**
Loc. x P.I.	76	2.65**	2.51**	Error	154	0.27	1.43
Error	152	1.01	0.71				
Mean		2.1	2.3			0.8	3.6
LSD .05		1.4	1.2			0.7	1.7
CV (%)		47.2	36.9			65.6	33.4

**Indicates significance at the one percent level.

to 14.3 percent (P-589) in 1963 and from 1.3 (P-409) to 22.9 percent (P-513) in 1964. The mean percentages of other kernels for the preliminary tests grown in 1965 are listed in Appendix Table III. The mean percentages of other kernels ranged from 0.8 (P-34) to 21.6 percent (P-326).

The mean percentages of other kernels for the replicated tests grown in 1965 and 1966 are listed in Appendix Table XVI. The mean percent of other kernels ranged from 4.5 (P-824) to 37.0 percent (P-606) for Perkins in 1965 and from 3.0 (P-887) to 52.0 percent (P-25) in 1966.

The mean squares for other kernels are listed in Table V. There were significant differences among accessions for the mean percentages of other kernels at the one percent level for both years at Perkins and Ft. Cobb. In the combined analyses there was a significant interaction of location x accession for both years. The year x accession interaction was significant at Perkins, but not for the irrigated test near Ft. Cobb.

The mean percentages of other kernels in 1967 are listed in Appendix Table XIII. The mean squares for 1967 are listed in Table II. The means ranged from 7.0 (P-6, P-567) to 11.5 percent (P-22). Mean percentages of other kernels in 1967 were not statistically significant.

Damaged Kernels. There was little or no damaged kernels in any of the tests. In 1965 damage was significant at Perkins but not at Ft. Cobb. There was no damage for most accessions, but 16 of the 77 ranged from 0.5 percent to 1.5 percent. The damage was not extensive but was significant at the five percent level. No damaged kernels

TABLE V

MEAN SQUARES FOR PERCENTAGES OF OTHER KERNELS FOR PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN IN 1965 AND 1966
AT THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Source of Variation	DF	Perkins		Ft. Cobb	
		1965	1966	1965	1966
Rep.	1	0.02	9.88	0.23	8.89*
P.I.	76	44.57**	66.63**	7.21**	5.00**
Error	76	4.39	4.34	0.97	2.07
Mean		11.4	7.9	2.8	4.7
LSD .05		4.2	4.1	2.0	2.9
CV (%)		26.1	26.4	35.1	30.7

Combined Analysis

Source of Variation	DF	1965	1966	Source of Variation	DF	Perkins	Ft. Cobb
Location	1	5622.90**	785.92*	Year	1	943.25**	277.97**
Rep. in Loc.(Error)	2	0.13	9.38				
P.I.	76	36.49**	43.99**	P.I.	76	80.97**	10.27**
Loc. x P.I.	76	15.29**	27.63**	Yr.x P.I.	76	27.62**	1.95
Error	152	2.68	3.21	Error	231	4.31	1.50
Mean		7.1	6.3			9.7	3.8
LSD .05		2.3	2.5			2.9	1.7
CV (%)		23.1	28.5			21.6	32.2

*Indicates significance at the five percent level.

**Indicates significance at the one percent level.

occurred in 1966. Damaged kernels ranged from 0.0 to 4.0 percent in 1967 (Appendix Table XIII) and were not statistically significant.

Botanical

Growth Habit. Most of the accessions grown in 1965 and 1966 were Spanish (Appendix Table I). However, one was a Runner (P-25), and three appeared to be Valencia. P-501 and P-807 were classified as Valencia, but the Plant Introduction Seed Catalog listed them as Spanish. P-516 was a Valencia listed as a Virginia Bunch in the Plant Introduction Seed Catalog.

Date of Bloom. The date of bloom was recorded for the replicated tests in 1965 and 1966. The date of first bloom was similar for the accessions in each test. The date of first bloom at Perkins was 27-30 days after planting. At Ft. Cobb the date of first bloom occurred 30-35 days after planting.

Physical

Seed Size. The seed size for the accessions grown in the 1963 preliminary test are listed in Appendix Table I. The seed size information in the Plant Introduction Seed Catalog was recorded as grams per 100 seed or small, medium, and large.

Information on seed size taken from the Plant Introduction Seed Catalog for the accessions in the 1965 and 1966 replicated tests is listed in Appendix Table VI. The mean seed size for the replicated tests grown in 1965 and 1966 are listed in Appendix Table XVII. The mean seed size ranged from 22.8 (P-22) to 39.7 grams per 100 seed (P-819) for Perkins in 1965 and from 30.4 (P-25) to 48.5 grams per

100 seed (P-839) for Perkins in 1966. For both years combined seed size ranged from 28.0 (P-17) to 42.7 grams per 100 seed (P-819). The mean seed size ranged from 24.3 (P-17) to 56.4 grams per 100 seed (P-824) for Ft. Cobb in 1965 and from 32.5 (P-512) to 50.9 grams per 100 seed (P-824) for Ft. Cobb in 1966. For both years combined the seed size ranged from 32.6 (P-512) to 53.6 grams per 100 seed (P-824). The means for four tests ranged from 30.5 (P-512) to 47.8 grams per 100 seed (P-824).

The mean squares for seed size are listed in Table VI. There were highly significant differences for mean seed size among accessions for both years at Perkins and Ft. Cobb. In the combined analyses there were highly significant differences for mean seed size among accessions for years and locations.

The mean seed sizes were significantly different between years at Perkins and Ft. Cobb. There was a significant interaction of location x accession each of the two years and a significant interaction of years x accessions for each of the two locations. There was a large variation among the accessions for seed size as is indicated by the means. Mean seed sizes for accessions appeared to be influenced by the environment as is indicated by the genotype x environment interaction.

The mean seed sizes for the accessions grown in 1967 are listed in Appendix Table XIII. The mean seed sizes ranged from 32.7 (P-4) to 47.5 grams per 100 seed (P-861).

The mean squares for seed size in 1967 are listed in Table II. Highly significant differences were obtained among mean seed sizes

TABLE VI

MEAN SQUARES FOR SEED SIZE (gms/100) FOR PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN IN 1965 AND 1966
AT THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Source of Variation	DF	Perkins		Ft. Cobb	
		1965	1966	1965	1966
Rep.	1	19.22**	45.60**	1.04	6.08
P.I.	76	21.29**	43.47**	53.40**	41.01**
Error	76	3.11	2.12	3.64	3.02
Mean		29.6	37.9	43.3	42.0
LSD .05		3.5	2.9	3.8	3.5
CV (%)		6.0	3.8	4.4	4.1

Combined Analysis

Source of Variation	DF	1965	1966	Source of Variation	DF	Perkins	Ft. Cobb
Location	1	14464.45**	1299.29**	Year	1	5304.53**	130.13**
Rep. in Loc.(Error)	2	10.14	25.84				
P.I.	76	54.65**	72.77**	P.I.	76	32.47**	72.40**
Loc. x P.I.	76	20.05**	11.71**	Yr.x P.I.	76	13.30**	7.00**
Error	152	3.38	2.57	Error	154	2.58	3.29
Mean		36.4	40.0			33.8	42.6
LSD .05		2.6	2.2			2.2	2.5
CV (%)		5.0	4.0			4.8	4.3

*Indicates significance at the five percent level.

**Indicates significance at the one percent level.

for accessions grown in 1967. Starr (P-6) was the largest of the three varieties. Two accessions (P-567 and P-861) had significantly larger seed than P-6. Spantex (P-4) was the only entry with significantly smaller seed than P-6.

Plant Height. The mean plant heights for the preliminary test grown in 1964 are listed in Appendix Table II. The mean heights ranged from 28 (P-528, P-595, P-612, P-747, P-837) to 56 centimeters (P-491, P-808). Eighty two percent of the means in this test ranged from 30 to 40 centimeters in height. The mean plant heights for the preliminary tests in 1965 are listed in Appendix Tables III and IV. The mean heights ranged from 30 (P-841) to 61 centimeters (P-737). Nine accessions were nearly as tall as P-737 with a height of 58 centimeters. Sixteen percent of the accessions ranged from 30 to 40 centimeters in height. The mean heights for new accessions received in 1965 ranged from 20 meters to 48 centimeters.

The mean plant heights for the replicated tests in 1965 and 1966 are listed in Appendix Table XVIII. The mean heights ranged from 22 (P-25) to 50 centimeters (P-532) for Perkins 1965 and from 30 (P-447) to 53 centimeters (P-477) for Perkins 1966. The combined means for the two years ranged from 27 (P-25) to 50 centimeters (P-477). The mean plant heights ranged from 46 (P-25) to 88 centimeters (P-516) for Ft. Cobb in 1965 and from 53 (P-447) to 86 centimeters (P-477, P-598) for Ft. Cobb in 1966. The combined means for the two years ranged from 50 (P-447) to 82 centimeters (P-598). The mean plant heights of the four tests ranged from 40 (P-25) to 65 centimeters (P-477). The mean plant heights in the irrigated Ft. Cobb tests were 66

centimeters compared with 38 for the non-irrigated Perkins tests.

The mean squares for replicated tests grown in 1965 and 1966 are listed in Table VII. The differences in mean plant heights among accessions were highly significant in 1965 and 1966 at Perkins and Ft. Cobb. In the combined analyses there were significant differences among accessions for both years and locations. The variance for the interaction location x accession was significant in 1966, but not in 1965. The year x accession interaction was significant for both locations. The large mean squares obtained for location, year and P. I. indicated these sources of variation had more important influences on plant heights than the interactions.

The mean plant heights for the accessions grown in 1967 are listed in Appendix Table XIII. The mean plant heights ranged from 30 (P-22) to 40 centimeters (P-861). The mean squares for plant height are listed in Table II. The differences in mean plant heights for the accessions grown in 1967 were significant. None of the accessions were significantly taller than the three check cultivars.

Plant Width. The mean plant widths for the preliminary tests in 1965 are listed in Appendix Tables III and IV. The mean plant widths in 1965 ranged from 46 (P-826) to 127 centimeters (P-458). The plant widths for the new accessions received in 1965 are listed in Appendix Table V. They ranged from 61 (P-941) to 114 centimeters (P-977).

The mean plant widths for the replicated tests in 1965 and 1966 are listed in Appendix Table XIX. The mean plant widths ranged from 50 (P-315, P-415) to 89 centimeters (P-501) for Perkins 1965 and from

TABLE VII

MEAN SQUARES FOR PLANT HEIGHTS (cm) FOR PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN IN 1965 AND 1966
AT THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Source of Variation	DF		Perkins		Ft. Cobb	
	1965	1966	1965	1966	1965	1966
Rep.	1	2	81.10**	272.90**	554.06**	37.94
P.I.	76	76	53.61**	50.77**	129.29**	151.68**
Error	76	152	8.39	15.10	49.35	40.13
Mean			36.3	39.6	63.2	68.8
LSD .05			5.6	6.4	14.0	10.2
CV (%)			7.9	9.8	11.1	9.2

Combined Analyses

Source of Variation	DF		1965	1966	Source of Variation	DF	Perkins	Ft. Cobb
	1965	1966						
Location	1	1	55176.41**	98584.32**	Year	1	1006.24**	2897.66**
Rep. in Loc.(Error)	2	4	317.55	155.48				
P.I.	76	76	144.45**	159.16**	P.I.	76	101.10**	188.24**
Loc. x P.I.	76	76	38.45	43.29**	Yr.x P.I.	76	17.67*	65.91**
Error	152	304	28.84	27.61	Error	231	12.69	42.65
Mean			49.8	54.1			38.0	66.0
LSD .05			7.6	6.1			4.4	8.1
CV (%)			10.8	9.7			9.4	9.9

*Indicates significance at the five percent level.

**Indicates significance at the one percent level.

53 (P-370) to 90 centimeters (P-477) for Perkins 1966. The combined means for the two tests at Perkins ranged from 52 (P-370) to 89 centimeters (P-477). The mean plant widths ranged from 107 (P-675) to 137 centimeters (P-471, P-501) for Ft. Cobb 1965. The plant widths were not obtained at Ft. Cobb in 1966. The mean for the three tests ranged from 74 (P-614) to 105 centimeters (P-501). The plants in the irrigated Ft. Cobb test were about 60 centimeters wider than those in the dryland Perkins test. The condition was similar for plant height. The tall plants at Ft. Cobb lodged, but four accessions (P-301, P-561, P-634, P-808) remained erect. Short narrow plant types like P-447 and P-614 may be more desirable for growing under irrigation. There were seven accessions with shorter plants than P-2 at Ft. Cobb in 1965 and 32 shorter than P-2 in 1966.

The mean squares for plant width are listed in Table VIII. The differences in mean plant widths were highly significant among accessions for each year at Perkins, but they were not significantly different at Ft. Cobb in 1965. In the combined analyses there was a significant difference among accessions for 1965. There was a significant difference between locations in 1965. There was a significant difference between years at Perkins even though the mean plant widths were similar for the two years. This most likely resulted from the greater moisture stress in 1965. The plant width variance for location x accession interaction was significant in 1965. The accessions responded differently at each location in 1965 for plant width but not for plant height. The year x accession interaction was not significant at Perkins. The severe moisture stress in 1965

TABLE VIII

MEAN SQUARES FOR PLANT WIDTHS (cm) FOR PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN IN 1965 AT THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR PERKINS AND FT. COBB AND IN 1966 NEAR PERKINS, OKLAHOMA

Source of Variation	DF		Perkins		Ft. Cobb
	1965	1966	1965	1966	1965
Rep.	1	2	1560.51**	730.71**	1402.96**
P.I.	76	76	192.39**	157.23**	85.55
Error	76	152	48.26	57.23	68.19
Mean			62.7	65.5	123.2
LSD .05			13.7	12.2	N.S.
CV (%)			11.0	11.5	6.7

Combined Analyses

Source of Variation	DF	1965	Source of Variation	DF	Perkins
Location	1	280594.08**	Year	1	724.42**
Rep. in Loc.(Error)	2	1481.80	P.I.	76	316.53**
P.I.	76	159.42**	Year x P.I.	76	8.60
Loc. x P.I.	76	118.58**	Error	231	53.53
Error	152	58.19			
Mean		93.0			64.1
LSD .05		10.7			9.1
CV (%)		8.2			11.4

**Indicates significance at the one percent level.

probably contributed to the difference between years, but the accessions responded similarly within years at Perkins.

The mean plant widths for the accessions grown in 1967 are listed in Appendix Table XIII. The mean plant widths ranged from 62 (P-22) to 75 centimeters (P-678). The mean squares for plant width are listed in Table II. The mean plant widths for the accessions grown in 1967 were significantly different. None of the accessions were significantly wider than the three varieties, but one accession (P-22) was narrower than P-2 and P-6.

Leaflet Area. The mean leaflet areas (per leaflet) for the preliminary test grown in 1964 are listed in Appendix Table II. The mean leaflet area ranged from 6.0 (P-343) to 22.7 square centimeters (P-676). The mean leaflet areas for the replicated tests grown at Perkins in 1965 and 1966 and the 1964 accessions common to the replicated tests are listed in Appendix Table XX. The mean leaflet area in 1964 for the 77 accessions ranged from 7.8 (P-25) to 17.5 square centimeters (P-675). The mean leaflet area for the replicated tests ranged from 7.8 (P-25) to 20.2 square centimeters (P-340) in 1965 and from 4.0 (P-25) to 18.3 square centimeters (P-608, P-609) in 1966. The mean leaflet area for the three test years ranged from 6.5 (P-25) to 16.8 square centimeters (P-608) per leaflet.

The mean squares for leaflet area are listed in Table IX. The mean leaflet areas for the accessions grown in 1965 and 1966 were significantly different. None of the accessions had significantly smaller leaflet areas than the three varieties and six were significantly greater than P-2 in 1965. One accession was significantly less than

TABLE IX

MEAN SQUARES FOR LEAFLET AREA (cm²) FOR PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN IN 1965 AND 1966
AT THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATION NEAR PERKINS, OKLAHOMA

Source of Variation	DF		Perkins	
	1965	1966	1965	1966
Rep.	1	2	238.13**	48.78**
P.I.	76	76	12.02**	12.32**
Error	76	152	6.69	4.74
Mean			15.1	14.2
LSD .05			5.2	3.5
CV (%)			17.2	1.5

**Indicates significance at the one percent level.

P-4 and three were significantly greater than P-6 in 1966.

Hayes (18) reported significant positive correlations for length, and width of peanut leaves with the number of seed per plant. Since the number of seed influences fruit yield, and length and width of leaves is related to leaflet area, it is possible that fruit yield and leaflet area may be correlated. Leaflet area per se in these studies did appear to be related to yield. However, the data were not taken on the leaflet area per plant and no conclusions can be made concerning the relationship of yield and leaflet area. These studies do establish that differences exist in leaflet areas among accessions to expect that progress can be made using this character in a breeding program.

Soil Shedding. A visual inspection was made of the fruit after digging the replicated test in 1966, to determine the amount of soil shedding from the fruit. Six accessions (P-340, P-397, P-447, P-532, P-560, P-808) had good soil shedding at Perkins and Ft. Cobb. Eight accessions (P-17, P-22, P-304, P-317, P-529, P-577, P-731, P-739) had poor soil shedding at both locations. Other accessions ranged from good to fair or fair to poor rating. The soil shedding was generally better for Perkins than Ft. Cobb.

Organoleptic

An organoleptic evaluation was made of 32 randomly selected accessions and Argentine (P-2) at each location in 1965 and on the 74 accessions and P-2, P-4, P-6 at each location in 1966. The evaluation included both peanut butter and roasted peanuts. The peanut butter samples from four accessions and the Argentine reference sample were

evaluated each day by the five member taste panel. The roasted peanut samples were also evaluated by a different panel.

Mean Rank. The five peanut butter samples evaluated each day by the panel were rated one to five based on their preference with one as the sample most preferred and five as the sample least preferred. The mean ranks (Appendix Tables XXI and XXII) ranged from 2.1 for P-415 to 3.9 for P-293 and P-893 in 1965, and from 1.7 for P-22 to 4.6 for P-419, P-529, and P-824 in 1966.

The mean squares are listed in Table X. The mean rank among accessions was significantly different each year. Mean ranks for locations were not significantly different, but the location x accession interaction was significant each year. The significant variance for interaction indicated that the accessions do not respond similarly at each location. None of the accessions had a significantly more desirable mean rank than Argentine.

Odor. The odor rating was determined by smelling the peanut butter sample and rated according to the scale of 1 = none and 4 = strong shown on the peanut butter rating form (Appendix Figure 1). The mean odors scores (Appendix Tables XXI and XXII) ranged from 2.2 (weak to moderate) for P-644 to 3.4 (moderate to strong) for P-451, P-471, P-718, and P-824 in 1965 and from 2.2 for P-731 and P-887 to 3.8 for P-532 in 1966.

The mean squares are listed in Table X. The mean odor scores among accessions, locations, and panel members were each significantly different in 1965 and 1966. The location x accession interaction was significant in 1966, only. None of the accessions had significantly

TABLE X

MEAN SQUARES FOR ORGANOLEPTIC EVALUATION OF PEANUT BUTTER SAMPLES
FROM PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN IN 1965 AND 1966

Source of Variation	DF		Mean Rank		Odor	
	1965	1966	1965	1966	1965	1966
Location	1	1	5.34	0.42	3.71**	3.51*
P.I.	32	76	2.65*	4.42**	1.15**	1.58**
Panel	4	4	1.31	2.07	15.14**	3.07**
Loc. x P.I.	32	76	3.23**	3.19**	0.71	0.97*
Error	260	612	1.58	1.76	0.55	0.70
Mean			3.2	3.1	3.0	3.0
LSD .05			1.1	1.2	0.6	0.7
CV (%)			39.6	42.6	25.0	27.5

Source of Variation	DF		Flavor		Taste	
	1965	1966	1965	1966	1965	1966
Location	1	1	0.68	4.52**	0.05	0.95
P.I.	32	76	1.72*	1.11**	1.24**	1.06**
Panel	4	4	3.37*	2.08**	10.32**	1.34*
Loc. x P.I.	32	76	1.12	0.81**	1.07**	0.67**
Error	260	612	1.09	0.45	0.50	0.44
Mean			2.8	2.2	3.1	1.8
LSD .05			0.9	0.6	0.6	0.6
CV (%)			36.8	31.0	23.2	35.7

*Indicates significance at the five percent level.

**Indicates significance at the one percent level.

TABLE X (Continued)

Source of Variation	DF		Roast		Texture	
	1965	1966	1965	1966	1965	1966
Location	1	1	0.08	0.08	0.44	9.60**
P.I.	32	76	2.55**	1.44**	0.40	0.73
Panel	4	4	7.28**	5.79**	1.67*	19.48**
Loc. x P.I.	32	76	2.36**	0.82**	0.96*	0.52
Error	260	612	0.81	0.35	0.53	0.57
Mean			2.6	1.9	3.5	1.4
LSD .05			0.8	0.5	N.S.	N.S.
CV (%)			34.1	30.9	21.0	54.6

Source of Variation	DF		Dryness		Source of Variation	DF		Peanut Butter %	
	1965	1966	1965	1966		1965	1966	1965	1966
Location	1	1	6.41**	30.40**	Location	1	1	38.03*	108.22**
P.I.	32	76	1.04*	0.87**	P.I.	32	76	8.81	7.27
Panel	4	4	10.03**	2.49**					
Loc. x P.I.	32	76	1.27**	0.55					
Error	260	612	0.63	0.57	Error	32	76	8.00	5.08
Mean			2.3	2.1				85.1	83.6
LSD .05			0.7	0.7				N.S.	N.S.
CV (%)			33.9	35.2				3.3	2.7

*Indicates significance at the five percent level.

**Indicates significance at the one percent level.

more desirable odor scores than Argentine.

Flavor. The mean flavor scores were determined after tasting each sample of peanut butter and were rated as: 1 = excellent, 2 = good, 3 = low, or 4 = off flavor. The mean flavor scores (Appendix Tables XXI and XXII) ranged from 1.6, excellent to good for P-893 to 3.4, low to off flavor for P-2 in 1965 and from 1.6 for P-2 and P-861 to 3.1 for P-824 in 1966.

The mean squares are listed in Table X. The mean flavor scores among accessions and panel members were significantly different in 1965 and 1966, and locations were significantly different in 1966. The variance for location x accession interaction was significant in 1966, but not in 1965. Five accessions, P-451, P-516, P-678, P-739, P-893, had significantly more desirable flavor scores than Argentine in 1965, but none were superior to Argentine in 1966. Argentine samples were rated rather low for flavor in 1965, but were rated high for flavor in 1966.

Taste. The taste mean scores were determined after tasting each sample of peanut butter and were rated as: 1 = sweet, 2 = fair, 3 = bitter, and 4 = sour. The mean taste scores (Appendix Table XXI and XXII) ranged from 2.5 (fair to bitter) for P-882 to 3.7 (bitter to sour) for P-552 and P-887 in 1965 and from 1.3 (sweet to fair) for P-294 and P-882 to 2.7 (fair to bitter) for P-824 in 1966. The taste was rated high in 1966.

The mean squares are listed in Table X. The mean taste scores among accessions and panel members were significantly different in 1965 and 1966. Locations were not significantly different in either

year. The variances for the location x accession interaction were significantly different in 1965 and 1966. Four accessions (P-654, P-824, P-828, P-882) had significantly more desirable taste scores than Argentine in 1965, but none were rated superior to Argentine in 1966.

Roast. The mean roast scores were made by visual inspection of the peanut butter samples compared with standards and were rated on the scale of: 1 = excellent, 2 = good, 3 = under, and 4 = over. The mean roast scores (Appendix Table XXI and XXII) ranged from 1.4 (excellent to good) for P-739 to 3.4 (under) for P-431, in 1965 and from 1.4 for P-2 and P-592 to 3.6 for P-560 in 1966.

The mean squares are listed in Table X. The mean roast scores among accessions and panel members were significantly different in 1965 and 1966. The variances for location x accession interaction were significant in 1965 and 1966. Two accessions (P-552 and P-739) had significantly better roast scores than Argentine in 1965, but none were significantly better than Argentine in 1966.

Texture. The texture rating was made by visual inspection of each peanut butter sample and rated as: 1 = smooth, 2 = mealy, 3 = mushy, and 4 = chunky. The mean texture scores (Appendix Tables XXI and XXII) ranged from 3.1 (mushy to chunky) for P-463 and P-529 to 4.0 (chunky) for P-861 in 1965 and from 1.0 (smooth) for 12 accessions to 2.0 (mealy) for P-824 in 1966.

The mean squares are listed in Table X. The mean texture scores among accessions did not differ significantly in 1965 or in 1966. The mean textures scores among panel members were significantly different

both years. Texture scores between locations were significantly different in 1966. The variances for location x accessions interaction was significant in 1965. Ft. Cobb had more desirable texture scores than Perkins in 1966. None of the accessions were significantly better than Argentine.

Dryness. The dryness scores were made by visual inspection of each peanut butter sample and rated as: 1 = moist, 2 = moderate, 3 = oily, and 4 = very dry. The mean dryness scores (Appendix Tables XXI and XXII) ranged from 1.7 (moist to moderate) for P-431 to 3.2 (oily) for P-861 in 1965 and from 1.6 for P-861 to 3.3 for P-824 in 1966.

The mean squares are listed in Table X. The mean dryness scores among locations, accessions, and panel members were significantly different in 1965 and 1966. The variance for location x accession interaction was significant in 1965, but not in 1966. None of the accessions were rated superior to Argentine with respect to dryness.

Peanut Butter Percent. The percentages of peanut butter were determined by dividing the peanut sample weight after roasting, blanching and degerming by the raw peanut sample weight before roasting. The mean peanut butter percentages (Appendix Tables XXI and XXII) ranged from 77.9 for P-644 to 87.8 percent for P-882 in 1965 and from 73.4 for P-25 to 86.6 percent for P-477 in 1966.

The mean squares are listed in Table X. The mean percentages of peanut butter among accessions did not differ significantly. The percentages of peanut butter among locations were significantly different in 1965 and 1966 with Ft. Cobb samples having higher percentages

of peanut butter than Perkins.

Peanut Butter Reference Samples. The peanut butter data for the Argentine reference samples were analyzed statistically to determine the uniformity among samples used on different days. There were no significant differences among the days the reference samples were used for any of the factors rated in 1965. There were significant differences among days tasted for mean rank, flavor, taste, and texture in 1966. The mean ranks may have been influenced by the accessions that were compared with the reference samples each day, but they were not significant in 1965. Since significant differences were obtained in 1966 ratings for four factors it is possible that procedures for tasting the peanut butter samples should be improved.

Cler Score. The Cler scores were calculated according to the procedure shown on the roasted peanut form (Appendix Figure 2). Each of the 20 degermed cotyledons from each accession were rated according to the four qualitative categories on the rating form. The mean Cler scores (Appendix Tables XXI and XXII) ranged from 35.4 for P-529 to 62.7 for P-678 in 1965 and from 40.2 for P-606 to 71.8 for P-431 in 1966. None were rated significantly higher than Argentine in either 1965 and 1966.

The mean squares are listed in Table XI. The mean Cler scores among accessions, locations, panel members and location x accession were significantly different in 1965 and 1966. Since the accessions do not respond the same at each location, the better rated ones at each location should be considered for peanut breeding to produce varieties for the respective areas. It was apparent that accessions

TABLE XI

MEAN SQUARES FOR ORGANOLEPTIC EVALUATION OF ROASTED PEANUTS
FROM PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN IN 1965 AND 1966

Source of Variation	DF		Cler Score		Roast Score		Rank	
	1965	1966	1965	1966	1965	1966	1965	1966
Location	1	1	8340.24**	1028.70*	0.89	0.01	6.41*	2.99
P.I.	32	76	664.69**	318.78**	0.51*	0.09**	2.28	3.98**
Panel	4	4	6043.27**	7365.28**	0.32	8.96**	0.59	1.32
Loc. x P.I.	32	76	857.81**	288.59**	0.62**	0.07**	3.62**	3.00**
Error	260	612	303.13	173.10	0.32	0.04	1.57	1.70
Mean			51.9	58.5	2.5	1.6	3.1	3.0
LSD .05			15.3	11.5	0.3	0.2	N.S.	1.1
CV (%)			33.5	22.5	22.1	12.3	40.3	43.3

*Indicates significance at the five percent level.

**Indicates significance at the one percent level.

such as P-25, P-529, P-560, P-606 and P-634 were rated lower than Argentine in the flavor of roasted peanuts.

Roast Score. The mean roast scores were determined by visual inspection of the samples compared with standards in the degree of roast. The mean roast scores ranged from 2.0 (good) for P-718 to 3.0 (under) for P-447 in 1965 and from 1.4 (excellent) for P-477 and P-885 to 1.9 (good) for P-560 and P-807 in 1966.

The mean squares are listed in Table XI. The mean roast scores among accessions and location x accessions were significantly different in 1965 and 1966. Mean roast scores between locations did not differ significantly. Mean roast scores among the five panel members were significantly different in 1966 but not in 1965.

Roasted Peanut Rank. At each visit the five samples of 20 roasted peanuts each were rated one to five based on their preference with one being the sample most preferred. The mean rank (Appendix Tables XXI and XXII) ranged from 2.2 for P-606 to 3.8 for P-516 in 1965 and from 1.3 for P-294 to 4.3 for P-25 and P-447 in 1966.

The mean squares are listed in Table XI. The mean rank among accessions were significantly different in 1966, but not in 1965 and between locations in 1965 but not in 1966. The variances for mean rank among panelist did not differ significantly. The variance for location x accession interaction was significant in 1965 and 1966.

Roasted Peanut Reference Samples. The roasted peanut data for the Argentine reference samples were analyzed statistically to determine the uniformity among samples used on different days. There were no significant differences among the days the reference samples were

used with respect to mean Cier scores or roast scores in 1965, but there were differences in 1966. The preference ranks were significantly different both years, and may be influenced by the grouping of accessions that were compared with the reference sample each day.

Shelf Life. The peanut butter samples in 1965 were stored on the shelf at room temperature for six months to determine the shelf life of the peanut butter. After six months the sealed jars were opened and smelled to determine whether the odor was good, moderate or bad. The results are listed in Table XII. Eight were rated good at both locations. Eleven samples were rated bad, but none were rated bad at both locations. The others were between these extremes. It would have been desirable to know if the accessions with bad odor had narrow oleic : linoleic ratios.

Chemical

Raw peanut samples for each accession were analyzed for oil and protein content in 1965 (Appendix Table XXIII) by Dr. James E. Webster, Department of Biochemistry. Seed from one replication at each location was used for the analyses.

Oil. The mean percentages of oil ranged from 45.70 percent for P-606 to 52.68 percent for P-887 at Perkins and from 45.75 percent for P-606 to 53.02 percent for P-598 at Ft. Cobb. The mean for both locations ranged from 45.72 percent for P-606 to 52.70 percent for P-315. P-606 was lowest in percent oil at both locations. The mean percent oil was 0.41 percent higher for samples from Ft. Cobb than for Perkins.

The mean squares are listed in Table XIII. The mean oil content

TABLE XII

ODOR OF PEANUT BUTTER AFTER SIX MONTHS SHELF STORAGE
FOR PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN IN 1965

Okla. P-No.	Perkins			Ft. Cobb		
	Good	Moderate	Bad	Good	Moderate	Bad
293	x				x	
397	x					x
415			x		x	
431	x			x		
438	x			x		
447	x					x
451	x			x		
463		x		x		
465		x		x		
471	x				x	
516	x				x	
529	x					x
532	x			x		
552	x				x	
560	x				x	
567		x		x		
591	x			x		
609	x			x		
643	x			x		
644			x	x		
654	x			x		
678	x					x
718		x		x		
739		x			x	
748			x	-	-	-
824	x				x	
828		x		x		
860			x	x		
861	x					x
882	x				x	
887		x				x
893		x				x
Total	20	8	4	15	9	7

TABLE XIII

MEAN SQUARES FOR PERCENTAGES OF OIL AND PROTEIN CONTENT
FOR SEED OF PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN IN 1965 NEAR
PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Source of Variation	DF	Oil MS
Location	1	6.29*
P.I.	76	2.11*
Error	76	1.32
LSD .05		2.30
CV (%)		2.29
Source of Variation	DF	Protein MS
Location	1	25.24**
P.I.	76	1.38**
Error	76	0.61
LSD .05		1.56
CV (%)		2.53

*Indicates significance at the five percent level.

**Indicates significance at the one percent level.

among accessions and between locations were significantly different. The seed of no accession was significantly higher in oil content than Argentine, Spantex, or Starr. The oil content of P-606 was significantly lower in oil content than each accession, except P-808 and P-860.

Protein. The mean percentages of seed protein ranged from 29.00 percent for P-861 to 33.95 percent for P-739 at Perkins and from 28.09 percent for P-643 to 32.55 percent for P-2 at Ft. Cobb. The means for both locations ranged from 29.04 percent for P-643 to 32.94 percent for P-739. The mean percentages of protein was 0.81 percent higher for samples from Perkins than for Ft. Cobb.

The mean squares are listed in Table XIII. The mean protein content among accessions and between locations were significantly different. The seed of no accession contained significantly more protein than Argentine or Spantex, but P-293, P-739 and P-808 contained significantly more protein than Starr. Thirteen accessions contained significantly less protein than Argentine. As reported Redcorn and Matlock (38) P-293 has the distinction of being high in both oil and protein.

Genetic Study

Blunt Germ

Seed with blunt germ or germ flush with cotyledons were found in several accessions in 1964. This characteristic would be desirable for peanut seed used for planting as less damage would occur to the point of the germ in shelling and cleaning. Seed with blunt germ from single plants of six accessions were grown in 1965 and 1966 to determine if

the characters could be found in the progeny. P-741 was the only accession that produced blunt germ in the progeny. Further study is needed to determine the heritability of the blunt germ.

Seed Shape

A study was initiated in 1966 to determine the genetic characteristic of variable seed shape in peanut seed. A number of single plants producing irregular seed shapes were selected from P-17 and P-294 in 1965 and grown in 1966 and observed for possible genetic relationships. Only a few progeny had seed like those planted which indicated that seed shape was influenced by environment. Selection for a desirable seed shape in a breeding program may be slow.

Chlorophyll Deficiency

Several accessions were observed to have variegated green and yellow leaves in 1966. The variegation had the appearance of a chimera. Seed from variegated plants were grown for observation in the greenhouse. All progeny from the variegated plants had normal green leaves. It was concluded that the variegated appearance was not a genetic character.

Four chlorophyll deficient plants appeared in P-587 in 1965. These were near normal size plants with golden leaves. Seed from these were planted in 1966. In the seedling stage the plants are green, but the lower leaves gradually turned golden as the plants developed. The plants were of normal size but had golden leaves in 1966. Seed from the 1966 harvest was planted in 1967. The young plants appeared green, but later segregated for green and golden.

The inheritance of the mutant is being studied. This was a different character than the virescent seedlings reported by Tripp (43).

Outcrossing

The number of Spanish type plants were observed in a 1966 planting of the Runner, P-25. Thirty-three single plant selections were made in 1966 and grown in 1967 for observation. Segregation for plant type was not found in any of the selections and the cause for the offtype plants probably resulted from mechanical mixtures.

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Germ Plasm Evaluation

A preliminary evaluation of 519 accessions was made in 1963 and 1964 to determine certain characteristics that may be important for peanut breeding. An additional 35 accessions were received and evaluated in 1965. Seventy-four accessions were selected from the preliminary tests for further evaluation in replicated tests in 1965 and 1966.

Most of the accessions evaluated in 1963, 1964, and 1965 came from Northern Rhodesia with a few from other areas of Africa. The others came from South America and other countries. Although, peanuts have been in Africa for about four centuries, they have through evolutionary development considerable genetic diversity. It may be well to study more introductions from South America which is their center of origin. More genetic diversity may be found near their wild relatives than in a secondary center of diversity.

The agronomic factors studied included stand, vigor, diseases, insects, fruit and kernel yields, and grade of farmers stock peanuts. Stand and vigor were generally good. The major disease was *Cercospora* leafspot and occurred mostly in 1963 and 1965. None of the accessions were immune to leafspot. The major insect problem was thrips. Minor

damage occurred in 1963 and 1964. The thrips problem was considerably greater in 1965 and 1966.

The highest fruit yield in 1963 was 3270 pounds per acre for P-403 and P-523. In 1964 the highest fruit yield was 3924 pounds per acre for P-552 and P-678. P-602 was lowest in yield for both years. In the preliminary tests in 1965 the highest fruit yield was 3857 pounds per acre for P-416.

In the replicated tests the highest yield at Perkins was for P-529 in 1965 and P-22 in 1966. Two accessions were significantly higher than Spantex in 1965. The highest yield at Ft. Cobb was for Spantex in 1965 and P-634 in 1966. Argentine, Spantex, and Starr had the highest yield in 1965. One accession (P-560) was significantly higher than Starr in 1966.

The total sound mature kernels was over 75 percent in 1963 and 1964. In the replicated tests the highest was 65 percent in 1965 and 68 percent in 1966 at Perkins. The highest was 78.5 percent in 1965 and 74.5 percent in 1966 at Ft. Cobb. There was significant interaction with years x locations. There was a considerable range for percentages of total sound mature kernels.

The percentages of sound splits were variable from year-to-year and many accessions did not have splits in 1965 and 1966 at Perkins or in 1966 at Ft. Cobb.

The percentages of other kernels were variable and were very high at Perkins in 1965 and 1966. The highest were 37 percent and 52 percent, respectively.

The date of bloom in 1965 and 1966 was 27 to 30 days after

planting at Perkins and 30 to 35 days at Ft. Cobb.

The physical properties evaluated included seed size, plant height and width, leaflet area, and soil sheeding. The mean seed size as measured by weight differed by 17 grams per 100 seed in 1965 and 18 grams per 100 seed in 1966 at Perkins. The mean seed size differed by 32 grams per 100 seed in 1965 and 18 grams per 100 seed in 1966 at Ft. Cobb. These differences were large enough to be significant.

The widest difference in plant heights was 28 centimeters in 1964 and 30 centimeters in 1965. The plant height differences in the replicated tests were 28 centimeters in 1965 and 23 centimeters in 1966 at Perkins and 42 centimeters in 1965 and 32 centimeters in 1966 at Ft. Cobb. The plant height differences were enough to be significant. Shorter plant types would probably prevent lodging in irrigated production.

The leaflet area per leaflet differed by 10 square centimeters in 1964, 1965, and 1966 combined. The data did not indicate a definite relationship of leaflet area and yield. A study should be made of the relationship of yield and leaf area index.

In the organoleptic evaluation, the accessions were significantly different with respect to peanut butter rank. The locations were not significantly different. None of the accessions were significantly better than Argentine with respect to rank. None of the accessions were significantly better than Argentine with respect to odor. Five accessions were significantly better than Argentine with respect to flavor. Four accessions were significantly better than Argentine in 1965 with respect to taste and none in 1966. None of the accessions

were significantly better than Argentine with respect to texture or dryness. Accessions were not significantly different with respect to peanut butter percent.

The accessions were significantly different for Cier score of roasted peanuts. None were significantly better than Argentine. The accessions were significantly different for roast score of roasted peanuts. The accessions were significantly different in 1966 for roasted peanut preference rank.

Eight accessions were rated as good at both locations for odor after six months on the shelf at room temperature. Fatty acid analyses should be made to determine the percentages oleic and linoleic fatty acid and the oleic : linoleic ratios for various accessions.

None of the accessions were significantly higher than Argentine in oil content in 1965. P-606 had the lowest oil content at both locations. The seed oil was 0.4 percent higher at Ft. Cobb than Perkins. None of the accessions were significantly higher than Argentine in protein content. The seed protein was 0.8 percent higher at Perkins than Ft. Cobb. P-293 was high in both oil and protein content. There is considerable genetic diversity in the peanut germ plasm. The yield, grade, seed size, leaflet area, organoleptic, chemical, leafspot, and thrips values of the germ plasm have a broad range. It would be possible to select genotypes with desired characteristics for use in the peanut breeding program.

Genetic Study

Seed with blunt germ were found in several accessions in 1964.

P-741 was the only accession that produced blunt germ progeny. Further study is needed to determine the inheritance of the blunt germ which would be desirable for planting seed.

Seed shape showed more environmental than genetic variation, hence it would not likely be a character easily incorporated into a strain.

The chlorophyll deficient plants found in 1965 appeared to be a mutant producing golden colored leaflets that does not stunt the plant. Segregation for golden and green was observed in 1967. Further study of the inheritance is being done.

Offtype plants found in P-25 were grown as single plant selections in 1967. Segregation was not observed and the offtypes, apparently, resulted from mixtures.

LITERATURE CITED

- (1) Ashri, A. and E. Goldin. "The Mutagenic Activity of Diethyl Sulfate in Peanuts." Radiation Bot. 5:431-441. 1965.
- (2) Bailey, W. K. "Evaluation of New Peanut Introductions." Proc. Second Nat. Peanut Res. Conf. 1962. pp. 12-15.
- (3) Beer, de J. F. "Influences of Temperature on Arachis hypogaea L. With Special Reference to its Pollen Viability." State Agri. Univ., Wageningen, Netherlands. 1963.
- (4) Bentham, G. "On the Structure and Affinities of Arachis and Voandzeia." Linnean Soc., London, Trans. 18:155-162. 1839.
- (5) Candolle, de A. Origin of Cultivated Plants. (reprint of the second edition, 1886). Hafner Publishing Co., New York. 1959.
- (6) Cobley, L. S. The Botany of Tropical Crops. Longmans, Green and Co., New York. 1956.
- (7) Cooper, W. E. and W. C. Gregory. "Radiation-induced Leaf Spot Resistant Mutants in the Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.)." Agron. Jour. 52:1-4. 1960.
- (8) Darlington, C. D. and A. P. Wylie. Chromosome Atlas of Flowering Plants. George Allen and Unwin, LTD., London. 1955.
- (9) Darlington, C. D. Chromosome Botany and the Origin of Cultivated Plants. Hafner Publishing Co., New York. 1963.
- (10) Gregory, W. C. "X-ray Breeding of Peanuts (Arachis hypogaea L.)." Agron. Jour. 47:396-399. 1955.
- (11) _____. "The Peanut NC 4x a Milestone in Crop Breeding." Crops and Soils. pp. 12-13. 1960.
- (12) _____. "Peanut Breeding Resources." Proc. Second Nat. Peanut Res. Conf. 1962. pp. 11-12.

- (13) _____, B. W. Smith and J. A. Yarbrough. "Morphology, Genetics and Breeding." The Peanut the Unpredictable Legume (a symposium). The National Fertilizer Association, Washington, D. C., 1951. pp. 70-79.
- (14) Gustafsson, A. and I. Gadd. "Mutations and Crop Improvement V. Arachis hypogaea L. (Leguminosae)." Hereditas 53 (1-2):143-164. 1965.
- (15) Hammons, R. O. "White Testa Inheritance in the Peanut." Jour. Heredity 54:139-142. 1963.
- (16) _____. "Krinkle, a Dominant Leaf Marker in the Peanut, Arachis hypogaea L." Crop Sci. 4 (1):22-24. 1964.
- (17) Harlan, J. R. "Distribution and Utilization of Natural Variability in Cultivated Plants." Genetics in Plant Breeding. Brookhaven Symposia in Biol. 9:191-206. 1956.
- (18) Hayes, R. T. "The Classification of Groundnut Varieties With a Preliminary Note on the Inheritance of Some Characters." Trop. Agri. West Indies 10:318-327. 1933.
- (19) Higgins, B. B. "Origin and Early History of the Peanut." The Peanut the Unpredictable Legume (a symposium). The National Fertilizer Association, Washington, D. C., 1951. p. 18.
- (20) Hill, A. F. Economic Botany. McGraw Hill Book Co., Inc. New York. 1952.
- (21) Hodge, W. H. and C. O. Erlanson. "Plant Introduction as a Federal Service to Agriculture." Advances in Agron. 7:189-211. 1955.
- (22) _____, C. O. Erlanson. "Federal Plant Introduction - a Review." Economic Botany. 10:299-334. 1956.
- (23) Hull, F. H. "Inheritance of Rest Period of Seeds and Certain Other Characters in the Peanut." Florida Agri. Exp. Sta. Tech. Bull. 314. 1937. p. 46.
- (24) Husted, L. "Chromosome Number in Arachis Species." Amer. Nat. 65:476-477. 1931.
- (25) _____. "Cytological Studies on the Peanut, Arachis. I. Chromosome Number and Morphology." Cytologia 5:109-117. 1933.

- (26) _____. "Cytological Studies on the Peanut, Arachis. II. Chromosome Number, Morphology and Behavior, and Their Application to the Problem of the Cultivated Forms." Cytologia 7:396-423. 1936.
- (27) Johansen, E. L. and B. W. Smith. "Arachis hypogaea x A. diogoi - Embryo and Seed Failure." Amer. Jour. Bot. 43 (4):250-258. 1956.
- (28) John, C. M., G. Venkatanarayana and C. R. Seshadri. "Varieties and Forms of Groundnut - Their Classification and Economic Characters." Indian Jour. of Agri. Sci. 24:159-193. 1954.
- (29) Johnson, F. R. The Peanut Story. Johnson Publishing Co., Murfreesboro, North Carolina. p. 192. 1964.
- (30) Kawakami, J. "Chromosome Numbers in Leguminosae." Bot. Mag. 44:319-328. 1930. (Cited by Husted. 1936).
- (31) Kumar, L. S., R. D. Cruz and J. G. Oke. "A Synthetic Allohexaploid in Arachis." Current Sci. 26 (4):121-122. 1957.
- (32) MacNeish, R. S. "The Origins of American Agriculture." Antiquity 39:87-94. 1965.
- (33) Mendes, A. J. T. "Estudos Citologicos no Genero Arachis." Bragantia 7:257-267. 1947. (Cited by Gregory. 1951).
- (34) Merrill, E. D. "The Botany of Cook's Voyages." Chronica Botanica 14:161-384. 1954.
- (35) Mors, W. B. and C. T. Rizzini. Useful Plants of Brazil. Holden-Day Inc., San Francisco, Calif. 1966.
- (36) Raman, V. S. "Investigations on 30-Chromosomed Interspecific Hybrids." Indian Oilseeds Jour. 3:157-161. 1959.
- (37) _____ and P. C. Kesavan. "Studies on a Diploid Interspecific Hybrid in Arachis." The Nucleus 5 (2):123-126. 1962.
- (38) Redcorn, W. I. and R. S. Matlock. "Agronomic, Physical, Chemical, and Organoleptic Evaluation of Argentine and Thirty-three Peanut Introductions." Proc. Third Nat. Peanut Res. Conf. 1962. pp. 87-91.
- (39) Senn, H. A. "Chromosome Number Relationships in the Leguminosae." Biol. Genet. 12:175-336. 1938.

- (40) Smartt, J. "Cross-Compatibility Relationships Between the Cultivated Peanut Arachis hypogaea L. and Other Species of the Genus Arachis." Dissert. Abstracts 26:643-644. 1965.
- (41) Snedecor, G. W. Statistical Methods. Fifth ed. Iowa State University Press, Ames. 1956.
- (42) Stebbins, G. L., Jr. Variation and Evolution in Plants. Columbia University Press, New York. p. 371. 1963.
- (43) Stokes, W. E. and F. H. Hull. "Peanut Breeding." Amer. Soc. Agron. 22:1004-1019. 1930.
- (44) Tripp, Leland D. "Germ Plasm Evaluation and Inheritance Studies in Peanuts, Arachis hypogaea L." (unpubl. Ph. D. Thesis, Oklahoma State University. 1968).
- (45) Van der Stok, J. E. "Onderzoekingen Omtrent, Arachis hypogaea L. (Katjang-tanah)." Med. van het Dept. van Land. 12:176-221. 1910. (Cited by Hull, 1937).
- (46) Vavilov, N. I. "The Origin, Variation, Immunity and Breeding of Cultivated Plants." Chronica Bot. Vol. 13. Waltham, Mass. 1949-1950.
- (47) Wit, H. C. D. de. Plants of the World, the Higher Plants I. E. P. Dutton and Co., Inc., New York. p. 310. 1966.
- (48) Woodroff, J. G. Peanuts Production, Processing, Products. The Avi Publishing Company, Inc., Westpoint, Conn. 1966.

APPENDIX A

LEGEND FOR TABLE I

Seed Catalog, Southern Regional Plant Introduction Station,
Experiment, Georgia, Regional Project S-9, pp. 1-87, 1965.

Botanical Group: S = Spanish, V = Virginia.
VL = Valencia, R = Runner.

Growth Habit: S = Spanish, B = Bunch, R = Runner

Branching: S = Sparse, M = Moderate, P = Profuse
VP = Very Profuse.

Seed Size: Gms/100 or S = Small, M = Medium, L = Large,
VL = Very Large.

Testa Color: W = White, F = Flesh, R = Red, DkR = Dark Red.

Fruit Size: S = Small, M = Medium, L = Large, VL = Very
Large.

Constriction: N = None, S = Slight, M = Moderate, D = Deep.

Thrips Score: 0 = No Injury to, 4 = Very Severe Damage.

Leafspot Score: 0 = No Infection to, 5 = Severe Infection

* = Poor Stand.

TABLE I

PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS: SUMMARY OF DATA FROM THE PLANT INTRODUCTION STATION SEED CATALOG
AND THE TEST GROWN IN 1963 AT THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATION NEAR PARADISE, OKLAHOMA

Okla. P.I. P-No. No.		Seed Catalog, 1964-65					Fruit		Kernel Total		SS (%)	OK (%)	Thrips 6/13	Leafspot				Catalog Remarks			
		Origin	Bot. Growth Gp.	Branch- Habit	ing	Gms/100 Seed	Testa Color	No. Size	Seed Const.	Yield (lb/A)				Yield (lb/A)	SMK (%)	7/15	8/3		8/16	9/21	
1	Argentine						F	M	2	S	1949	1442	72.0	5.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
3	Dixie Span						F	S	2	D	1778	1351	74.0	7.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	4.0	
4	Spantex						F	M	2	M	1691	1336	74.0	7.0	5.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	4.0	
6	Starr						F	M	2	M	2064	-	73.5	5.0	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	
337	259637	Cuba	R	R	P	43.0	F	S	2	M	1553	1000	61.5	2.9	2.9	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	Ex.vig., v.late, V pods, 67.9%.
338	259671	Cuba	V	R	VP-Fine	45.0	F	M	2	S	2453	1496	55.4	0.7	5.5	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	Very late, VL pods, 74.0%.
339	259678	Cuba	V	B	P-Fine	56.7	F	M	2	M	1390	774	55.7	0.6	7.8	2.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	Late, V pods, 74.6%.
340	268516	N.Rhodesia					R	M	2	S	1635	973	53.5	2.8	6.1	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	
341	268545	N.Rhodesia					F	M	2	S	2453	1622	61.0	1.8	4.8	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	
342	268564	N.Rhodesia					R	M	2	S	1799	919	45.6	0.5	5.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.5	
343	268573	N.Rhodesia					F	M	2	S	2207	1388	58.3	2.4	4.5	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	4.0	
344	268577	N.Rhodesia					R	M	2	M	1145*	577	50.4	0.8	7.1	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
345	268595	N.Rhodesia	S	B	P-S	47.3	R	M	2	M	1390	810	58.3	1.9	3.2	2.5	1.0	2.5	2.5	4.0	
346	268595	N.Rhodesia	S	B	P-S	47.3	R	M	2	M	1799	991	55.1	1.5	4.0	2.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	
347	268596	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	43.0	R&F	M	2	M	1635	1053	60.6	1.6	3.8	2.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.5	
348	268598	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	37.8	R&F	L	2-3	M	1717	1128	59.9	1.6	5.8	2.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
349	268598	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	37.8	R	M	2	S	2289	1371	51.6	6.7	8.3	-	1.5	2.0	3.0	3.5	
350	268598	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	37.8	R	M	2	S	1780	1141	59.6	2.0	4.5	2.0	1.5	2.5	3.0	3.5	
351	268599	N.Rhodesia					F	M	2	S	245	1514	56.2	1.5	5.5	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	
352	268601	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	39.4	R	M	2	S	1880	1263	63.8	2.4	3.4	2.5	2.0	2.5	3.5	4.5	Short tops.
353	268607	N.Rhodesia					R	L	2	M	2453	1604	62.1	2.6	3.3	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	
354	268609	N.Rhodesia				38.3	R	M	2	M	2861	1837	61.7	1.9	2.5	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0	
355	268609	N.Rhodesia				38.3	R	S	2	M	3025	1812	52.7	0.3	7.2	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	4.0	
356	268611	N.Rhodesia					F	M	2	M	1063*	603	54.2	0.8	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	
357	268611	N.Rhodesia					F	M	2	S	1962	1197	56.9	3.2	4.1	2.0	1.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	
358	268615	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	37.8	F	S	2	S	2044	1443	63.1	1.8	7.5	2.0	1.5	2.5	3.0	4.5	Pod size variable.
359	268616	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	46.5	F	M	2	S	2780	1621	56.7	1.9	1.6	2.0	1.5	2.5	3.0	4.0	Pod size variable.
360	268616	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	46.5	F	S	2	S	1472	901	55.7	1.8	5.5	2.5	1.0	2.0	2.5	3.5	Pod size variable.
361	268616	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	46.5	F	M	2	M	1226	793	60.3	2.2	4.4	2.5	1.5	2.5	3.0	3.5	Pod size variable.
362	268626	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	38.8	F	M	2	M	1226	820	62.5	3.7	4.4	3.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
363	268626	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	38.8	F	M	2	S	1308	1604	53.7	4.1	6.9	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
364	268633	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	31.9-45.0	F	M	2	M	1063	594	48.3	1.7	7.6	2.0	1.5	1.5	2.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
365	268635	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	36.8	F	M	2	M	2044	1199	54.7	2.2	4.0	2.0	1.5	1.5	2.5	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
366	268636	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	36.8	F	M	2	M	1799	1081	54.6	2.5	5.5	2.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
367	268637	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	38.8-49.7	F	M	2	M	2453	1604	62.8	1.1	2.6	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.5	Highly variable.
368	268637	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	38.8-49.7	F	M	2	M	1553	865	49.3	1.7	6.4	2.5	1.0	1.5	3.5	3.5	Highly variable.
369	268637	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	38.8-49.7	F	M	2	S	1635	956	53.5	2.2	5.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	Highly variable.
370	268644	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	51.5	F	M	2	S	1308	901	60.6	0.6	8.3	3.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
371	268644	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	51.5	F	M	2	M	1635	1092	60.7	1.7	6.1	2.5	2.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
372	268644	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	51.5	F	M	2	S	2453	1487	56.2	1.8	4.4	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
373	268647	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	36.8	F	M	2	D	2371	1332	49.4	1.1	6.8	1.0	2.0	2.5	3.5	3.5	
374	268648	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	53.5	F	L	2	M	2126	1288	57.2	2.5	3.4	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
375	268649	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	40.5	F	M	2	S	2126	1307	56.0	1.7	5.5	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.5	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
376	268649	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	40.5	F	M	2	S	1635	1019	56.8	2.8	5.5	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
377	268654	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	35.0-41.7	F	M	2	N	2453	1628	63.6	1.8	2.8	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
378	268654	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	35.0-41.7	F	L	2-3	M	1962	1287	60.1	4.6	5.5	2.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.

TABLE I (Continued)

Okla. P.I. P-No.	No.	Seed Catalog, 1964-65				Gms/100 Seed	Testa Color	Fruit			Yield (lb/A)	Kernel			Total SS (%)	OK (%)	Thrips 6/13	Leafspot				Catalog Remarks
		Origin	Bot. Gp.	Growth Habit	Branch- ing			No.	Size	Seed Const.		Yield (lb/A)	SMK (%)	7/15				8/3	8/16	9/21		
379	268654	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	35.0-41.7	F	M	2	M	2815	1879	63.9	4.1	2.8	2.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.	
380	268657	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	33.0	F	L	2	S	1962	1206	56.0	2.3	5.5	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	4.0	Very short tops.	
381	268660	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	44.3	F	M	2	S	2453	1481	56.4	0.2	4.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0		
382	268663	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	26.7	R	M	2	S	1308	838	57.9	1.4	6.2	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	3.5	Very small plants, prolific	
383	268680	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	41.7	F	M	2	M	2207	1350	57.1	2.0	4.1	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.5		
384	268680	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	41.7	F	M	2	M	2289	1133	45.2	1.9	4.3	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5		
385	268684	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	41.1	F	M	2	S	1799	644	60.1	1.0	4.5	1.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.5		
386	268686	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	36.8	F	L	2-3	S	2453	1550	59.9	2.6	3.3	2.5	1.5	2.0	3.0	4.0		
387	268688	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	45.7	F	M	2	D	2453	1550	58.8	5.9	4.4	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.	
388	268688	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	45.7	F	M	2	S	2453	1496	56.2	1.8	4.8	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.	
389	268689	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	42.3	F	M	2	S	2534	1708	63.9	2.8	3.5	1.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	4.0	Variable pods and seed.	
390	268690	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	41.1	F	M	2	S	1962	1259	60.5	3.2	3.7	2.5	1.5	2.0	3.0	4.5	Variable pods and seed.	
391	268690	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	41.1	F	M	2	S	3107	2084	62.8	1.4	4.3	1.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.	
392	268692	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	41.7	F	S	2	S	2371	1494	57.7	1.5	5.3	1.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.	
393	268692	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	41.7	F	L	2	S	2044	1002	43.7	1.8	5.3	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.	
394	268692	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	41.7	F	M	2	M	1308	762	52.8	2.8	5.5	2.5	0.5	1.5	2.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.	
395	268701	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	33.0	F	M	2	S	1635	847	47.4	3.9	4.4	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	3.5	Variable seed and pods.	
396	268701	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	33.0	F	M	2	M	2453	1548	56.9	1.8	6.2	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0	Variable seed and pods.	
397	268703	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	33.0-35.9	F	M	2	S	1880	1218	61.9	3.4	2.9	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.5	4.0	Variable seed and pods.	
398	268704	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	35.0	F	M	2	S	1472	929	58.8	3.7	4.3	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.	
399	268704	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	35.0	F	M	2	S	2207	1474	62.8	2.0	4.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	3.5	4.0	Variable pods and seed.	
400	268706	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	33.0-43.6	F	M	2	S	2126	1405	60.6	2.1	5.5	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.	
401	268707	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	34.6	F	M	2	S	2453	1621	62.8	4.4	3.3	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	4.0		
402	268708	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.9-40.5	F	M	2	S	2616	1685	59.6	2.1	4.8	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.	
403	268708	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.9-40.5	F	M	2	S	3270	2135	61.7	2.2	3.6	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.	
404	268708	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.9-40.5	F	S	2	S	2453	1737	67.5	2.2	3.3	2.5	1.5	2.0	3.5	4.0	Variable pods and seed.	
405	268708	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.9-40.5	F	M	2	M	2616	1744	62.9	3.0	3.8	1.5	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.	
406	268710	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	33.0-35.4	F	M	2	M	2207	1340	54.6	1.2	6.1	1.5	1.5	2.0	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.	
407	268711	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.5	F	S	2	M	3352	2075	58.1	3.0	3.8	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	4.0		
408	268711	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.5	F	M	2	M	2453	1614	61.4	2.6	4.4	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	4.0		
409	268712	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	30.5-37.8	F	M	2	S	1635	945	53.4	3.3	4.4	1.5	1.5	2.0	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.	
410	268716	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	30.2	F	M	2	M	2207	1412	59.1	2.4	4.9	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	Short tops.	
411	268724	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	30.2	F	M	2	S	1880	1226	60.9	2.9	4.3	2.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	3.5		
412	268724	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	30.2	F	S	2	D	1390	648	60.3	2.6	4.5	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0		
413	268729	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.2	F	M	2	S	2779	1771	56.7	1.9	4.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	3.5		
414	268729	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.2	F	M	2	M	2453	1692	65.3	4.0	3.7	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0		
415	268737	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.0-36.3	F	M	2	S	2044	1386	63.4	4.4	4.4	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.	
416	268739	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	34.2-36.8	F	S	2	S	2453	1565	57.2	2.9	6.6	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.	
417	268740	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	41.7	F	M	2	S	2207	1417	57.3	0.8	6.9	1.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5		
418	268740	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	41.7	F	S	2	S	2289	1510	60.9	1.1	5.1	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5		
419	268740	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	41.7	F	M	2	S	2126	1333	59.4	1.7	3.3	2.5	1.5	2.0	2.5	4.0		
420	268742	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	33.0	F	M	2	M	2044	1369	63.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5		
421	268748	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.9	F	M	2	M	1226	775	60.3	2.9	2.9	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.	
422	268749	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	30.2	F	M	2	S	1472	973	60.0	5.5	6.1	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.	
423	268752	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	41.1	F	M	2	S	1472	983	62.5	6.1	4.3	2.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	3.5		
424	268758	N.Rhodesia					F	M	2	S	818	352	36.4	2.2	6.6	2.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5		

TABLE I (Continued)

Okla. P.I. P-No.	No.	Origin	Seed Catalog, 1964-65				Testa Color	Fruit			Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Total			Thrips 6/13	Leafspot				Catalog Remarks	
			Bot. Cp.	Growth Habit	Branch- ing	Gms/100 Seed		No. Seed	Size	Const.		Yield (lb/A)	SMK (%)	SS (%)		OK (%)	7/15	8/3	8/16		9/21
425	268759	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	42.3-47.3	F	M	2	S	2289	1396	55.9	2.8	5.1	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.5	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
426	268760	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	31.2	F	M	2	N	2207	1388	57.2	3.7	5.7	2.0	3.5	1.5	3.0	4.0	Coarse, short tops.
427	268767	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	33.0-38.8	F	M	2	S	2207	1377	55.5	1.6	6.9	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
428	268769	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.9	R	S	2	M	1472	1001	61.3	3.7	6.7	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.5	4.0	Short tops.
429	268771	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	37.8-42.3	F	M	2	S	2453	1558	58.0	2.2	5.5	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
430	268777	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	27.8	F	S	2	M	2698	1605	50.1	3.3	9.4	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	Finely branched.
431	268778	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	30.5	F	M	2	S	2616	1802	65.5	2.8	3.4	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.5	4.0	Finely branched.
432	268787	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.9-36.5	F	S	2	M	1717	1083	58.9	3.2	4.2	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.5	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
433	268789	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	33.0	F	M	2	M	2453	1413	54.3	2.9	3.3	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.5	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
434	268789	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	33.0	F	S	2	D	2044	1134	48.5	5.3	7.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
435	268790	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	33.0	F	M	2	M	1717	965	51.5	3.7	4.7	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.5	4.0	
436	268795	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	34.2-38.8	F	M	2	M	1308	857	60.0	2.8	5.5	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
437	268795	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	34.2-38.8	F	M	2	M	2616	1677	59.6	4.5	4.5	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
438	268801	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	31.9-37.3	F	M	2	M	1390	883	57.7	4.5	5.8	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
439	268808	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	28.9	F	S	2	M	1390	756	43.4	2.6	11.0	1.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	
440	268811	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	32.6-34.6	F	S	2	M	1472	920	53.9	3.1	8.6	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
441	268812	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	29.5	F	M	2	M	2044	1261	55.5	2.6	6.2	2.0	0.5	1.5	2.5	3.5	Finely branched.
442	268818	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	33.0	F	M	2	S	1717	1056	55.7	6.3	5.8	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0	
443	268821	N.Rhodesia	VL	B	S	29.2-35.4	F	M	2	M	2044	1134	48.5	1.3	7.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
444	268822	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	39.9-48.9	F	M	2	M	2126	1361	58.5	3.4	5.5	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
445	268823	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	31.9	F	M	2	M	2534	1571	55.7	3.7	6.3	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
446	268825	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	38.3	F	M	2	M	1635	1072	61.8	2.8	3.8	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	3.5	
447	268826	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	30.2	F	M	2	M	1390	938	66.2	5.2	1.3	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	4.5	
448	268826	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	30.2	F	M	2	M	1390	945	62.2	4.5	5.8	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	
449	268827	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	38.3	F	M	2	M	1962	1242	58.3	2.3	5.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
450	268828	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.5-38.8	F	M	2	M	1799	1153	57.6	3.0	6.5	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
451	268828	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.5-38.8	F	M	2	M	2044	1288	59.5	3.5	3.5	2.0	1.5	1.5	2.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
452	268828	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.5-38.8	F	M	2	M	1145	793	62.2	2.4	7.1	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
453	268829	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	37.3-41.1	F	M	2	M	2616	1685	61.3	2.4	3.1	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
454	268830	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	28.9-31.5	F	M	2	M	1799	982	47.6	2.0	7.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
455	268832	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S		F	M	2	M	2861	1845	56.3	1.2	8.2	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	4.0	
456	270773	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M		F	M	2	M	1962	1279	61.1	2.3	4.1	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
457	270773	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M		W&F	M	2	M	2453	1479	54.8	1.5	5.5	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
458	270784	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	38.3	R&F	M	2	M	654	450	59.2	4.1	9.6	2.0	0.5	1.5	2.5	3.5	Variable.
459	270786	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	34.6-45.0	F	M	2	M	1308	794	54.5	2.1	6.2	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	Coarse.
460	270789	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	51.5	F	M	2	M	1226	676	47.8	2.2	7.3	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	Coarse, 73.0% meats.
461	270804	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	34.2	F	M	2	M	1962	1262	57.4	1.8	6.9	1.0	1.5	1.5	2.5	3.5	Variable, 76.0% meats.
462	270804	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	34.2	F	M	2	M	1390	917	58.9	1.9	7.1	1.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	Variable, 76.0% meats.
463	270817	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	37.3	F	M	2	M	1799	1288	66.6	2.0	5.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	4.0	Genetic, 77.0% meats.
464	270838	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	39.9	F	M	2	M	1144	819	65.3	4.7	6.3	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	Genetic, 77.0% meats.
465	270849	N.Rhodesia	VL	B	S-M	37.3	F	M	2	M	1390	973	64.2	2.6	5.8	1.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	79.0% meats.
466	271021	N.Rhodesia									1390	917	61.5	4.5	4.5	2.0	1.5	1.5	2.5	4.0	
467	271022										1308	802	56.5	2.8	4.8	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0	
468	274267	N.Rhodesia									654	396	56.5	2.8	4.1	2.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	4.0	
469	261970	Paraguay	SV	S			DkR	VL	2-3	S	2534	1477	53.7	0.7	4.6	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
470	261989	Paraguay	S	S			F	M	2-4	S	2207	1468	60.8	1.2	5.7	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	Good taste.

TABLE I (Continued)

Okla. P.I. P-No.	No.	Seed Catalog, 1964-65					Fruit		Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Total				Thrips 6/13	Leafspot				Catalog Remarks		
		Origin	Bot. Gp.	Growth Habit	Branch- ing	Gms/100 Seed	Testa Color	No. Size		Seed Const.	Yield (lb/A)	SMK (%)	SS (%)		OK (%)	7/15	8/3	8/16		9/21	
471	261997	Paraguay		S		S	R	M	2-3	S	1717	1154	59.8	0.5	7.4	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	
472	261997	Paraguay		S		S	F	M	2-3	M	2207	1476	57.1	0.8	9.8	2.5	1.0	2.0	2.5	3.5	
473	-	Paraguay					F	S	2	M	2289	1470	61.8	2.0	2.4	2.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	4.0	
474	-	Paraguay					F	M	2	S	2534	1766	66.9	1.8	2.8	2.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	4.0	
475	-	Paraguay					F	M	2	S	2698	1791	62.1	1.0	4.3	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	
476	262012	Paraguay		S		S	R	VL	2-4	S	2289	1577	60.6	0.8	8.3	2.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	Robust.
477	262014	Paraguay		S		S	F	VL	2-3	S	2453	1560	54.8	0.4	8.8	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	
478	262088	Brazil		S		S	R	VL	2-3	S	2044	1253	52.0	0.9	9.3	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	
479	-						R	M	2	S	2207	1549	66.1	2.0	4.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	
480	262016	Paraguay		S		M	F	VL	3-4	M	2861	1837	58.5	2.5	5.7	2.5	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.5	
481	262101	Bolivia		S, VL			R	L	2-3	S	1635	984	54.1	0.6	6.1	2.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	
482	262019	Paraguay		S			R	L	2-3	S	2126	1307	53.9	1.7	7.6	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	3.5	
483	262020	Paraguay		S			R	VL	2-3	N	2044	1388	60.4	1.8	7.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	
484	262022	Paraguay					R	VL	2-4	S	1880	1109	53.7	0.5	5.3	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.5	
485	262105	Bolivia		S, VL			R	L	2-3	S	1226	739	54.4	1.5	5.9	1.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
486	-						F	S	2	S	2371	1586	64.2	1.5	2.7	1.5	3.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	
487	-						F	M	2	S	2534	1622	61.5	1.4	2.5	2.5	1.5	2.5	3.0	3.5	
488	262034	Brazil		S			R	L	2-3	S	2044	1226	52.9	0.9	7.1	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	3.5	
489	262036	Brazil		S			R	L	2-3	S	1635	991	55.1	1.1	5.5	2.5	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.5	
490	262037	Brazil		S			R	VL	2-3	S	1635	992	53.0	0.6	7.7	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	3.5	
491	262038	Brazil		S			R	VL	2-3	S	1145	749	59.1	0.8	6.3	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.0	
492	262040	Brazil		S			R	VL	2-3	S	1308	811	54.4	0.7	7.6	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	
493	262087	Brazil		S			R	VL	2-3	S	2534	1530	57.2	0.7	3.2	2.5	0.0	0.5	2.0	3.5	
494	262045	Brazil		S			F	L	2-3	S	1063	1245	49.2	1.7	6.8	2.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	
495	262046	Brazil		S			R	L	2-3	S	1144	639	49.6	0.8	6.3	2.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
496	262050	Brazil		S			F	L	2-3	S	1799	1036	49.1	1.0	8.5	3.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	3.5	
497	262051	Brazil		S			F	VL	2-3	S	1717	1019	52.6	1.1	6.8	2.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	
498	262052	Brazil		S			R	VL	2-3	S	1635	946	51.3	2.2	6.6	2.5	1.0	1.0	3.0	3.5	
499	262062	Brazil		S			R	L	2-3	S	1962	1163	52.4	0.5	6.9	2.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
500	262072	Brazil	No Plants																		
501	262073	Brazil		S			R	VL	2-3	S	1308	775	52.4	0.7	6.9	2.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	
502	262074	Brazil		S			R	L	2-3	S	1390	802	49.9	1.3	7.8	2.5	1.0	1.5	3.5	3.5	
503	262075	Brazil		S			W	L	2-3	S	2207	1253	49.8	0.4	7.0	2.5	1.5	1.5	2.5	3.5	
504	262076	Brazil		S			F	VL	2-3	N	1962	1096	50.9	0.4	5.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
505	262080	Brazil		S			R	VL	3-4	S	1962	1216	55.1	1.4	6.9	2.0	0.5	1.0	2.5	3.5	
506	274201	Bolivia	S-VL			29.8-35.0	R	L	2-3	S	1063	584	47.4	0.8	7.6	1.5	0.5	1.0	2.5	3.5	Midseason, 75.0% meats.
507	261897	Bolivia		S			R	L	2-4	S	818	470	52.0	0.2	5.5	2.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	3.5	
508	261895	Bolivia					R	VL	2-4	S	1144	648	51.2	1.6	5.5	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	
509	261932	Paraguay		S			F	L	2-3	S	2453	1574	58.7	0.7	5.5	2.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
510	261934	Paraguay		S			F	M	2	S	1962	1188	52.3	0.4	8.3	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	
511	261933	Paraguay		S			F	M	2-3	S	1144	684	56.7	0.8	3.1	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	3.5	
512	261935	Paraguay		S			F	M	2-3	S	1717	1006	44.6	0.5	14.0	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	3.5	
513	261938	Paraguay		S			R	VL	2-3	N	1226	766	53.7	0.7	8.8	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.5	3.5	
514	261927	Argentina		S, V			DkR	M	2	S	1799	1126	57.1	1.0	5.5	2.5	1.0	1.5	3.5	3.5	Robust, early, 77.0% meats.
515	274203	Bolivia	S-V			29.8-35.0	DkR	L	2-3	S	2044	1277	55.5	0.9	7.0	3.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
516	261940	Bolivia		V, BR			R	M	2-3	S	3025	2038	61.4	0.3	6.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	
517	261951	Paraguay		S		M	R	VL	2-4	S	2861	1573	50.3	0.6	4.7	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	4.0	

TABLE I (Continued)

Okla. P.I. P-No.	No.	Seed Catalog, 1964-65				Fruit			Kernel Total				Leafspot				Catalog Remarks		
		Origin	Bot. Growth Cp. Habit	Branch- ing	Gms/100 Seed	Testa Color	No. Size	Seed Const.	Yield (lb/A)	Yield (lb/A)	SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)	Thrips 6/13	7/15	8/3		8/16	9/21
518	261952	Paraguay	S		S	DkR	VL 2-4	S	1962	1161	51.9	2.3	7.3	2.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
519	261953	Paraguay	S		S	DkR	VL 2-4	S	1717	1071	55.1	0.5	7.3	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
520	261958	Paraguay	S		S	R	L 2-3	S	2371	1510	56.9	0.3	6.8	2.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	4.0	
521	261968	Paraguay	S		S	F	L 2-3	S	1962	1314	60.1	0.4	6.9	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0	
522	261971	Paraguay	S		S	F	M 2-3	S	2289	1538	59.0	1.6	8.2	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	
523	261974	Paraguay	S		S	R	L 2-4	S	3270	2125	58.7	1.1	6.3	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	
524	261977	Paraguay	S		S	R	L 2-4	S	2126	1252	54.2	0.4	4.7	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	
525	261976	Paraguay	S		M	DkR	VL 2-3	N	1308	765	54.4	0.7	4.1	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
526	261977	Paraguay	S		S	DkR	VL 2-4	S	1962	1018	47.3	0.9	4.6	1.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.0	
527	261984	Paraguay	S		S	F	M 2-4	M	2453	1641	61.0	1.1	5.9	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	4.0	
528	261985	Paraguay	S		S	F	M 2	S	3025	1936	57.8	1.5	6.2	2.0	1.5	1.5	2.5	4.0	
529	261988	Paraguay	S		S	DkR	S 2	S	2534	1875	70.8	3.6	3.2	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	4.0	
530	261994	Paraguay	S, V		S	F	L 2-3	S	1962	1285	60.6	1.8	4.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	
531	261995	Paraguay	S		S	DkR	L 2-3	S	2371	1596	57.8	1.9	9.5	2.0	1.5	1.5	2.5	3.5	
532	262001	Paraguay	S		S	R	VL 2-3	N	2534	1546	56.4	1.0	4.6	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
533	262013	Paraguay	S		S	F	M 2-3	S	2861	1817	55.0	0.9	8.5	2.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	3.5	
534	262025	Paraguay	S		S	DkR	VL 2-3	N	2044	1222	52.8	0.4	7.0	2.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
535	262005	Paraguay	S		M	R	VL 2-4	S	2453	1546	57.2	13.2	6.2	2.5	1.5	1.5	2.5	4.0	
536	262097	Bolivia	S		S	R	L 2-3	S	2207	1622	69.8	0.8	3.7	2.5	1.0	2.0	3.5	3.0	
536 A	262094	Bolivia	S		S	R	L 2-3	S	1635	1046	58.5	0.6	5.5	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	
537	262066	Brazil	S		M	R	VL 2-4	S	1472	883	53.3	0.6	6.7	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	
538	262059	Brazil	S		M	R	VL 2-3	S	1390	845	51.8	0.6	9.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	3.5	
539	261965	Paraguay	S, V		S	DkR	M 2	N	2453	1496	56.2	1.1	4.8	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	3.5	
540	262100	Bolivia	S		S	R	VL 2-4	S	2044	1089	48.9	0.9	4.4	2.0	0.5	1.0	2.0	3.5	
541	262104	Bolivia	S		M	R	VL 2-3	N	1799	1000	50.1	3.5	5.5	2.0	0.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	
542	261969	Paraguay	S, V		S	F&R	2-3	S	3107	2020	58.6	2.0	6.4	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	4.0	
543	248755	India	S		28.4-29.5	F	M 2	M	2044	1250	54.2	4.0	7.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	4.0	Midseason, 79.0% meats.
544	248756	India	S		28.0	F	S 2	S	2207	1450	60.0	2.9	5.7	2.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	4.0	Midseason.
545	262087	Brazil	S		V	R	L 2-3	S	2044	1243	51.1	0.9	9.7	1.5	0.5	0.5	2.0	3.5	
546	248757	India	S		28.0	F	S 2	S	2861	1817	58.5	3.1	5.0	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	4.0	Midseason, 80.0% meats.
547	248758	India	S		28.4-29.5	F	M 2	S	2861	1925	62.9	3.1	4.4	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	4.0	Early.
548	248759	India	S		28.4-29.5	F	M 2	S	2289	1559	64.2	2.8	3.9	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	Medium early, Spanish pod.
549	248760	India	S		28.4-29.5	F	M 2	S	2943	1992	63.7	4.6	4.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0	Medium early.
550	248761	India	S		28.4-29.5	F	M 2	S	2861	1848	60.5	3.8	4.1	2.0	1.5	1.5	2.5	4.0	Early.
551	248762 A	India	IS		35.4-43.0	F	M 2	S	2453	1685	63.9	2.2	4.8	1.5	1.0	2.0	2.5	3.5	Early.
552	248763	India	S		28.4-29.5	F	M 2	S	2861	1791	57.6	1.9	5.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	Midseason, Spanish pod.
553	248766	India	S		28.4-29.5	F	M 2	S	2207	1333	55.5	2.4	4.9	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.5	3.5	Medium early, Spanish pod.
554	248767	India	S		29.8-35.0	F	M 2	S	1799	1072	52.6	2.0	7.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.5	3.5	Early, Spanish pod.
555	248768	India	S		28.4-29.5	F	M 2	S	2371	1434	56.5	2.7	3.8	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	4.0	Medium early, Spanish pod.
556	248768					R	VL 2-3	S	2044	1171	48.5	1.8	8.8	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	
557	247378	S.Africa	S		28.4-29.5	F	M 2	S	2289	1467	60.6	1.6	3.5	2.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	4.0	Medium early, Spanish pod.
558	240546		VL		29.8-35.0	F	L 2-3	N	2616	1721	52.7	1.4	13.1	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0	Early, Valencia pod.
559	240555		S		28.4-29.5	F	M 2	S	3025	1939	60.5	3.0	3.6	1.5	1.5	2.0	3.5	3.5	Medium early, Spanish pod.
560	240561		VL		29.8-35.0	DkR	M 2-4	S	2453	1550	56.2	1.5	7.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	Medium early.
561	240572		S		28.4-29.5	F	M 2	S	2534	1667	60.8	3.2	5.0	2.5	1.0	2.0	3.5	4.0	Medium early, Spanish pod.
562	240578		S		28.4-29.5	F	M 2	S	3025	1993	60.8	2.4	5.1	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.5	3.5	Medium early, Spanish pod.
563	240579		VL		29.8-35.0	R	L 2-4	S	2289	1353	52.4	1.2	6.7	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	Medium early, Valencia pod.

TABLE I (Continued)

Okla. P.I. P-No.	No.	Origin	Seed Catalog, 1964-65			Testa Color	Fruit			Yield (lb/A)	Yield (lb/A)	SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)	Thrips 6/13	Leafspot				Catalog Remarks
			Bot.	Growth	Branch-		No.	Seed	Const.							7/15	8/3	8/16	9/21	
			Gp.	Habit	ing		Size													
564	268592	N.Rhodesia	S	B	P	R	L	2-3	S	3107	2010	59.8	0.6	4.9	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	VL pods.
565	268597	N.Rhodesia	S	B	P	R&F	M	2	M	2943	1875	59.4	4.3	4.3	1.5	1.0	1.0	2.5	4.0	
566	268600	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	R	M	2-3	M	572	377	56.5	3.1	9.4	2.0	0.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	
567	268601	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	R	M	2	S	818	559	65.0	3.3	3.3	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	3.5	Short tops.
568	268604	N.Rhodesia	S,VL	B	M	F	M	2-3	M	1472	991	60.6	5.5	6.7	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	
569	268613	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	S	1717	1063	55.1	2.6	6.8	2.5	0.5	1.5	2.0	3.5	
570	268614	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	F	M	2	S	2943	1945	64.0	2.8	2.1	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.5	4.0	Pod size variable.
571	268615	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	S	2698	1764	62.4	3.0	3.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	3.5	4.0	
572	268618	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M-P	F&R	M	2	S	1962	1332	61.5	2.3	6.4	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	4.0	Pod size variable.
573	268620	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	S	1880	991	46.5	1.9	6.2	2.0	0.5	1.0	2.0	3.5	Pod size variable.
574	268623	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F&R	M	2	M	2044	1061	47.1	2.6	4.8	2.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	Pod size variable.
575	268624	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	M	1145	622	48.8	3.1	5.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	Nondescript pods and seed.
576	268625	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	S	1635	1054	60.1	2.8	4.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.5	Nondescript pods and seed.
577	268626	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	S	2861	1837	61.4	3.5	2.8	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
578	268627	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	1962	1322	63.3	3.2	4.1	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.			
579	268628	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	1635	1064	60.1	3.3	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	4.0					
580	268629	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	1063	657	55.9	4.2	5.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.5	4.0					
581	266630	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	1635	1046	58.5	2.8	5.5	2.0	1.5	1.5	3.5	4.0					Variable pods and seed.
582	268631	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	1962	1350	64.7	3.2	4.1	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0					Variable pods and seed.
583	268633	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	2453	1668	64.7	3.3	3.3	1.5	1.5	2.0	3.0	3.5					Variable pods and seed.
584	268634	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	2044	1333	59.5	1.3	5.7	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5					Nondescript.
585	268635	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	2616	1794	65.8	3.1	2.8	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	4.0					Variable pods and seed.
586	268636	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	2534	1776	68.0	3.6	2.1	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	4.0					Variable pods and seed.
587	268637	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	2780	1776	60.7	3.6	3.2	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	3.5					Highly variable.
588	268638	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	2943	1848	60.0	3.7	2.8	2.5	1.5	2.0	3.0	3.5					Variable pods and seed.
(6/20) (8/5) (8/21) (9/23)																				
589	268641	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	S	2	D	818	739	76.0	7.7	14.3	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0		Variable pods and seed.
590	268642	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	M	736	506	63.8	3.8	5.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0		Variable pods and seed.
591	268646	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	M	1472	885	54.6	3.1	5.5	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0		Variable pods and seed.
592	268647	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	W	M	2	M	1226	766	55.1	2.2	7.4	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0		
593	268649	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	S	2	M	1063*	577	47.5	6.8	6.8	0.5	1.0	1.5	3.0		Variable pods and seed.
594	268654	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	M	572	382	59.1	6.1	7.6	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0		Variable pods and seed.
595	268657	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	F	S	2	M	1145*	730	57.5	7.1	6.3	1.0	1.0	1.5	4.0		Very short tops.
596	268664	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	572*	399	60.3	6.3	9.5	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0						
597	268665	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	1308*	874	58.5	6.9	8.3	1.5	1.5	1.5	3.0						
598	268666	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	818*	496	54.0	5.5	6.6	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0						
599	268667	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	245*	117	40.5	7.4	7.4	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0						
600	268668	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	491	307	55.2	3.7	7.4	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0						Some plants coarse.
601	268669	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	409*	207	44.0	4.4	6.6	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0						Variable pods.
602	268669	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	164*	63	27.5	5.5	11.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0						Variable pods.
603	268670	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	R	M	2	M	899	495	46.1	4.0	9.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0		Some plants coarse.
604	268672	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	409*	324	66.1	6.6	13.2	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0						
605	268673	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	491*	415	77.2	11.0	7.4	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0						
606	268674	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	736*	478	56.3	1.2	8.6	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0						Very short pods.
607	268675	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	491	334	57.0	3.7	11.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0						
608	268676	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	248*	144	51.5	3.7	7.4	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0						
609	268677	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	899*	612	59.1	6.0	9.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	3.0						White seed coat.
610	268678	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	818*	550	56.2	5.5	11.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.5						White seed coat.

TABLE I (Continued)

Okla. P.I. P-No.	No.	Origin	Seed Catalog, 1964-65			Testa Color	Fruit			Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Total			OK (%)	Thrips 6/20	Leafspot			Catalog Remarks
			Bot. Gp.	Growth Habit	Branch- ing		No.	Seed	Const.		Yield	SMK (%)	SS (%)			8/5	8/21	9/23	
611	268679	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	W	M	2	S	818*	598	65.2	5.6	7.9	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	White seed coat.
612	268683	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	S	1063	748	65.3	8.5	5.1	2.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	Short tops.
613	268685	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	S	1472	920	57.0	5.5	5.5	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	
614	268686	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	L	2	S	1226	846	63.9	2.9	5.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.5	
615	268690	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	S	2	M	1962	1297	58.3	6.0	7.8	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
616	268695	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	S	2	M	1308	874	59.9	5.5	6.9	1.0	0.5	2.0	4.0	Variable pods and seed.
617	268696	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	M	1226*	766	56.6	2.9	5.9	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	
618	268697	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	F	S	2	S	1635*	1185	65.9	6.6	6.6	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	
619	268698	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	S	2	M	1308	973	68.2	7.6	6.2	1.0	0.5	1.5	3.0	
620	268699	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	F	M	2	M	1063*	657	56.7	5.9	5.1	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
621	268700	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	M	1635*	937	49.6	2.8	7.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
622	268701	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	M	1226*	756	55.1	5.1	6.6	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
623	268702	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	S	899*	531	48.1	5.0	11.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	
624	268703	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	M	1145	658	51.2	7.1	6.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
625	268703	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	M	899	486	51.1	3.0	3.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
626	268704	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	F	M	2	M	491	325	60.7	9.2	5.5	1.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
627	268706	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	M	1635	1046	56.8	6.1	7.2	0.5	0.5	1.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
628	268707	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	F	M	2	M	1390*	981	62.8	5.9	7.8	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.5	
629	268708	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	M	1308	783	54.4	2.8	5.5	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
630	268709	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	M	1145	695	55.2	2.4	5.5	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
631	268710	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	D	818*	550	61.7	7.7	5.5	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
632	268711	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	S	2	M	1390	937	61.6	5.8	5.8	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.5	
633	268712	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	S	2	M	1390	937	62.2	3.2	5.2	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
634	268713	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	S	2	S	981	640	60.7	3.7	4.6	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.5	
635	268714	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	S	2	S	2126	1460	61.9	5.1	6.8	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	
636	268715	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	S	1799*	1153	59.6	5.5	4.5	1.0	0.5	1.5	3.0	
637	268716	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	M	654	360	49.6	6.9	5.5	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.5	Short tops.
638	268717	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	M	981	542	48.8	2.8	6.4	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	Variation in tops.
639	268718	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	S	1226	739	53.7	2.2	6.6	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	Variation in tops.
640	268719	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	F	M	2	S	899	585	60.1	4.0	5.0	2.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	Short tops.
641	268720	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	F	M	2	M	818	487	55.1	2.2	4.4	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	
642	268721	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	D	981	594	56.0	6.4	4.6	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
643	268721	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	M	1308	838	57.9	6.9	6.2	2.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
644	268722	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	M	899	693	71.1	6.0	6.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	
645	268723	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	M	899	567	55.1	2.0	8.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
646	268723	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	F	M	2	M	818	541	56.2	7.7	9.9	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
647	268724	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	M	1308	757	51.7	2.8	6.2	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	
648	268725	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	S	1226	866	66.2	3.7	4.4	2.0	0.5	1.5	3.0	Short tops.
649	268726	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	M	491	361	66.2	5.5	7.4	1.5	1.0	1.0	3.0	
650	268727	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	M	491	298	53.3	1.8	7.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	
651	268728	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	F	M	2	M	818	586	65.0	5.5	6.6	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	
652	268729	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	S	1063	630	53.4	3.4	5.9	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	
653	268730	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	M	491	262	45.9	5.5	7.4	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	
654	268732	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	F	M	2	S	572	467	70.7	3.1	11.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	
655	268733	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	F	M	2	S	818	622	69.4	4.4	6.6	2.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	
656	268734	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	F	M	2	M	899	611	61.0	4.0	7.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Finely branched.
657	268735	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	F	M	2	S	654	351	44.1	1.4	9.6	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.

TABLE I (Continued)

Okla. P.I. P-No.	No.	Origin	Seed Catalog, 1964-65			Gms/100 Seed	Testa Color	Fruit			Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Total			Thrips 6/20	Leafspot			Catalog Remarks	
			Bot. Growth Gp. Habit	Branch- ing	No.			Seed	Const.	Yield (lb/A)		SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)		8/5	8/21	9/23		
658	268736	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	35.9	F	M	2	M	572	342	53.5	1.6	6.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	
659	268737	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.0-36.3	F	M	2	S	572	539	83.3	4.7	11.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
660	268738	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.9	F	M	2	S	818	478	51.8	4.4	6.6	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	Short tops.
661	268739	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	34.2-36.8	F	M	2	S	735	414	49.0	2.4	7.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
662	268739	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	34.2-36.8	F	M	2	S	491	343	64.3	5.5	5.5	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
663	268741	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	33.0	F	M	2	M	654	333	45.4	4.1	5.5	1.0	0.5	1.0	2.5	
664	268742	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	33.0	F	M	2	S	654	441	63.4	2.8	4.1	0.5	0.5	1.0	3.0	
665	268743	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	33.0-36.3	F	M	2	M	409	279	61.7	8.8	6.6	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
666	268743	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	33.0-36.3	F	M	2	M	491	217	40.5	3.7	3.7	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
667	268744	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.5	F	M	2	S	735	533	67.4	6.6	5.1	1.0	0.5	2.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
668	268745	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	34.2	F	M	2	S	164	126	71.4	5.5	5.5	0.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	
669	268746	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	33.6	F	M	2	S	1390	884	57.1	3.9	6.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.5	
670	268747	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.2-37.8	F	M	2	S	327	162	46.9	13.8	2.8	1.5	1.0	1.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
671	268747	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.2-37.8	F	M	2	S	491	298	53.3	9.2	7.4	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
672	268748	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.9	F	M	2	M	409	225	48.4	6.6	6.6	1.0	0.5	2.0	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
673	268748	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	30.2	F	M	2	M	2044	1406	60.4	7.0	8.4	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.
674	268751	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	35.0	W	M	2	M	245*	126	36.8	3.7	11.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	3.0	White seed coat.
675	268753	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	33.0	F	M	2	M	245	153	55.2	7.4	7.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Short tops.
676	268754	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	36.8	F	M	2	M	1635	1153	65.5	8.3	5.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.5	Short tops.
677	268757	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	33.0	F	M	2	M	327*	154	44.2	8.3	2.8	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.5	Finely branched.
678	268761	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	31.2	F	M	2	D	491	606	69.9	9.2	12.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Finely branched.
679	268762	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	34.2	F	M	2	D	899*	528	51.5	10.3	7.2	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	
680	268763	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.9	F	M	2	M-D	1717*	1032	55.3	8.0	4.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	
681	268764	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	33.0	F	M	2	D	2207	1406	58.8	9.4	4.9	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
682	268765	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	30.2	F	M	2	D	1717	1137	57.3	7.9	8.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Finely branched.
683	268766	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	34.6	F	M	2	M	2044*	1241	55.0	7.0	5.7	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	
684	-	-	-	-	-	-	F	M	2	S	1553*	991	58.0	5.8	5.8	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.5	
685	268769	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.9	F	M	2	D	2044	1251	57.7	4.8	3.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Short tops.
686	268770	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	37.3	F	M	2	D	491*	298	55.2	9.2	5.5	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	
687	268771	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	37.8-42.3	F	L	2	M	1308*	946	66.8	7.6	5.5	1.5	0.5	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
688	268772	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.9-37.8	F	M	2	M	1635	984	55.2	7.2	5.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
689	268772	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.9-37.8	F	M	2	D	2207	1441	60.0	5.3	5.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
690	268773	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	33.0-36.8	F	M	2	D	1880	1288	62.3	8.1	6.2	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
691	268773	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	36.8-38.0	F	M	2	D	1226	766	57.4	3.7	5.1	1.5	0.5	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
692	268774	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	30.8-33.0	F	M	2	D	1390	901	55.7	7.1	9.1	1.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
693	268774	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	30.8-33.0	F	M	2	D	1063	721	57.6	7.6	10.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
694	268776	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.0	F	M	2	M-D	1308	901	62.0	4.8	6.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Finely branched.
695	268777	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	27.8	F	M	2	D	1635	945	50.1	3.3	7.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Finely branched.
696	268778	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	30.5	F	S	2	D	1635	999	50.6	3.8	10.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Finely branched.
697	268781	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	36.8-47.3	F	L	2	D	1380	787	49.9	3.9	7.1	2.0	0.5	1.5	2.5	Variable pods and seed.
698	268782	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	40.5	F	L	2	M	1553	882	51.0	2.9	5.8	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	
699	268783	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.9	F	M	2	M	1145	694	55.9	5.5	4.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Finely branched.
700	268784	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.0	F	M	2	M	1145	762	62.0	10.8	4.6	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	Finely branched.
701	268785	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	30.8	F	M	2	M-D	1635	1018	57.9	9.9	4.4	1.5	0.5	1.5	3.0	
702	268786	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.2-34.2	F	M	2	M	2044	1406	62.6	4.8	6.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
703	268786	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.2-34.2	F	M	2	D	1380	804	53.1	7.1	5.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
704	268787	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.9-36.5	F	M	2	D	2289*	1415	55.9	9.8	5.9	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.

TABLE I (Continued)

Okla. P.I. P-No.	No.	Origin	Seed Catalog, 1964-65			Gms/100 Seed	Testa Color	Fruit			Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Total			SS (%)	OK (%)	Thrips 6/20	Leafspot			Catalog Remarks
			Bot. Growth Cp. Habit	Branch- ing				No. Seed Const.	Yield (lb/A)	SMK (%)		8/5	8/21	9/23							
705	268790	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	33.0	F	M	2	D	1635	991	55.1	3.8	5.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0		
706	268791	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.9-34.2	F	M	2	D	1226	802	57.3	4.4	8.1	0.5	1.0	1.0	2.5	Variable pods and seed.	
707	268791	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.9-34.2	F	M	2	D	1226	793	57.3	4.4	7.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
708	268792	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.9-36.8	F	M	2	D	818	563	64.5	11.8	4.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
709	268792	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.9-36.8	F	M	2	D	1226	729	54.4	2.9	5.1	1.0	0.5	1.0	2.5	Variable pods and seed.	
710	268793	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	32.6	F	M	2	D	1308	855	60.6	6.9	4.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0		
711	268794	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	34.2	F	M	2	M	1308	874	59.9	6.2	6.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
712	268795	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	34.2-38.8	F	M	2	M-D	1390	926	61.2	7.9	5.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
713	268796	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	37.3-38.8	F	M	2	M	1880	1188	59.4	8.1	3.8	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
714	268796	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	37.3-38.8	F	M	2	M	2126	1441	63.6	7.6	4.2	1.0	0.5	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
715	268797	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.5	F	M	2	M	1799	1081	55.1	7.0	5.0	1.0	0.5	1.5	3.0		
716	268798	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	30.8	F	S	2	D	2453	1486	52.9	5.1	7.7	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0		
717	268799	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.0	F	M	2	M	1472	929	57.6	5.5	5.5	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0		
718	268800	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	33.0	F	M	2	M	1145	666	54.3	9.4	3.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0		
719	268801	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	31.9-37.3	F	M	2	D	1717*	1082	58.3	3.7	4.7	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
720	268802	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.5-35.0	F	M	2	D	1799	1054	52.1	7.5	6.5	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
721	268802	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.5-35.0	F	M	2	D	1390	784	51.2	4.5	5.2	0.5	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
722	268803	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	34.2	F	M	2	S	1635	1027	59.0	7.2	3.8	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0		
723	268804	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	36.8	F	M	2	D	1553	1000	60.3	12.2	4.1	0.5	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
724	268805	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.2	F	S	2	M	1308	848	55.8	9.0	9.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0		
725	268806	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.9-42.3	F	M	2	M	654*	522	73.0	13.8	6.9	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	Variable.	
726	268807	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.4	F	M	2	D	1472*	848	52.7	6.1	4.9	1.0	0.5	1.5	3.0		
727	268808	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	28.9	F	S	2	D	1717	1054	52.0	4.7	9.4	1.0	0.5	1.5	3.0		
728	268809	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.0	F	S	2	D	1880	1307	65.2	7.7	4.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0		
729	268811	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.0	F	M	2	M	1380	842	57.1	5.2	3.9	1.0	1.0	1.5	4.0	Variable pods and seed.	
730	268811	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.0	F	M	2	S	1799	1063	55.1	3.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.5	Variable pods and seed.	
731	268812	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	29.5	F	S	2	D	491*	289	51.5	7.4	7.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Finely branched.	
732	268814	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	33.6	F	M	2	M	164*	81	38.5	5.5	11.0	1.0	0.5	1.5	3.0	Coarsely branched.	
733	268815	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.9	F	S	2	D	1226	812	56.6	4.4	9.6	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0		
734	268816	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.9	F	M	2	S	1308	829	56.5	2.8	6.9	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
735	268817	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.5	F	M	2	M	1472	968	55.9	3.7	9.9	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0		
736	268818	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	33.0	F	M	2	M	1226	856	61.0	5.9	8.8	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0		
737	268819	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	39.9	F	M	2	M	572	431	66.0	6.3	9.4	1.0	1.0	1.5	2.5	Coarsely branched.	
738	268820	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	37.3	F	S	2	M	1962	1279	58.3	7.8	6.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0		
739	268821	N.Rhodesia	VL	B	S	29.2-35.4	F	L	2-3	M	1553	828	48.7	1.7	4.6	1.0	0.5	1.0	2.5	Variable pods and seed.	
740	268822	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	39.9-48.9	F	L	2	M	1472	938	58.2	3.7	5.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
741	268823	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	31.9	F	M	2	S	818	487	52.9	2.2	6.6	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
742	268824	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	39.9	F	M	2	S	2616	1703	60.6	3.4	4.5	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0		
743	268825	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	38.3	F	L	2	M	1063	756	66.9	1.7	4.2	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0		
744	268826	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	30.2	F	S	2	M	2044	1290	53.8	4.4	9.3	1.0	0.0	1.0	3.0		
745	268827	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	38.3	F	L	2	S	572	333	53.5	6.3	4.7	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
746	268828	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	31.5-38.8	F	M	2	M	2453	1621	61.0	2.6	5.1	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
747	268830	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	28.9-31.5	F	M	2	M	1880	1173	50.4	5.3	12.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
748	268831	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	32.6	F	M	2	M	1717	1064	56.2	2.6	5.8	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0		
749	268832	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	18.2-33.0	F	M	2	D	1799	1099	55.1	4.5	6.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.	
750	268833	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.9	F	M	2	M	1635	1082	58.5	8.3	7.7	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0		
751	268834	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	36.8	F	M	2	D	1308	747	53.0	9.0	4.1	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0		
752	268835	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	33.0	F	M	2	M	1308	936	62.6	9.6	9.1	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0		

TABLE I (Continued)

Okla. P.I. P-No.	No.	Origin	Seed Catalog, 1964-65			Gms/100 Seed	Testa Color	Fruit			Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Total			Thrips 6/20	Leafspot			Catalog Remarks	
			Bot. Growth Gr.	Branch- Habit	ing			No. Seed	Const.	Yield (lb/A)		SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)		8/5	8/21	9/23		
753	270768	N.Rhodesia	VL	B	S	37.8	R	M	2	D	654	405	53.7	4.1	8.3	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	
754	270776	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	31.2	F	M	2	M	818	586	61.7	7.7	9.9	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	
755	270778	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	33.0	R	M	2	M	327*	253	63.6	2.8	13.8	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	
756	270784	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	38.3	R	L	2	M	491	280	51.5	7.4	5.5	1.0	1.5	1.5	2.5	Variable.
806	261946	Paraguay	S			S	DkR	VL	2-3	S	981	587	52.4	1.8	7.4	1.0	1.5	1.5	2.5	
807	261954	Paraguay	S			S	DkR	VL	2-4	S	899	621	65.1	1.0	4.0	2.0	1.5	1.5	2.5	
808	261955	Paraguay	S			S	R	M	2	M	654*	451	64.8	1.4	4.1	1.5	1.0	1.0	3.0	
809	261957	Paraguay	S			M	DkR	L	2	S	899	603	63.1	2.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	
810	262000	Paraguay	S,V			M	F	L	2	D	1226	856	65.4	8.8	4.4	1.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	
811	261956	Paraguay	S			S	R	VL	2	S	1553	551	51.0	2.9	4.1	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	Segregating.
812	261959	Paraguay	S			M	DkR	L	2-3	S	981*	587	56.1	2.8	3.7	1.5	1.0	1.0	3.0	
813	261978	Paraguay	S			M	DkR	L	2	S	736	478	60.0	2.4	4.9	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	
814	262004	Paraguay	S			S	R	L	2	M	1145*	686	54.4	1.6	5.5	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	
815	261962	Paraguay	S,VL			M	DkR	L	2	M	818	532	60.6	2.2	4.4	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	
816	262048	Brazil	S			M	F	L	2	D	1308	811	57.9	7.6	4.1	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	
817	262068	Brazil	S			S	F	L	2	D	1063	630	55.1	10.2	4.2	2.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	
818	262057	Brazil	S			M	F	L	2	D	899	621	62.9	3.1	6.2	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	
819	262090	Bolivia	S			S	R	L	2-2	S	899*	513	52.1	1.0	5.0	1.0	0.5	1.5	2.5	
820	262095	Bolivia	S			M	R	L	3	S	654	342	48.2	2.8	4.1	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	
821	262098	Bolivia	S			M	R	L	2	S	491*	316	55.2	3.7	9.2	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	
822	248762 B	India	S			35.4-43.0	W	M	2	D	1390	999	68.0	5.8	3.9	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	Early maturity.
823	247374	S.Africa	S,V			35.4-43.0	W	L	2	M	899	648	62.1	6.0	4.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	Medium early, S-V pods.
824	247375	S.Africa	S			35.4-43.0	F	L	2	M	818	604	69.4	3.3	4.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Medium early, S pod.
825	240543	IS	IS			35.4-43.0	DkR	L	2-3	S	1145*	740	62.2	2.4	2.4	1.5	1.0	1.0	3.0	Early maturity, S pod.
826	240570	IS	IS			35.4-43.0	F	M	2	M	1962	1307	62.9	4.6	3.7	1.0	0.5	1.5	3.0	Early maturity, S pod.
827	269710	Japan					F	M	2	M	491	362	66.3	3.8	7.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	
828	269719	Japan					F	M	2	M	1226	756	57.3	4.4	4.4	1.0	1.0	2.5	3.0	
829	268591	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	48.9	R	M	2	M	1145*	766	62.2	3.9	4.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	
830	268593	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	45.7	R	M	2	D	736*	424	52.7	4.9	4.9	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	
831	268595	N.Rhodesia	S	B	P-S	47.3	R	L	2	D	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	1.5	1.5	2.5	
832	268596	N.Rhodesia	S	B	P	36.8	R	M	2	M	164*	113	56.2	6.2	12.5	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	
833	268602	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	43.0	DkR	L	2	M	572*	341	50.3	3.1	9.4	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	
834	268603	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M-P	41.1	DkR	L	2	D	899*	495	47.1	4.0	8.0	1.0	0.5	1.5	3.0	
835	268604	N.Rhodesia	S,VL	B	M	40.5	F	L	2-3	M	1063	657	54.2	4.2	7.6	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	
836	268612	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	50.6	F	L	2	M	491	289	51.5	5.5	7.4	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	
837	268616	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	46.5	F	L	2	M	245*	171	58.8	3.7	11.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	Pod size variable.
838	268617	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	47.3	F	L	2	M	1226	766	58.8	3.7	3.7	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Pod size variable.
839	268619	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	47.3	F	M	2	M	572*	387	61.3	4.7	6.3	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	Pod size variable.
840	268621	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	49.7	F	M	2	M	736*	425	50.3	3.7	7.4	2.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Pod size variable.
841	268622	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	48.9	F	M	2	M	1063*	621	52.5	2.5	5.9	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	Pod size variable.
842	268630	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	35.9-45.0	F	M	2	S	1390*	954	64.7	5.2	3.9	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
843	268632	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	41.1	F	M	2	S	409*	261	57.2	6.6	6.6	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
844	268633	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	31.9-45.0	F	M	2	M	1308	846	59.2	4.8	5.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
845	268639	N.Rhodesia	S	B	P	41.1	F	L	2	S	981*	668	61.6	4.6	6.5	1.0	0.5	1.0	2.5	Variable pods and seed.
846	268640	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	41.1	F	M	2	M	1308	739	46.9	2.1	9.6	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
847	268643	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	45.7	F	M	2	D	1390	969	64.5	9.7	5.2	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	
848	268645	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	42.3	F	M	2	M	409*	288	59.5	6.6	11.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
849	268648	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	53.5	F	M	2	M	736*	415	47.8	3.7	8.6	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
850	268650	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	44.3	F	M	2	D	1226*	819	61.7	6.6	5.1	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.

TABLE I (Continued)

Okla. P.I. P-No.	No.	Seed Catalog, 1964-65			Gms/100 Seed	Testa Color	Fruit			Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Total			SS (%)	OK (%)	Thrips 6/20	Leafspot			Catalog Remarks
		Origin	Bot. Growth Gp.	Habit	Branch- ing		Size	No. Seed	Const.		Yield (lb/A)	SS (%)	OK (%)				8/5	8/21	9/23	
851	268651	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	44.3	F	M	2	M	245*	198	73.5	3.7	7.4	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	
852	268652	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	45.7	F	M	2	M	1390	820	53.8	3.9	5.2	2.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	
853	268653	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	45.7	F	L	2	M	572	394	62.3	6.6	6.6	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
854	268654	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	35.0-41.7	F	M	2	D	818	541	59.5	3.3	6.6	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
855	268655	N.Rhodesia	S	B	P	41.1	F	M	2	D	818	604	65.0	3.3	8.8	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
856	268658	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	45.0	F	L	2	D	1880	1216	59.4	1.4	5.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
857	268659	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	45.0	F	L	2	M	1063	734	62.6	2.4	6.5	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	
858	268660	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	44.3	F	M	2	M	1472	1011	62.5	5.6	6.2	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	
859	268679	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	38.8-41.1	W	M	2	M	572*	387	59.8	1.6	7.9	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.5	White seed coat.
860	268680	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	41.7	F	L	2	S	1553	960	53.9	2.3	4.6	1.0	0.5	1.0	3.0	
861	268681	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	39.4-43.6	F	L	2	S	818	577	66.1	4.4	4.4	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	
862	268682	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	41.1	F	L	2	S	1226	775	58.1	2.2	5.1	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	
863	268687	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	44.3	F	L	2	S	1308	863	61.2	3.4	4.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	
864	268688	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	45.7	F	M	2	S	1880	1273	62.0	4.7	5.7	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
865	268689	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	42.3	F	L	2	S	2126	1307	58.1	3.8	3.4	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
866	268691	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	45.0	F	M	2	M	899*	522	53.1	1.0	5.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	
867	259778	Nyasaland	VL		S-M	55.6	F	L	2	M	1226	796	59.2	2.8	5.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	Midseason, vig., 72.2% meats.
868	268693	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	45.0	F	M	2	M	491	262	46.0	3.7	7.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	Variable pods and seed.
869	268694	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	45.0	F	L	2	M	654	334	45.5	2.8	5.5	1.0	0.5	1.0	2.5	Variable pods and seed.
870	268706	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	33.0-43.6	F	M	2	M	899	495	49.1	2.8	5.5	1.0	1.5	1.5	2.5	Variable pods and seed.
871	268752	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	41.1	F	M	2	M	654	396	56.5	5.5	4.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	
872	268755	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	44.3	F	L	2	D	1472	992	61.9	5.5	5.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	3.0	
873	268756	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	41.1	F	M	2	M-D	2044	1388	63.5	6.2	4.4	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	
874	268759	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	42.3-47.3	F	M	2	D	1390	928	60.3	4.5	6.5	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
875	268779	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	42.3	F	M	2	D	1635	1081	61.1	3.8	5.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Coarsely branched.
876	268780	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	42.3	F	M	2	S	1308	837	57.1	4.1	6.9	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	Coarsely branched.
877	268781	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	36.8-47.3	F	L	2	M	1390	820	53.8	5.8	5.2	1.5	1.5	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
878	268788	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	47.3	F	L	2	S	1635	974	54.6	2.2	5.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	Highly productive.
879	268806	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	35.9-42.3	F	M	2	M	1390	992	64.9	3.2	6.5	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
880	268813	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	43.0	F	M	2	M	2126	1324	58.1	3.0	4.2	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Coarsely branched.
881	268829	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	37.3-41.1	F	M	2	M	1553*	873	51.6	4.6	4.6	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	Variable pods and seed.
882	270767	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	41.7	R	M	2	M	654*	378	50.9	4.1	6.9	1.5	2.0	2.0	3.0	
883	270786	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S	34.6-45.0	DkR	L	2	S	981	639	58.7	4.6	6.4	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Coarse.
884	270791	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	45.0	DkR	M	2	S	1063	747	64.4	2.5	5.9	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	78.0% meats.
885	270793	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M	48.1	R	M	2	D	572	362	56.6	3.3	6.7	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Hard rough shell, 74.0% meats.
886	270794	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M-P	43.6	R	L	2	M	654	513	71.6	1.4	6.9	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	Hard rough shell, 75.0% meats.
887	270795	N.Rhodesia	S	B	M-P	47.2	R	L	2	S	818	577	61.7	1.1	8.8	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	Hard rough shell, 76.0% meats.
888	270816	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	47.2	F	L	2	S	1717	1056	57.3	5.8	4.2	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	Short top, 77.0% meats.
889	270842	N.Rhodesia	S	B	S-M	41.7	R	L	2	S	654*	424	57.9	1.4	6.9	1.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	Hard shell, 75.0% meats.
890	259650	Cuba	S		M	49.7	R	VL	2-3	M	1226	721	52.9	2.2	5.9	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	M.vig., m.e., VL pods, 72.9% m.
891	259718	Peru			M	54.5	F	M	2	S	1717	1190	64.6	4.7	4.7	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.5	Vig., late, S.pods, 83.5% meats.
892	259719	Peru			M		F	L	2	S	1717	1090	59.3	2.6	4.2	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	
893	259746	Uruguay	S		S	50.6	F	L	2	M	1553	972	57.4	2.9	5.2	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	Mod.vig., m.e., S.pods, 76.9%
894	259754	Venezuela			M	53.5	F	L	2	D	1226	756	56.6	5.1	5.1	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	Vig., mid, S.pods, 78.0% meats.
895	259756	Venezuela	S		M	30.5	F	M	2	D	1553	936	56.8	5.2	3.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	M.vig., early, S.pods, 79.4% m.
896	259775	Nyasaland	S		M-S	54.5	F	L	2	S	1226	783	58.0	2.9	5.9	1.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	M.vig., early, S.pods, 74.5% m.
897	259825	Nyasaland	V	R-B	M-P	65.9	R	L	2-3	S	818	577	63.9	1.1	6.6	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.5	E.vig., late, V.pods, 67.0% meats.
898	259834	Nyasaland	S		S-M	61.6	F	L	2-3	M	818	568	61.7	4.4	7.7	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	Vig., mid, V.pods, 73.0% meats.
899	259835	Nyasaland	S		M	55.6	F	L	2	M	818	505	55.1	4.4	6.6	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	Vig., mid, V.pods, 73.9% meats.
900	259603	Australia	S		M	60.3	F	L	2	D	818	496	54.0	3.3	6.6	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	Vig., mid, S-Vpods, 73.6% meats.

LEGEND FOR TABLE II

Testa Color: W = White, F = Flesh, R = Red,
 DkR = Dark Red, Pr = Purple.

Stem Color: G = Green, Pr = Purple.

* = Poor Stand.

TABLE II

PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS: SUMMARY OF DATA FROM THE PRELIMINARY TEST GROWN
IN 1964 AT THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATION NEAR PERKINS, OKLAHOMA

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Testa Color	Fruit Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)	Stem Color	Plant Ht. (cm)	Leaflet Area (cm ²)
1	Argentine	F	1799	1173	57.2	3.2	8.0	G	30.5	18.4
3	Dixie Span.	F	1862	1652	68.3	11.3	4.6	G	-	-
4	Spanter	F	1950	1796	66.6	14.0	7.0	G	-	-
6	Starr	F	2044	1282	56.7	1.8	6.0	G	33.6	16.5
337	259637	F	2044	1320	61.5	2.9	3.1	G	33.0	12.8
338	259671	F	1635	1158	65.6	2.0	5.2	G	38.1	9.1
339	259678	F	1022	745	65.9	2.4	7.0	G	38.1	9.1
340	268516	R	777	590	70.5	3.7	5.4	G	40.6	14.0
341	268545	F	900	682	73.0	2.2	2.8	G	33.0	11.0
342	268564	R	858	598	63.5	1.2	6.3	Pr	38.1	11.0
343	268573	F	1431	1047	66.2	1.7	7.0	G	35.6	6.0
344	268577	R	818	603	67.1	0.5	6.6	G	35.6	11.0
345	268595	R	1553	1064*	63.1	3.0	5.4	G	35.6	7.9
346	268595	R	1635	1122*	64.4	4.4	4.2	G	33.0	14.0
347	268596	R	1431	1010*	66.8	3.2	3.8	G	33.0	15.2
348	268598	R	940	631	67.9	0.6	4.5	G	38.1	18.4
349	268598	R	2085	1261	55.9	6.4	4.6	G	27.9	9.8
350	268598	R	1308	1006	69.8	3.2	7.1	G	38.1	15.2
351	268599	F	2494	1761	65.6	1.2	5.0	G	43.2	14.0
352	268601	R	1717	1221*	65.2	2.8	5.9	G	33.0	15.2
353	268607	R	1676	1234	70.9	1.3	2.7	G	40.6	17.0
354	268609	F&R	1553	1115	68.6	1.8	3.2	G	40.6	19.0
355	268609	F	1635	1146	62.6	1.3	7.5	Pr	40.6	15.2
356	268611	F&R	1063	780	70.9	2.9	2.5	G	33.0	19.0
357	268611	F	1145	766	63.0	2.9	3.9	G	30.5	15.2
358	268615	F	1553	1064	64.0	4.0	4.5	G	35.6	14.0
359	268616	F	1226	874	67.1	2.1	4.2	G	35.6	12.8
360	268616	F&R	1145	823	61.5	0.3	10.4	G	35.6	15.8
361	268616	F	1226	861	59.3	1.2	10.9	G	35.6	15.2
362	268626	F	1390	954	57.1	1.3	11.5	G	33.0	15.2
363	268626	F	1104	830	71.1	4.9	4.1	G	33.0	15.2
364	268633	F	1226	907	66.3	1.8	7.7	G	35.6	15.2
365	268635	F	1063	783	70.9	2.9	2.8	G	33.0	18.4
366	268636	F	1022	750	71.6	2.9	1.8	G	35.6	17.7
367	268637	F	1553	1176	71.2	1.9	4.5	G	33.0	15.2
368	268637	F	1185	888	67.7	3.2	7.2	G	35.6	18.4
369	268637	F	1022	771	68.6	3.6	6.8	G	35.6	17.0
370	268644	F	1226	940	72.1	3.0	4.6	G	33.0	15.8
371	268644	F	1676	1277	72.1	2.6	4.1	G	38.1	15.2
372	268644	F	2289	1547	62.2	1.1	5.4	G	40.6	15.2
373	268647	F	1676	1244	67.2	1.1	7.0	G	35.6	15.8
374	268648	F	1390	1034	72.6	3.4	1.8	G	35.6	15.2
375	268649	F	1921	1408	67.8	2.2	5.5	G	40.6	15.2
376	268649	F	1308	989*	70.0	3.5	5.6	G	43.2	15.8

TABLE II (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Testa Color	Fruit Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)	Stem Color	Plant Ht. (cm)	Leaflet Area (cm ²)
377	268654	F	981	738	71.8	1.9	3.4	G	30.5	16.5
378	268654	F	1226	878	67.9	2.5	3.7	G	33.0	16.5
379	268654	F	2126	1388	59.3	0.7	6.0	G	35.6	14.6
380	268657	F	1185	826	65.9	0.7	3.8	G	30.5	14.6
381	268660	F	1676	1083	51.5	1.5	13.1	G	35.6	15.8
382	268663	R	899	685	74.1	1.3	2.1	G	38.1	15.8
383	268680	F	1145	785	60.4	2.5	8.2	G	33.0	14.6
384	268680	F	1676	872	42.1	0.9	9.9	G	35.6	14.6
385	268684	F	1840	1236	59.5	1.2	7.7	G	33.0	14.6
386	268686	F&R	1513	1055	68.0	2.3	1.7	G	33.0	17.7
387	268688	F	1758	1213	63.1	2.4	5.9	G	33.0	14.0
388	268688	F	1635	1102	60.0	1.4	7.4	G	30.5	15.2
389	268689	F	1799	1232	63.4	1.1	5.1	G	33.0	13.4
390	268690	F	572	411	68.6	8.5	3.2	G	30.5	11.6
391	268690	F	2289	1502	58.1	1.4	7.5	G	38.1	12.2
392	268692	F	2167	1489	60.5	1.3	8.2	G	38.1	12.2
393	268692	F	1799	1333	66.4	0.7	7.7	Pr	40.6	18.4
394	268692	F	1758	1227	65.2	1.8	4.6	G	40.6	17.2
395	268701	F&R	1758	1238	65.7	1.5	4.7	G	40.6	18.4
396	268701	F	2085	1478	62.6	2.1	8.3	G	40.6	15.8
397	268703	F	1063	824	74.0	1.9	3.5	G	30.5	15.2
398	268704	F	1145	874	73.4	1.6	2.9	G	33.0	15.2
399	268704	F	1962	1415	67.8	0.5	4.3	G	33.0	15.2
400	268706	F	1635	1091	58.8	0.4	7.9	G	33.0	15.2
401	268707	F	1390	919	61.0	3.8	5.1	G	38.1	15.8
402	268708	F	1431	1056	68.2	1.8	5.6	G	35.6	15.2
403	268708	F	1799	1263	64.4	0.7	5.8	G	38.1	15.2
404	268708	F	1431	1068	70.6	2.8	4.0	G	33.0	15.2
405	268708	F	2167	1474	60.9	1.9	7.1	G	35.6	14.0
406	268710	F	1635	1094	63.2	3.9	3.7	G	38.1	15.8
407	268711	F	1758	1252	65.3	4.4	5.9	G	33.0	12.2
408	268711	F	1594	1132	65.7	2.1	5.3	G	33.0	14.6
409	268712	F	1635	1125	62.5	1.5	6.3	G	35.6	17.0
410	268716	F	1962	1389	64.8	1.6	6.0	G	35.6	15.2
411	268724	F	2371	1688	66.3	2.4	4.9	G	38.1	15.2
412	268724	F	2085	1464	64.2	2.9	6.0	G	35.6	15.2
413	268729	F	1921	1397	66.0	1.5	6.7	G	35.6	15.2
414	268729	F	1840	1376	70.1	1.9	4.7	G	35.6	15.2
415	268737	F	1594	1218	73.4	3.0	3.0	G	33.0	13.4
416	268739	F	1962	1442	67.3	1.9	6.2	G	35.6	14.6
417	268740	F	1104	857	64.8	1.3	12.8	G	33.0	13.4
418	268740	F	1635	1195	66.9	1.9	6.2	G	35.6	14.6
419	268740	F	1390	1027	71.6	0.1	2.3	G	33.0	17.7
420	268742	F	1431	957	53.7	1.4	13.2	G	35.6	13.4
421	268748	F	2044	1400	61.0	0.8	7.5	G	35.6	16.5
422	268749	F	1472	1073	66.4	1.7	6.5	G	33.0	12.2
423	268752	F	1267	936	68.3	2.9	5.3	G	38.1	15.2

TABLE II (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Testa Color	Fruit Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)	Stam Color	Plant Ht. (cm)	Leaflet Area (cm ²)
424	268758	F	1390	967	62.6	2.3	7.0	G	38.1	17.0
425	268759	F	1717	1221	63.3	2.3	7.8	G	35.6	14.6
426	268760	F	1349	989	69.8	5.7	3.5	G	38.1	14.0
427	268767	F	1758	1194	60.3	1.8	7.6	G	35.6	14.6
428	268769	F	1840	1227	60.2	5.4	6.5	G	33.0	15.2
429	268771	F	1717	1164*	61.4	2.7	6.4	G	33.0	14.6
430	268777	F	2412	1655*	57.5	3.5	11.1	G	30.5	13.4
431	268778	F	3434	2541*	69.4	2.6	4.6	G	40.6	16.5
432	268787	F	2003	1438	62.8	1.8	9.0	G	33.0	12.2
433	268789	F	2167	1493	63.3	1.9	5.3	G	33.0	12.2
434	268789	F	2289	1515	57.0	2.8	9.2	G	33.0	12.2
435	268790	F	2085	1393	61.9	2.3	4.9	G	33.0	12.2
436	268795	F	2126	1571	67.7	2.5	6.2	G	33.0	15.2
437	268795	F	1594	1141	67.5	0.8	4.1	G	30.5	14.6
438	268801	F	1390	1072	74.5	1.7	2.6	G	38.1	12.2
439	268808	F	1635	1176	69.2	3.3	2.7	G	33.0	15.2
440	268811	F	1390	995	65.7	1.5	5.9	G	33.0	14.0
441	268812	F	1880	1369	67.6	4.1	5.3	Pr	38.1	15.8
442	268818	F	1431	1035	68.4	2.5	3.9	G	30.5	15.2
443	268821	F	1022	635	49.5	0.7	12.6	G	38.1	15.2
444	268822	F	1267	862	63.1	2.3	4.9	G	35.6	16.5
445	268823	F	2412	1638	60.5	2.1	7.4	G	33.0	12.8
446	268825	F	2126	1433	60.2	1.7	7.2	G	35.6	15.2
447	268826	F	1349	1002	72.6	1.2	1.7	G	27.9	15.2
448	268826	F	1758	1194	59.9	1.1	8.0	G	33.0	16.5
449	268827	F	1553	1143	66.7	2.8	6.9	G	33.0	15.2
450	268828	F	1308	974	68.5	4.0	6.0	G	33.0	14.6
451	268828	F	2739	1887	65.4	1.9	3.5	Pr	40.6	15.2
452	268828	F	2371	1669	63.4	1.3	7.0	G	33.0	16.5
453	268829	F	2248	1565	64.4	2.4	5.2	G	35.6	15.2
454	268830	F	1962	1389	67.7	1.5	3.1	G	35.6	15.8
455	268832	F	-	-	62.1	2.4	9.1	G	30.5	12.8
456	270773	W	2167	1460	61.9	2.2	5.5	G	40.6	18.4
457	270773	W&F	1676	1200	67.7	0.8	3.9	G	35.6	18.4
458	270784	R&F	1676	1292	71.3	1.6	5.8	G	40.6	18.4
459	270786	Pr	1717	1252	66.0	0.7	6.9	G	38.1	18.4
460	270789	Pr	1431	1036*	67.5	0.8	4.9	G	38.1	17.0
461	270804	F	1921	1301	58.9	0.7	8.8	G	35.6	19.0
462	270804	F	1921	1322	60.6	1.2	8.2	G	38.1	17.0
463	270817	F	1431	1086	72.0	0.9	3.9	G	33.0	17.0
464	270838	W/F/Pr	2003	1306	59.2	1.0	6.0	G	38.1	17.0
465	270849	F	1758	1329	68.9	1.3	6.7	G	35.6	14.6
466	271021	F	-	-	62.8	2.2	5.3	G	35.6	16.5
467	271022	F	2453	1656	63.0	2.8	4.5	G	30.5	14.6
468	274267	F	1880	1271	57.2	1.3	10.4	G	33.0	16.5
469	261970	Pr&F	1390	1029	72.7	0.8	1.3	G	38.1	18.4
470	261989	F	1799	1279	57.8	1.6	13.3	G	35.6	20.2

TABLE II (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Testa Color	Fruit Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)	Stem Color	Plant Ht. (cm)	Leaflet Area (cm ²)
471	261997	R&F	2330	1773	70.3	0.3	5.8	Pr	43.2	17.0
472	261997	R&F	1472	1114	66.4	0.6	9.3	Pr	38.1	15.8
473	-	F&R	2085	1480	66.5	1.6	4.5	G	35.6	16.5
474	-	F	1840	1351	67.7	1.2	5.7	G	35.6	17.0
475	-	F&R	2044*	1482	68.0	1.4	4.5	G	35.6	20.2
476	262012	R	1635	1216	66.3	0.8	8.1	Pr	43.2	19.0
477	262014	F	1063	826	72.5	0.3	5.2	Pr	35.6	16.5
478	262088	R	1308	940	61.2	1.2	10.5	G	35.6	15.2
479	-	R&F	1349	1027	69.7	2.7	6.4	G	38.1	18.4
480	262016	F&R	1431	1070	66.4	0.5	8.4	Pr	38.1	18.4
481	262101	Pr	1349	982	65.2	0.5	7.6	G	38.1	18.4
482	262019	R	1185	902	68.4	0.9	7.7	Pr	35.6	16.5
483	262020	R	1921	1347	62.6	2.3	7.5	G	33.0	16.5
484	262022	R&F	1145	862	61.2	1.2	14.1	G	33.0	17.0
485	262105	R	1226	911	68.5	1.4	5.8	G	38.1	18.4
486	-	F	2167	1532	64.6	1.0	6.1	G	38.1	17.0
487	-	F	1431	1053	70.4	1.1	3.2	G	33.0	18.4
488	262034	R	1308	957	62.4	1.3	10.8	Pr	38.1	17.0
489	262036	R&F	1553	1098	60.6	0.9	10.1	Pr	40.6	18.4
490	262037	R&F	1799	1304*	63.7	0.7	8.8	Pr	43.2	15.2
491	262038	R	2044	1494	66.4	0.8	6.7	Pr	55.9	16.5
492	262040	R/W/Pr	1226	891	61.9	0.7	10.8	Pr	38.1	18.4
493	262087	R	1594	1186	62.6	1.2	11.8	G	35.6	18.4
494	262045	F	1390	1083	69.2	0.8	8.7	Pr	43.2	18.4
495	262046	R&F	1349	990	66.4	0.7	7.0	Pr	40.6	17.0
496	262050	F&Pr	1962	1440	66.7	0.7	6.7	Pr	40.6	17.0
497	262051	F&R	858	640	61.9	0.6	12.7	Pr	35.6	15.8
498	262052	R&F	1431	1052	64.6	0.2	8.9	Pr	40.6	15.8
499	262062	R	1267	938	64.4	0.3	9.6	Pr	38.1	15.2
500	262072	No Plants								
501	262073	R&F	1267	927	71.3	0.1	1.9	Pr	35.6	15.8
502	262074	R	1390	1012	61.4	1.2	11.4	Pr	38.1	18.4
503	262075	W	1022	733	71.7	1.2	4.4	G	35.6	16.5
504	262076	F	1390	1033	67.5	1.0	6.8	G	35.6	15.8
505	262080	R	1349	966	60.8	0.8	10.8	Pr	33.0	18.4
506	274201	R&F	1267	916	64.5	1.2	7.8	G	35.6	19.6
507	261897	R&F	1226	900*	68.4	1.6	5.0	Pr	38.1	17.0
508	261895	R/F/Pr	1349	962	62.5	0.5	8.8	G	35.6	20.2
509	261932	F	1676	1267	64.8	1.5	10.8	G	33.0	19.6
510	261934	F	1472	1172	74.0	1.6	5.6	G	38.1	16.5
511	261933	F	1921	1391	67.8	2.8	4.6	Pr	45.7	18.4
512	261935	F	2861	2243	67.8	1.2	10.6	G	43.2	16.5
513	261938	R	1226	954	54.9	0.7	22.9	G	38.1	18.4
514	261927	Pr/R/F/W	1594	1208	65.2	0.7	10.6	G	40.6	18.4
515	274203	Pr/F	1431	1093	66.7	0.5	9.7	G	40.6	19.6
516	261940	R&F	1676	1249	68.2	0.6	6.3	G	38.1	18.4
517	261951	R/W/Pr	1676	1170	63.0	1.0	6.8	G	43.2	20.2

TABLE II (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Testa Color	Fruit Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)	Stem Color	Plant Ht. (cm)	Leaflet Area (cm ²)
518	261952	D&R/F/Pr	1349	1025	72.4	0.3	3.6	G	38.1	17.0
519	261953	D&R/F/Pr	1308	984	69.8	0.8	5.4	G	38.1	15.2
520	261958	R/F/Pr	1635	1189	60.0	0.2	12.7	G	33.0	15.2
521	261968	F&R	1553	1115	59.6	0.2	12.2	G	33.6	16.5
522	261971	F&Pr	1349	1075	70.1	1.4	9.6	G	38.1	15.2
523	261974	R	1226	820	66.9	0.6	9.9	G	33.6	14.6
524	261977	R/F/Pr	1676	1255	67.4	0.6	7.5	G	38.1	12.8
525	261976	R/F/Pr	1431	1075	68.7	1.2	6.4	G	38.1	14.6
526	261977	R/F/Pr	1431	1035	66.3	1.0	6.0	G	38.1	16.5
527	261984	R&F	2126	1526	58.8	2.5	13.0	G	38.1	16.5
528	261985	F	1472	1111*	65.2	2.0	10.3	G	27.9	14.0
529	261988	Pr	1962	1379	61.1	2.4	9.2	G	35.6	16.5
530	261994	F	1553	1101	59.5	0.8	11.4	G	33.0	17.0
531	261995	D&R	1594	1286	68.5	5.1	12.2	G	38.1	12.8
532	262001	R	2616	1870	67.1	2.4	4.4	G	48.3	18.4
533	262013	F	1758	1322	65.2	1.0	10.0	G	33.6	22.1
534	262025	D&R	-	-	-	-	-	G	38.1	17.0
535	262005	R	-	-	-	-	-	G	38.1	19.6
536	262097	R	1308	933	64.8	0.7	6.5	G	38.1	18.4
536 A	262094	F/Pr	1145	830	63.7	1.0	8.8	G	33.6	21.5
537	262066	R	858	692	70.8	0.6	9.9	Pr	43.2	16.5
538	262059	R	940	692	62.2	0.3	10.5	Pr	38.1	14.6
539	261965	F&Pr	1472	1067	67.1	0.6	5.4	G	40.6	17.7
540	262100	R	1349	982	64.7	1.5	8.1	Pr	38.1	15.2
541	262104	R&F	1431	1030	65.7	0.5	6.3	G	30.5	18.4
542	261969	Pr&F	1390	1102	72.0	2.9	7.3	G	33.0	17.7
543	248755	F	1880	1307	60.5	3.9	9.0	G	33.0	11.0
544	248756	F	1553	1090	63.5	2.8	6.7	G	33.0	12.2
545	262087	F&R	1390	1066	66.1	1.2	10.6	Pr	35.6	15.2
546	248757	F	2371	1581	60.0	2.4	6.7	G	30.5	17.6
547	248758	F	2207	1540	64.5	2.6	5.3	G	30.5	13.4
548	248759	F	1921	1366	64.8	1.2	6.3	G	33.0	14.6
549	248760	F	2085	1472	63.6	1.1	7.0	G	33.0	14.6
550	248761	F	2044	1447	61.8	1.4	9.0	G	35.6	16.5
551	248762 A	F	2207	1578	63.9	1.1	7.6	G	35.6	16.5
552	248763	F	3924	2892	68.9	1.2	4.8	G	53.3	18.4
553	248766	F	899	609	67.7	1.0	6.0	G	33.0	19.6
554	248767	F	2003	1498	70.3	2.8	4.5	G	35.6	17.0
555	248768	F	1635	1218	71.1	0.9	5.4	G	33.0	16.5
556	248768	R	1185	871	67.2	0.8	6.3	Pr	38.1	17.7
557	247378	F	1717	1271	67.5	0.8	6.5	G	35.6	15.2
558	240546	R&F	1145	889	68.5	0.6	9.1	Pr	35.6	15.2
559	240555	F	1553	941	70.2	2.0	5.4	G	30.5	15.2
560	240561	Pr	1513	1139	72.4	0.2	2.9	G	33.0	19.0
561	240572	F	2003	1500	70.2	0.5	4.7	G	33.0	14.6
562	240578	F	2207	1503	59.8	1.2	8.3	G	35.6	18.4
563	240579	R&F	1390	1023	67.5	0.7	6.1	G	35.6	17.7

TABLE II (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Testa Color	Fruit Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)	Stem Color	Plant Ht. (cm)	Leaflet Area (cm ²)
564	268592	Pr	1553	1185	66.2	0.8	10.1	G	33.0	14.6
565	268597	R&F	2044	1427	63.1	2.7	6.7	G	30.5	15.2
566	268600	Pr	1880	1261	58.9	0.7	8.2	G	43.2	13.4
567	268601	R	2780	2127	72.1	3.9	4.2	G	33.0	20.2
568	268604	F	1431	1016	58.9	2.1	12.1	G	35.6	15.2
569	268613	F	1390	1052	70.0	4.1	5.7	G	38.1	17.0
570	268614	F	2044	1504	68.7	1.0	4.9	G	30.5	16.5
571	268615	F	940	660	70.3	1.8	4.6	G	35.6	18.4
572	268618	F	2453	1798	69.0	4.5	4.3	G	45.7	17.7
573	268620	F	1226	877	65.9	1.8	5.6	G	33.0	18.4
574	268623	F	858	535	62.3	1.7	7.8	G	35.6	18.4
575	268624	F	1145	807	61.6	0.8	8.9	G	40.6	19.6
576	268625	F	1104	795	65.0	2.4	7.0	G	38.1	15.2
577	268626	F&R	2780	1899	63.4	2.2	4.9	G	30.5	15.2
578	268627	F	1104	840	74.4	2.5	1.7	G	30.5	18.4
579	268628	F	1226	940	74.0	3.8	2.7	G	33.0	15.8
580	268629	F	1431	1089	72.5	3.3	3.6	G	35.6	15.2
581	268630	F	1104	851	73.3	2.4	2.8	G	33.0	17.0
582	268631	F	1431	1112	74.9	3.5	2.8	G	35.6	17.7
583	268633	F	1758	1188	60.0	1.2	7.6	G	35.6	16.5
584	268634	F	1390	1055	71.6	4.8	4.3	G	35.6	15.8
585	268635	F	1472	1114	72.2	5.0	3.5	G	30.5	16.5
586	268636	F	1513	1124	70.9	4.2	3.4	G	35.6	16.5
587	268637	F	2207	1532	62.8	1.8	6.6	G	35.6	15.2
588	268638	F	1717	1272	70.4	0.8	3.7	G	33.0	16.5
589	268641	F	1553	1173	66.1	1.5	9.4	G	33.0	15.2
590	268642	F	1594	1221	72.7	3.0	3.9	G	33.0	16.5
591	268646	F	1513	1170	74.2	3.0	3.1	G	33.0	18.4
592	268647	F	2861	2180	71.9	4.1	4.3	G	43.2	14.6
593	268649	F	1717	1197	63.5	2.7	6.2	G	33.0	13.4
594	268654	F	1594	1191	70.7	4.5	4.0	G	40.6	17.0
595	268657	F	1921	1370	63.0	2.7	8.3	G	27.9	12.8
596	268664	R	1185	907	72.1	3.9	4.4	G	38.1	19.6
597	268665	Pr	940	713	69.6	2.2	6.3	G	30.5	17.7
598	268666	R	1063	842	75.6	4.8	3.6	G	35.6	17.7
599	268667	R	1022	796	74.0	3.8	3.9	G	33.0	18.4
600	268668	R&F	1145	882	71.9	2.7	5.1	G	35.6	16.5
601	268669	R	1513	1150	70.7	1.8	5.3	G	38.1	15.8
602	268669	R	409	264	51.2	2.8	13.4	-	-	21.5
603	268670	R&F	1472	1117	72.2	3.5	3.7	G	40.6	17.7
604	268672	R&F	1349	997	68.6	2.1	5.3	G	40.6	19.6
605	268673	R	1308	991	70.0	3.6	5.8	G	38.1	17.0
606	268674	R	1717	1326	68.0	0.1	9.2	G	38.1	15.2
607	268675	R&F	1226	946	71.7	3.6	5.5	G	38.1	16.5
608	268676	R&F	1656	1266	72.1	3.0	4.4	G	40.6	17.7
609	268677	W	2575	1759	63.0	0.6	4.9	G	33.0	15.8
610	268678	W	2289	1927	60.2	0.5	6.5	G	30.5	16.5

TABLE II (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Testa Color	Fruit Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)	Stem Color	Plant Ht. (cm)	Leaflet Area (cm ²)
611	268679	W	2330	1554	61.4	0.7	5.3	G	33.0	16.5
612	268683	F	940	688	70.0	7.4	3.2	G	27.9	12.8
613	268685	F	1676	1210	67.6	1.5	4.6		33.0	15.2
614	268686	F	3475	2401	66.1	2.4	3.0	G	40.6	12.8
615	268690	F	2044	1457	64.4	0.9	6.9	G	35.6	15.8
616	268695	F	1840	1380	66.3	1.1	8.7		33.0	18.4
617	268696	F	1676	1215	65.1	1.0	7.4	G	33.0	16.5
618	268697	F&R	1921	1398	64.8	1.1	8.0	G	35.6	15.2
619	268698	F	2003	1472	66.9	1.1	6.6	G	33.0	15.2
620	268699	F	1553	1143	66.7	1.2	6.9	G	33.0	17.7
621	268700	F	1472	1041	64.8	1.7	5.9	G	33.0	13.4
622	268701	F	1921	1375	66.4	2.1	5.2	G	33.0	13.4
623	268702	F&R	1676	1195	66.7	1.5	4.6	G	33.0	17.7
624	268703	F	2330	1638	64.9	1.2	5.4	G	38.1	15.2
625	268703	F	1635	1218	67.4	1.6	7.1	G	35.6	13.4
626	268704	F	1758	1278	66.8	1.5	5.9	G	35.6	15.8
627	268706	F	1380	1305	61.9	2.3	7.5	G	35.6	15.2
628	268707	F&R	1880	1333	65.2	2.0	5.7	G	35.6	15.2
629	268708	F	1594	1189	70.3	2.6	4.3	G	38.1	17.0
630	268709	F	2248	1576	61.8	1.8	8.3	G	38.1	15.2
631	268710	F	1840	1301	65.0	2.2	5.7	G	33.0	15.8
632	268711	F	2085	1524	65.9	1.5	7.2	G	35.6	14.6
633	268712	F	1840	1259	59.3	1.2	9.1	G	35.6	16.5
634	268713	F	3843	2625	63.2	2.1	5.1	G	50.8	15.2
635	268714	F	1676	1217	64.2	1.3	8.4	G	35.6	17.7
636	268715	F	2085	1495	66.7	1.5	5.0	G	35.6	15.8
637	268716	F	2085	1493	69.0	2.7	2.6	G	33.0	15.2
638	268717	F	1799	1266	63.2	1.3	7.2	G	33.0	15.2
639	268718	F	2085	1474	63.4	0.7	7.3	G	35.6	15.8
640	268719	F	1308	968	66.3	0.9	7.7	G	30.5	15.2
641	268720	F	1717	1243	65.6	1.7	6.8	G	30.5	16.5
642	268721	F	2207	1510	64.7	2.9	3.7	G	27.9	12.2
643	268721	F	2902	1933	62.2	1.2	4.4	G	38.1	15.8
644	268722	F	2657	1833	63.3	0.7	5.7	G	33.0	15.2
645	268723	F	1758	1248	62.2	1.3	8.8	G	48.3	15.2
646	268723	F	940	696	66.9	2.4	7.1	G	33.0	12.8
647	268724	F	2126	1490	64.9	2.4	5.2	G	33.0	15.2
648	268725	F	2207	1518	62.9	2.7	5.9	G	33.0	13.4
649	268726	F	2248	1589	65.5	1.9	5.2	G	35.6	15.2
650	268727	F	1880	1284	60.9	1.6	7.4	G	35.6	15.2
651	268728	F	2044	-	-	-	-	G	35.6	14.6
652	268729	F	1717	1190	62.6	1.0	6.7	G	35.6	14.6
653	268730	F	2330	1594	59.0	1.5	9.4	G	35.6	15.2
654	268732	F	2821	2099	69.7	2.9	4.7	G	48.3	13.4
655	268733	F	2126	1467	59.9	1.0	9.1	G	35.6	15.2
656	268734	F	1962	1450	67.6	1.6	6.3	G	33.0	15.2
657	268735	F	1676	1227	66.9	1.6	6.3	G	33.0	15.8

TABLE II (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Testa Color	Fruit Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)	Stem Color	Plant Ht. (cm)	Leaflet Area (cm ²)
658	268736	F	1880	1395	69.3	1.2	4.9	G	35.6	15.2
659	268737	F	1921	1367	65.1	1.7	6.0	G	35.6	18.4
660	268738	F	1267	964	69.3	1.3	6.8	G	35.6	15.8
661	268739	F	1431	1073	68.4	1.0	6.6	G	33.0	16.5
662	268739	F	1799	1326	67.3	1.0	6.4	G	33.0	15.2
663	268741	F	2371	1627	61.3	1.5	7.3	G	38.1	16.5
664	268742	F	2085	1499	65.2	1.0	6.7	G	33.0	16.5
665	268743	F	1758	1304	67.8	1.8	6.4	G	35.6	19.6
666	268743	F	1717	1243	65.7	2.4	6.7	G	33.0	18.4
667	268744	F	2310	1504	60.0	0.8	5.3	G	35.6	16.5
668	268745	F	1308	815	51.4	3.5	10.9	-	-	17.0
669	268746	F	2330	1568	58.7	0.8	8.6	G	35.6	19.6
670	268747	F	1308	833	53.6	1.5	10.1	-	-	19.6
671	268747	F	2044	1466	67.9	1.8	3.8	G	35.6	21.5
672	268748	F	1921	1274	59.6	1.8	6.7	G	33.0	19.6
673	268748	F	1799	1261	62.7	1.5	7.4	G	35.6	19.6
674	268751	W&R	1390	785	47.3	2.9	9.2	-	-	14.0
675	268753	F	2534	1698	61.2	1.4	5.8	G	35.6	21.5
676	268754	F	1962	1330	58.6	1.7	9.2	G	38.1	22.7
677	268757	F	1880	1203	58.8	9.1	5.2	-	-	15.8
678	268761	F	3924	2766	66.4	2.4	4.1	G	48.3	20.8
679	268762	F	1676	1213	68.5	3.6	3.9	G	35.6	21.5
680	268763	F	2453	1705	66.2	2.2	3.3	G	35.6	20.8
681	268764	F	1921	1287	61.6	1.5	5.4	G	33.0	20.8
682	268765	F	2248	1576	62.3	5.5	7.8	G	35.6	17.7
683	268766	F	2289	1632	65.5	2.2	5.8	G	35.6	14.6
684	-	F	1390	1034	68.3	1.1	6.1	G	33.0	17.7
685	268769	F	1390	1024	67.3	1.6	6.4	G	33.0	17.7
686	268770	F	1962	1395	66.7	2.8	4.4	G	30.5	17.0
687	268771	F	2412	1746	67.8	1.3	4.6	G	35.6	15.2
688	268772	F	2494	1691	63.3	1.8	4.5	G	35.6	15.8
689	268772	F	1880	1331	64.3	1.8	6.5	G	35.6	17.7
690	268773	F	1880	1339	66.0	4.8	5.2	G	35.6	17.0
691	268773	F	2126	1465	65.0	2.1	3.9	G	35.6	15.2
692	268774	F	2167	1489	62.3	4.0	6.4	G	33.0	15.2
693	268774	F	2657	1817	62.4	3.6	6.0	G	33.0	15.8
694	268776	F	2003	1454	67.3	2.3	5.3	G	33.0	19.0
695	268777	F	1717	1181	58.6	5.1	10.2	G	33.0	14.6
696	268778	F	2085	1485	62.5	3.4	8.7	G	33.0	15.2
697	268781	F	1799	1261	62.2	1.6	7.9	G	38.1	16.5
698	268782	F	3270	2289	66.6	3.6	3.4	G	50.8	17.0
699	268783	F	1758	1248	65.4	1.4	5.6	G	33.0	18.4
700	268784	F	2044	1547	71.9	2.0	2.8	G	35.6	18.4
701	268785	F	2044	1398	63.0	2.0	5.4	G	35.6	17.0
702	268786	F	1921	1379	64.8	1.6	7.0	G	33.0	18.4
703	268786	F	2330	1671	66.9	2.3	4.8	G	33.0	18.4
704	268787	F	1840	1312	66.3	1.7	5.0	G	33.0	17.7

TABLE II (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Testa Color	Fruit Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)	Stem Color	Plant Ht. (cm)	Leaflet Area (cm ²)
705	268790	F	1717	1262	69.9	3.1	3.6	G	35.6	15.2
706	268791	F	1635	1195	69.3	1.7	3.8	G	33.0	15.8
707	268791	F	2248	1565	66.1	1.2	3.5	G	35.6	19.6
708	268792	F	2330	-	-	-	-	G	33.0	15.8
709	268792	F	1594	1122	65.7	3.3	4.7	G	30.5	15.2
710	268793	F	1880	1303	64.9	2.3	4.4	G	30.5	15.8
711	268794	F	1676	1200	67.2	2.5	4.4	G	33.0	16.5
712	268795	F	1799	1238	63.2	2.9	5.6	G	30.5	18.4
713	268796	F	1758	1294	68.1	2.6	5.5	G	33.0	15.2
714	268796	F	1880	1371	68.1	1.2	4.8	G	33.0	17.7
715	268797	F	1921	1420	68.9	2.3	5.0	G	30.5	17.0
716	268798	F	1962	1328	59.2	7.6	8.5	G	30.5	14.0
717	268799	F	1799	1261	64.5	2.8	5.6	G	35.6	16.5
718	268800	F	3556	2475	65.6	3.5	4.0	G	48.3	17.7
719	268801	F	1758	1280	65.1	2.5	7.7	G	33.0	14.6
720	268802	F	2003	1392	66.4	2.7	3.1	G	38.1	20.2
721	268802	F	1676	1188	68.3	3.2	2.6	G	38.1	21.5
722	268803	F	1717	1236	65.5	1.8	6.5	G	35.6	18.4
723	268804	F	2248	1589	65.2	1.9	5.5	G	30.5	15.8
724	268805	F	1717	1281	68.2	3.1	6.4	G	33.0	14.0
725	268806	F	1635	1220	69.3	0.7	5.3	G	33.0	17.0
726	268807	F	1758	1229	66.0	1.4	3.9	G	30.5	15.2
727	268808	F	2126	1490	63.7	2.6	6.4	G	35.6	14.6
728	268809	F	2248	1567	65.1	1.1	4.6	G	35.6	16.5
729	268811	F	1758	1292	66.7	2.1	6.8	G	35.6	17.0
730	268811	F	1431	1053	67.1	2.6	6.5	G	33.0	19.0
731	268812	F	1880	1314	62.4	6.0	7.5	G	35.6	13.4
732	268814	F	1717	1046	54.2	4.0	6.7	-	-	18.4
733	268815	F	1758	1252	66.5	2.4	4.7	G	35.6	17.0
734	268816	F	1226	907	71.5	2.8	2.5	G	33.0	18.4
735	268817	F	1758	1222	61.1	1.5	8.4	G	30.5	19.0
736	268818	F	2207	1538	65.1	2.0	4.6	G	30.5	15.2
737	268819	F	1104	910	67.8	1.2	3.7	G	38.1	21.5
738	268820	F	2003	1448	66.4	1.4	5.9	G	38.1	15.2
739	268821	F	2575	1573	54.1	1.5	7.0	G	45.7	18.4
740	268822	F	1676	1198	66.4	2.1	5.3	G	30.5	21.5
741	268823	F	1553	1087	67.3	2.6	2.7	G	33.0	16.5
742	268824	F	2003	1705	65.7	1.6	4.6	G	38.1	16.5
743	268825	F	1840	1334	68.2	1.3	4.3	G	38.1	16.5
744	268826	F	2167	1530	63.1	4.2	7.5	G	33.0	12.2
745	268827	F	1594	1172	70.4	1.6	3.1	G	30.5	19.0
746	268828	F	1594	1172	68.2	2.0	5.3	G	33.0	15.2
747	268830	F	1635	1145	60.9	1.3	9.1	G	27.9	15.2
748	268831	F	2616	1719	61.2	1.0	4.5	G	33.0	16.5
749	268832	F	2044	1478	70.2	1.3	2.1	G	35.6	15.8
750	268833	F	1553	1141	69.6	2.8	3.9	G	33.0	13.4
751	268834	F	1717	1238	68.0	2.6	4.1	G	33.0	14.6
752	268835	F	2126	1520	67.2	2.0	4.3	G	33.0	15.2

TABLE II (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Testa Color	Fruit Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)	Stem Color	Plant Ht. (cm)	Leaflet Area (cm ²)
753	270768	R&F	1758	1297	68.1	1.1	5.7	G	38.1	16.5
754	270776	F	2003	1442	67.4	3.5	4.6	G	33.0	15.2
755	270778	R	1472	1110	67.2	0.7	8.2	G	38.1	15.8
756	270784	R	1758	1357	71.6	0.6	5.6	G	40.6	15.2
806	261946	Dk Pr	1349	982	64.2	0.4	8.6	G	48.3	15.8
807	261954	Dk Pr	1840	1351	68.6	1.2	4.8	G	48.3	17.0
808	261955	R	3229	2280	66.8	4.6	3.8	G	55.9	18.4
809	261957	Pr	1799	1342	68.8	1.3	5.8	G	43.2	20.8
810	262000	F	2453	1732	66.7	2.3	3.9	G	38.1	17.7
811	261956	R	1635	1252	72.3	1.2	4.3	G	35.6	17.0
812	261959	Pr	1349	1005	69.1	2.7	5.4	G	40.6	19.0
813	261978	Pr	1145	842	66.2	3.0	7.3	G	43.2	19.6
814	262004	R	1022	761	68.0	0.7	6.5	Pr	35.6	18.4
815	261962	Pr	1472	1063	68.1	1.6	4.1	G	45.7	15.2
816	262048	F	1553	1132	66.5	2.1	6.4	G	35.6	15.2
817	262068	F	1717	1176	59.5	0.9	9.0	G	38.1	15.2
818	262057	F	1390	973	67.0	1.5	3.0	G	30.5	15.8
819	262099	R&Pr	1104	829	72.9	3.0	2.2	Pr	38.1	18.4
820	262095	R	1185	863	66.1	2.2	6.7	G	38.1	15.8
821	262098	Pr	1962	1379	61.8	1.5	8.5	G	38.1	16.5
822	248762 B	F	2085	1451	64.1	2.7	5.5	G	38.1	15.2
823	247374	F	1635	1197	69.9	7.3	3.3	G	38.1	20.2
824	247375	F&Pr	1553	1146	71.2	2.3	2.6	G	38.1	18.4
825	240543	Pr	1635	1213	68.0	1.0	6.2	G	30.5	18.4
826	240570	F	2085	1468	63.5	0.8	6.9	G	38.1	14.6
827	269710	F	1799	1284	63.6	1.7	7.8	G	33.0	12.2
828	269719	F	3311	2265	63.8	4.7	4.6	G	43.2	15.8
829	268591	Pr&F	1635	1215	68.2	1.0	6.1	G	38.1	18.4
830	268593	R	1676	1253	71.4	2.7	3.4	G	35.6	18.4
831	268595	F	1431	1045	66.9	2.3	6.1	G	30.5	12.2
832	268596	R	1063	746	65.5	2.3	4.7	G	35.6	19.6
833	268602	Pr	1267	881	54.3	1.3	15.2	G	43.2	18.4
834	268603	Pr	1431	1006	57.4	1.8	12.9	G	45.7	18.4
835	268604	F	613	473	68.4	3.3	8.7	G	35.6	14.6
836	268612	F	1185	875	71.6	1.8	2.5	G	35.6	18.4
837	268616	F	981	731	70.8	1.6	3.7	G	27.9	14.6
838	268617	F	1267	922	68.9	5.6	3.9	G	33.0	18.4
839	268619	F	1185	863	70.4	1.1	2.4	G	30.5	14.6
840	268621	F	899	648	66.2	1.5	5.9	G	35.6	15.2
841	268622	F	1553	1145	69.9	2.2	3.8	G	33.0	16.5
842	268630	F	1880	1318	67.1	1.8	3.0	G	33.0	17.0
843	268632	F	1921	1310	61.6	0.6	6.6	G	33.0	15.2
844	268633	F	2207	1490	57.3	0.4	10.2	G	35.6	15.2
845	268639	F	1676	1225	71.1	2.8	2.0	G	35.6	12.8
846	268640	F	1635	1225	71.1	2.1	3.8	G	38.1	16.5
847	268643	F	1431	980	63.3	1.3	5.2	G	38.1	15.8
848	268645	F	2003	1379	65.0	0.7	3.8	G	35.6	18.4
849	268648	F	2453	1737	67.4	3.7	3.4	G	40.6	14.6
850	268650	F	1799	1288	63.8	1.0	7.8	G	38.1	17.0

TABLE II (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Testa Color	Fruit Yield (lb/A)	Kernel Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)	Stem Color	Plant Ht. (cm)	Leaflet Area (cm ²)
851	268651	F	1635	1205	70.9	3.2	2.8	G	35.6	18.4
852	268652	F	1717	1238	64.0	0.5	8.1	G	38.1	17.0
853	268653	F	1185	865	69.8	2.5	3.2	G	33.0	17.7
854	268654	F	1308	950	67.3	1.5	5.3	G	40.6	21.5
855	268655	F	1063	825	74.0	1.3	3.6	G	30.5	17.0
856	268658	F	1635	1041	57.1	1.2	6.6	G	38.1	16.5
857	268659	F	981	711	69.5	2.1	3.0	G	33.0	15.8
858	268660	F	1267	859	64.2	0.7	3.6	G	33.0	12.8
859	268679	W	2371	1508	52.0	0.3	5.6	G	33.0	12.8
860	268680	F	1063	755	68.3	0.5	2.7	G	30.5	14.6
861	268681	F	1390	1024	70.6	2.3	3.1	G	33.0	14.6
862	268682	F	2207	1585	68.5	2.2	3.3	G	35.6	16.5
863	268687	F	2207	1452	62.6	0.7	3.2	G	35.6	17.0
864	268688	F	1799	1272	68.0	1.7	2.7	G	30.5	15.8
865	268689	F	1676	1206	69.6	2.8	2.4	G	35.6	18.4
866	268691	F	1840	1316	69.2	2.8	2.3	G	40.6	21.5
867	259778	F	1717	1193	62.1	2.0	7.4	G	38.1	15.2
868	268693	F	2167	1635	71.4	3.3	4.0	G	43.2	20.2
869	268694	F	2739	1863	61.8	3.3	6.2	G	53.3	17.1
870	268706	F	1962	1419	65.9	0.5	6.4	G	35.6	18.4
871	268752	F	1962	1456	67.3	1.9	6.9	G	35.6	14.6
872	268755	F	2167	1541	61.9	1.6	9.2	G	38.1	16.5
873	268756	F	1635	1244	73.3	0.7	2.8	G	35.6	15.8
874	268759	F	2044	1412	58.1	0.8	11.0	G	38.1	15.8
875	268779	F	1185	842	63.0	0.4	8.1	G	33.0	15.8
876	268780	F	1635	1132	61.3	0.6	7.9	G	35.6	12.2
877	268781	F	1676	1178	65.0	0.8	5.3	G	33.0	14.6
878	268788	F	2289	1518	60.9	1.3	5.4	G	35.6	14.6
879	268806	F	2126	1559	69.0	2.2	4.3	G	33.0	15.2
880	268813	F	1349	1001	66.7	1.4	7.5	G	33.0	13.4
881	268829	F	1390	1058	73.4	1.1	2.7	G	30.5	15.2
882	270767	R	1472	1073	70.4	0.6	2.5	G	38.1	15.8
883	270786 A	Pr	2044	1457	66.8	0.6	4.5	G	43.2	13.4
884	270791	Pr	1758	1315	69.0	2.3	5.8	G	35.6	15.2
885	270793	R	1185	907	73.8	0.2	2.7	G	35.6	17.8
886	270794	R	1226	910	70.6	0.7	3.7	G	40.6	12.2
887	270795	R	1431	1065	71.3	1.2	3.1	G	33.0	13.4
888	270816	F	2248	-	70.5	4.6	2.6	G	33.0	17.7
889	270842	R	2371	1781	72.8	1.1	2.3	G	43.2	15.8
890	259650	R	1063	763	66.0	0.7	5.8	G	40.6	15.8
891	259718	F	2126	1536	67.0	0.7	5.2	G	33.0	17.0
893	259746	F	1267	955	72.1	0.5	3.3	G	35.6	19.6
894	259754	F	1717	1231	64.9	1.8	6.8	G	35.6	15.8
895	259756	F	1594	1165	69.3	1.3	3.8	-	-	17.0
896	259775	F	1431	1017	65.7	0.8	5.4	-	-	17.0
897	259825	R	1349	952	64.3	2.3	6.3	-	-	15.8
898	259834	F	2330	1584	64.1	1.3	3.9	-	-	18.4
899	259835	F	2371	1600	63.2	1.2	3.9	-	-	15.8
900	259603	F	1840	1297	64.9	0.7	5.6	-	-	15.2

LEGEND FOR TABLE III

Thrips Score: 0 = No Injury to, 9 = Very Severe Damage.

Leafspot Score: 0 = No Infection to, 5 = Severe Infection.

TABLE III

PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS: P-NO., P.I. NO., YIELD, GRADE, PLANT HEIGHT, PLANT WIDTH, STEM COLOR, THRIPS SCORE, LEAFSPOT SCORE, AND REMARKS FOR PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN NEAR PERKINS IN 1965

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	Sound Splits (%)	Other Kernels (%)	Hulls (%)	Plant		Stem Color	Thrips 6/25	Leaf- spot 9/15	Remarks
							Ht. (cm)	Width (cm)				
40	234420	998	66.0	5.8	4.5	29.5	35.6	61.0	green	5	3.0	
41	234421	1225	67.7	6.9	4.1	28.2	38.1	63.5	green	6	2.0	
42	234422	1044	66.3	8.5	4.0	29.6	43.2	88.9	green	7	2.0	
43	237507	2042	72.6	4.5	1.9	25.5	45.7	88.9	green	7	2.0	
45	237508	2042	71.2	5.7	3.0	25.8	48.3	96.5	green	6	1.5	
46	237510	1815	70.8	6.9	2.7	26.4	45.7	94.0	green	7	1.5	
47	237509	2178	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.7	94.0	green	7	1.5	
144	234417	862	70.4	7.7	4.2	25.5	45.7	96.5	green	7	1.5	Long pegs, long leaflets.
147	162403	1452	67.5	7.1	6.7	25.8	50.8	106.7	green	6	1.0	
148	161868	1089	68.9	11.4	5.8	25.4	53.3	96.5	green	5	1.0	
149	162408	1089	65.8	1.8	6.1	29.0	58.4	116.8	pr.&agr.	7	1.0	
150	185632	454	44.0	1.5	18.1	37.8	33.0	96.5	green	6	1.0	
153	162532	272	41.1	1.1	8.2	50.5	43.2	104.1	green	5	1.0	
154	162541	907	59.3	0.8	6.8	33.8	35.6	99.1	green	5	1.0	
924	280688	454	32.0	0.0	21.4	46.6	38.1	116.8	purple	3	2.0	
326	280688	182	29.7	0.0	21.6	48.6	38.1	106.7	purple	2	2.0	
330	152125	1316	70.0	6.2	3.2	26.8	43.2	86.4	green	9	3.0	
331	161317	2359	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.3	94.0	green	7	3.0	
332	259800	2541	70.3	4.8	4.2	25.5	53.3	88.9	green	7	3.0	
333	264159	2496	71.0	7.8	3.8	25.1	45.7	94.0	green	6	4.0	
334	268767	3040	69.8	6.8	3.5	26.7	48.3	96.5	green	5	2.0	Early bloom.
335	268768	3085	70.0	6.4	2.4	25.6	48.3	101.6	green	5	2.0	
336	268771	3403	73.5	4.8	1.4	25.0	50.8	109.2	green	4	3.0	
337	259637	3494	72.2	5.7	2.3	25.6	50.8	101.6	green	4	3.0	
338	259671	2858	71.1	4.4	3.8	25.1	55.9	106.7	green	5	1.5	
339	259678	2541	72.4	3.1	1.7	25.8	58.4	109.2	green	6	1.5	
341	268545	3085	74.1	4.7	0.8	25.0	45.7	99.1	green	6	1.5	
343	268573	2949	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.9	101.6	green	5	1.5	
344	268577	1996	74.8	3.0	1.4	23.6	53.3	109.2	green	6	2.0	
345	268595R ₁	2314	71.5	10.1	3.0	25.5	45.7	96.5	green	6	2.0	
346	268595R ₂	1951	70.3	9.5	5.2	24.4	45.7	86.4	green	8	3.0	
347	268596	1770	73.3	12.1	2.5	24.2	33.0	83.8	green	8	3.0	Early bloom.
348	268598	1406	69.9	4.5	2.1	28.0	53.3	96.5	green	7	2.0	
349	268598B	2677	62.3	5.2	5.7	26.7	40.6	86.4	green	6	2.0	Some drought tolerance, small leaves.
350	268598R	1815	75.9	15.0	2.5	21.5	48.3	99.1	green	7	3.0	Some drought tolerance.
351	268599	2722	71.9	6.4	3.0	25.1	55.9	101.6	green	6	2.0	
352	268601	3176	71.3	7.8	3.4	25.2	53.8	106.7	green	7	3.0	Early bloom.
353	268607	2768	73.4	7.0	1.1	25.4	55.9	109.2	green	6	3.0	
354	268609	2405	73.4	5.6	1.3	25.3	61.0	111.8	green	6	2.0	Early bloom.
355	268609	2496	69.0	1.6	4.4	26.6	58.4	111.8	green	8	2.0	
356	268611	2087	72.0	3.7	1.5	26.4	50.8	94.0	green	8	2.0	
357	268611	2813	67.8	6.4	3.0	29.1	50.8	101.6	green	6	2.0	Drought tolerant.
358	268615	2405	71.8	9.4	3.2	25.0	43.2	94.0	green	6	2.0	
359	268616	1860	72.9	8.9	2.2	24.9	48.3	94.0	green	6	2.0	
360	268616	1815	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.3	96.5	green	7	2.0	
361	268616	1361	69.4	4.5	4.0	26.6	43.2	73.7	green	9	2.0	
362	268626	1633	70.8	6.0	4.1	25.0	45.7	86.4	green	9	2.0	
363	268626	1996	73.2	6.8	3.0	23.8	50.8	96.5	green	8	2.0	

TABLE III (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	Sound Splits (%)	Other Kernels (%)	Hulls (%)	Plant		Stem Color	Thrips 6/25	Leaf- spot 9/15	Remarks
							Ht. (cm)	Width				
364	268633	2405	71.3	7.4	2.7	26.0	45.7	101.6	green	7	2.0	Drought tolerant.
365	268635	2269	73.4	9.6	1.1	25.4	45.7	101.6	green	8	2.0	Two to three seeded.
366	268636	2178	71.9	9.3	1.8	26.3	45.7	88.9	green	8	2.0	
367	268637B	2722	75.4	5.4	1.9	22.8	45.7	94.0	green	8	2.0	
368	268637R ₁	2450	76.4	11.4	1.2	22.4	53.3	111.8	green	8	2.0	Few three seed.
369	268637	3040	75.7	9.5	1.6	22.7	53.3	111.8	green	8	2.0	Early bloom.
371	268644R ₁	2087	74.5	6.4	1.7	23.7	48.3	94.0	green	7	1.5	
372	268644R ₃	2949	69.6	5.4	4.5	25.9	48.3	99.1	green	7	1.5	Early bloom.
373	268647	2450	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.3	104.1	green	8	1.5	
374	268648	2178	70.7	9.5	1.6	27.6	45.7	91.4	green	8	1.5	
375	268649R ₂	2450	70.6	4.4	5.0	24.4	48.3	94.0	green	7	1.5	
376	268649R ₃	1906	71.8	4.6	3.2	25.0	48.3	101.6	green	9	1.5	
377	268654	1815	72.7	4.5	2.9	24.4	43.2	88.9	green	9	2.0	
378	268654	1543	68.6	9.2	3.2	28.3	45.7	86.4	green	8	2.0	
379	268654	2813	70.4	7.9	3.9	25.7	50.8	101.6	green	8	3.0	
380	268657	2949	71.0	4.8	2.1	27.0	48.3	101.6	green	8	2.0	
381	268660	2858	70.4	6.3	3.7	25.9	48.3	101.6	green	7	2.0	
382	268663	1996	72.3	6.1	2.4	25.3	50.8	99.1	green	8	2.0	Some drought tolerance.
383	268680	2632	72.3	6.1	1.4	26.3	48.3	101.6	green	7	2.0	
384	268680	3221	74.3	5.9	2.0	23.7	53.3	111.8	green	8	2.0	Some drought tolerance, large rough hull.
385	268684	2995	72.9	5.7	3.0	24.0	50.8	106.7	green	7	2.0	Medium to small seed.
387	268688	2496	73.4	6.5	2.6	24.0	43.2	88.9	green	8	2.0	Smooth and rough hull, large to small seed.
388	268688	2132	73.0	9.2	2.6	24.4	45.7	94.0	green	8	2.0	Rough hull, smooth and rough kernel, off flavor.
389	268689	2677	70.9	4.3	2.5	26.6	48.3	99.1	green	7	2.0	Variable seed.
390	268690R ₁	1951	74.3	10.0	1.7	24.0	40.6	76.2	green	7	2.0	
391	268690R ₂	2450	71.5	6.1	3.7	24.8	48.3	96.5	green	6	2.0	
392	268692	1996	72.5	6.2	3.0	24.5	48.3	96.5	green	6	2.0	
393	268692R ₁	1270	63.6	5.2	4.9	31.4	48.3	96.5	pr.&gr.	8	0.0	
394	268692R ₂	1633	68.0	7.2	3.3	28.7	55.9	106.7	green	8	0.0	
395	268701R ₁	2496	70.5	5.3	2.2	27.3	58.4	60.9	green	7	0.0	
396	268701R ₂	2722	73.3	5.6	2.7	23.9	53.3	99.1	green	7	0.0	
398	268704R ₁	2405	74.3	5.3	2.4	23.3	45.7	99.1	green	7	0.0	
399	268704R ₂	2042	70.8	4.0	4.9	24.4	48.3	94.0	green	6	0.0	
400	268706	2858	74.0	5.2	2.0	24.0	55.9	109.2	green	6	0.0	
401	268707	2405	71.5	9.3	1.2	27.3	55.9	106.7	green	7	0.0	
402	268708B	2722	70.4	5.6	3.8	25.8	55.9	104.1	green	6	0.0	
403	268708C	2586	70.5	3.9	3.0	26.4	48.3	101.6	green	5	0.0	
404	268708D	2496	73.9	5.8	1.5	24.5	45.7	106.7	green	7	0.0	
405	268708R	2722	69.2	5.7	2.0	28.8	53.3	106.7	green	5	0.0	
406	268710	2359	70.2	9.1	2.7	27.1	53.3	104.1	green	6	0.0	
407	268711B	2132	72.4	11.3	3.2	24.4	45.7	94.0	green	5	0.0	
408	268711R ₂	1906	71.2	7.8	3.5	25.3	43.2	86.4	green	7	0.0	
409	268712	1497	71.1	7.6	3.9	25.0	43.2	76.2	green	7	0.0	
410	268716	2087	68.0	5.3	5.7	26.3	45.7	86.4	green	8	0.0	
411	268724R ₁	2632	73.0	4.1	3.9	23.1	48.3	99.1	green	8	0.0	

TABLE III (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Yield (lb/A)	Total SNK (%)	Sound Splits (%)	Other Kernels (%)	Hulls (%)	Plant		Stem Color	Thrips 6/25	Leaf- spot 9/15	Remarks
							Ht.	Width				
							(cm)					
412	268724R ₂	3040	72.9	6.3	2.9	24.2	48.3	101.6	green	7	0.0	
413	268729R ₁	3085	72.4	5.5	4.2	23.4	50.8	99.1	green	7	0.0	
414	268729R ₂	3131	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.3	96.5	green	6	0.0	
416	268739	3857	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.9	109.2	green	7	0.0	
417	268740	2269	67.6	1.5	9.3	23.1	58.4	116.8	green	6	0.0	
418	268740R ₂	2949	71.6	4.4	3.2	25.3	58.4	119.4	green	6	0.0	
420	268742	2995	74.9	7.1	1.3	23.7	50.9	106.7	green	7	0.0	
421	268748	3040	74.3	13.5	2.3	23.5	48.3	106.7	green	8	0.0	
422	268749	2804	70.6	6.5	3.7	25.7	55.9	109.2	green	6	0.0	
423	268752	3176	71.6	12.8	2.8	25.6	48.3	109.2	green	7	0.0	
424	268758	2223	71.2	7.9	2.0	26.8	55.9	109.2	green	7	0.0	
425	268759	2586	71.5	6.3	3.5	25.0	48.3	94.0	green	7	0.0	
426	268760	1543	71.0	6.5	3.6	25.4	45.7	76.2	green	8	0.0	
427	268767	1770	74.6	6.6	1.7	23.7	43.2	88.9	green	7	0.0	
428	268769	2541	71.7	5.9	3.6	24.8	43.2	94.0	green	8	0.0	
429	268771	2632	71.2	7.5	4.0	24.8	50.9	91.4	green	7	0.0	
430	268777	3131	69.9	9.0	6.4	23.7	48.3	101.6	green	7	0.0	
432	268787	3176	73.5	4.1	3.2	23.3	48.3	106.7	green	9	0.0	
433	268789	3494	68.4	3.6	3.4	28.2	48.3	101.6	green	6	0.0	
434	268789	3221	64.0	2.1	8.4	27.6	50.9	106.7	green	7	0.0	
435	268790	3267	69.2	6.4	2.3	28.4	50.9	104.1	green	8	0.0	
436	268795B	2768	72.4	6.3	2.9	24.7	48.3	101.6	green	7	0.0	
437	268795	3131	69.5	8.6	3.1	27.5	45.7	104.1	green	7	0.0	
439	268808	2405	72.7	7.3	2.1	25.2	50.9	101.6	green	6	0.0	
440	268810	2496	72.1	6.8	2.0	25.9	48.3	99.1	green	7	0.0	
441	268812	2541	73.1	9.7	2.0	24.9	43.2	99.1	green	7	0.0	
442	268818	2359	72.0	7.1	1.7	26.3	40.6	94.0	green	6	0.0	
443	268821	1543	66.7	2.4	6.1	27.3	48.3	96.5	green	8	0.0	
444	268822	1724	70.8	4.9	2.8	26.4	43.2	88.9	green	7	0.0	
445	268823	2450	69.4	5.3	7.4	23.2	43.2	83.8	green	7	0.0	
446	268825	2541	70.4	3.2	3.9	25.6	48.3	96.5	green	6	0.0	
448	268826	2586	73.9	8.0	2.4	23.7	45.7	99.1	green	7	0.0	
449	268827	2632	72.8	7.0	2.5	24.7	45.7	101.6	green	7	0.0	
450	268828B	2405	72.7	7.0	3.1	24.3	50.9	104.1	green	6	0.0	
452	268828	3085	71.6	4.8	2.7	25.7	50.9	103.2	green	6	0.0	
454	268830	3040	71.3	3.9	2.8	25.9	48.3	114.3	green	6	0.0	
455	268832	2541	68.7	8.6	5.5	25.8	43.2	96.5	green	6	0.0	
456	270773	2496	72.3	6.3	2.6	25.1	53.3	103.2	green	7	0.0	
457	270773	2223	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.9	109.2	green	7	0.0	
458	270784	1996	74.4	4.7	2.6	23.0	48.3	127.0	green	5	0.0	
459	270786	2178	70.6	1.9	2.8	26.6	48.3	119.4	green	4	0.0	
460	270789	2178	70.5	3.2	2.7	26.8	48.3	99.1	green	6	0.0	
461	270804	1860	69.7	5.2	5.1	25.2	45.7	88.9	green	7	0.0	
462	270804R	1860	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.7	88.9	green	5	0.0	
464	270838	2541	71.2	4.1	2.9	25.9	50.8	104.1	green	6	0.0	

TABLE III (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	Sound Splits (%)	Other Kernels (%)	Hulls (%)	Plant		Stem Color	Thrips 6/25	Leaf- spot 9/15	Remarks
							Ht. (cm)	Width				
466	271021	2722	70.2	4.2	2.3	27.4	48.3	101.6	green	6	0.0	
467	271022	2496	74.2	8.1	3.1	22.7	38.1	88.9	green	5	0.0	
468	274267	2496	73.0	6.5	2.1	24.9	48.3	99.1	green	8	0.0	
472	261997	2178	72.0	1.3	3.8	24.2	53.3	104.1	green	6	0.0	
473	NRM 1	3085	72.3	6.5	1.6	26.0	48.3	106.7	green	6	0.0	
474	NRM 2	3085	70.8	4.6	2.3	26.9	50.8	104.1	green	6	0.0	
475	NRM 3	2541	74.0	4.6	1.8	24.2	48.3	99.1	green	6	0.0	
486	NRM 6	2722	73.2	5.9	2.5	24.2	50.8	101.6	green	6	0.0	
487	NRM 7	2178	72.7	4.1	1.2	26.2	53.3	99.1	green	7	0.0	
500	262072	1497	64.3	1.3	6.9	28.8	48.3	114.3	purple	7	0.0	
508	261895	1996	67.8	1.5	2.6	29.5	53.3	63.5	green	6	0.0	
509	261932	1906	70.5	1.6	4.1	25.3	45.7	94.0	green	6	0.0	
511	261933	1044	60.4	1.5	5.9	33.7	53.3	104.1	purple	8	0.0	
513	261938	1225	58.9	0.8	14.9	26.2	53.3	104.1	purple	7	0.0	
514	261927	1633	73.5	3.3	4.7	21.7	50.8	99.1	green	7	0.0	
515	274203	1588	66.6	0.5	10.0	23.4	53.3	116.8	green	6	0.0	
518	261952	2223	70.6	1.2	4.7	24.7	53.3	106.7	green	6	0.0	
519	261953	1860	71.4	0.6	4.9	23.7	58.4	116.8	green	5	0.0	
521	261968	2223	72.1	2.8	3.9	24.0	53.3	101.6	green	5	0.0	
523	261974	2405	71.5	1.5	4.9	23.6	58.4	111.8	green	5	0.0	
525	261976	2178	72.0	3.6	2.7	25.3	58.4	114.3	green	6	0.0	
526	261977	1815	71.8	3.1	2.5	25.7	53.3	106.7	green	6	0.0	
527	261984	2178	70.4	2.7	5.4	24.2	48.3	104.1	green	4	0.0	
528	261985	2132	71.2	3.7	5.2	23.6	48.3	99.1	green	5	0.0	
530	261994	1951	70.9	2.2	5.4	23.6	50.8	99.1	green	6	0.0	
533	262013	1724	71.6	3.0	4.1	24.4	48.3	96.5	green	5	0.0	
546	248757	1951	72.1	7.0	4.7	23.3	45.7	86.4	green	4	0.0	
547	248758	1951	70.0	8.3	7.1	22.9	40.6	73.7	green	5	0.0	
548	248759	2042	69.6	5.3	6.5	23.9	43.2	73.7	green	6	0.0	
550	248761	2269	73.2	5.9	3.3	23.5	43.2	83.8	green	6	0.0	
551	248762	2359	71.8	4.4	3.3	25.0	45.7	94.0	green	5	0.0	
553	248766	2269	73.5	7.5	3.1	23.4	45.7	94.0	green	4	0.0	
554	248767	2450	71.8	6.0	4.2	24.0	45.7	86.4	green	5	0.0	
555	248768	2450	72.1	3.6	3.4	24.5	48.3	88.9	green	5	0.0	
556	247368	1996	69.4	0.8	4.3	26.2	54.4	114.3	green	6	0.0	
557	247378	2632	75.3	5.6	2.5	22.2	50.8	99.1	green	5	0.0	
558	240546	1815	70.0	1.3	6.2	23.8	48.3	96.5	green	4	0.0	
559	240555	2405	71.6	6.6	3.5	24.9	43.2	88.9	green	4	0.0	
562	240578	2632	71.9	7.8	3.7	24.4	48.3	101.6	green	4	0.0	
564	268592	2087	71.8	1.5	4.1	24.1	50.8	99.1	green	5	0.0	
565	268597	2269	68.0	6.8	5.6	26.3	48.3	83.8	green	4	0.0	
566	268600	2541	66.8	1.7	6.5	26.7	53.3	114.3	pr. & gr.	5	0.0	
568	268604	1497	69.7	6.9	4.4	25.9	45.7	73.7	green	8	0.0	
569	268613	1543	71.6	7.5	3.6	24.9	48.3	96.5	green	8	0.0	
570	268614	2223	73.9	7.2	2.4	23.7	45.7	86.4	green	5	0.0	

TABLE III (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	Sound Splits (%)	Other Kernels (%)	Hulls (%)	Plant		Stem Color	Thrips 6/25	Leaf- spot 9/15	Remarks
							Ht. (cm)	Width (cm)				
571	268615	1951	74.0	4.7	2.2	23.8	45.7	88.9	green	6	0.0	
572	268618	1589	73.6	6.7	3.1	23.2	43.2	96.5	green	6	0.0	
573	268620	1497	68.9	4.2	3.2	27.9	48.3	91.4	green	5	0.0	
574	268623	1225	68.9	6.5	3.1	28.0	53.3	94.0	green	8	0.0	
575	268624	1543	74.8	7.0	2.3	22.9	54.4	94.0	green	6	0.0	
576	268625	1543	74.9	4.8	2.3	22.8	55.9	91.4	green	7	0.0	
578	268627	1543	74.0	7.0	2.5	23.8	45.7	83.8	green	6	0.0	
579	268628	1815	74.9	2.8	2.8	22.4	43.2	86.4	green	5	0.0	
580	268629	1770	77.8	9.1	2.9	24.1	45.7	81.3	green	6	0.0	
582	268631	1770	73.1	7.0	3.1	23.7	45.7	88.9	green	5	0.0	
583	268633	1770	73.5	7.8	3.7	22.8	45.7	86.4	green	4	0.0	
585	268635	1663	71.5	7.1	4.4	24.1	43.2	83.8	green	5	0.0	
586	268613	1452	70.0	5.0	4.8	25.2	40.6	73.7	green	6	0.0	
587	268637	1770	72.1	5.8	3.0	24.9	43.2	83.8	green	6	0.0	Several chlorotic plants.
588	268638	1996	71.0	4.1	2.2	26.9	43.2	76.2	green	5	0.0	
590	268642	1679	74.0	5.1	2.5	23.5	45.7	88.9	green	8	0.0	
593	268649	2132	72.7	11.6	3.2	24.2	43.2	91.4	green	5	0.0	
594	268654	1588	73.1	6.1	3.2	23.7	48.3	88.9	green	6	0.0	
595	268657	2450	74.6	9.3	3.4	21.9	43.2	81.3	green	5	0.0	
596	268664	1588	77.5	14.2	2.4	20.1	58.4	81.3	green	4	0.0	
597	268665	1679	73.8	5.7	4.5	21.7	48.3	88.9	green	5	0.0	
601	268669	1906	72.8	8.4	4.2	23.2	48.3	94.0	green	5	0.0	
602	268669	1543	75.2	10.1	3.8	21.1	48.3	91.4	green	6	0.0	
603	268670	1361	74.4	10.5	4.2	21.4	48.3	94.0	green	5	0.0	
604	268672	1452	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
605	268673	1225	73.2	10.1	4.9	21.9	48.3	94.0	green	4	0.0	
607	268675	1044	72.8	10.6	4.4	22.8	50.8	88.9	green	6	0.0	
610	268678	1996	67.9	1.4	5.7	26.4	45.7	88.9	green	7	0.0	
611	268679	2042	70.4	3.0	3.5	26.1	43.2	91.4	green	5	0.0	
612	268683	1906	74.0	9.2	1.7	24.3	35.6	66.0	green	7	0.0	Semi-krinkle.
613	268685	1906	72.0	4.0	1.7	26.3	40.6	71.1	green	4	0.0	
615	268690	2042	72.9	6.8	2.7	24.5	48.3	91.4	green	5	0.0	
616	268695	2132	74.8	5.4	2.5	22.6	48.3	94.0	green	5	0.0	
617	268696	2405	73.2	3.7	2.7	24.2	53.3	101.6	green	4	0.0	
618	268697	2496	72.9	5.3	3.3	23.7	53.3	101.6	green	5	0.0	
619	268698	2314	73.2	3.3	2.8	24.1	48.3	91.4	green	4	0.0	
620	268699	2087	72.8	6.2	3.2	24.0	48.3	96.5	green	5	0.0	
621	268700	2586	73.1	5.4	2.8	24.1	50.8	94.0	green	4	0.0	
622	268701	2586	73.4	6.8	2.6	24.0	48.3	94.0	green	5	0.0	
623	268702	1497	72.1	8.2	3.2	24.7	43.2	88.9	green	4	0.0	
624	268703	2269	72.4	5.7	4.0	23.7	48.3	91.4	green	4	0.0	
625	268703	1588	71.1	6.9	3.5	25.4	43.2	73.7	green	5	0.0	
626	268704	1951	71.5	6.1	3.2	25.4	43.2	88.9	green	5	0.0	
627	268706	2042	72.5	4.9	3.2	24.2	48.3	94.0	green	4	0.0	
628	268707	1951	72.6	5.5	2.9	24.4	45.7	88.9	green	4	0.0	

TABLE III (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	Sound Splits (%)	Other Kernels (%)	Hulls (%)	Plant		Stem Color	Thrips 6/25	Leaf- spot 9/15	Remarks
							Ht. (cm)	Width (cm)				
629	268708	1951	74.3	6.7	2.4	23.3	45.7	94.0	green	6	0.0	
630	268709	2132	-	-	-	-	96.5	94.0	green	6	0.0	
631	268710	2223	73.5	4.5	2.0	24.5	50.8	91.4	green	3	0.0	
632	268711	2269	73.4	4.0	2.2	24.3	53.3	99.1	green	5	0.0	
633	268712	2359	74.3	4.8	1.7	24.0	53.3	91.4	green	4	0.0	
635	268714	2269	-	-	-	-	48.3	78.7	green	3	0.0	
636	268715	2042	73.1	5.0	2.5	24.4	45.7	81.3	green	4	0.0	
637	268716	2223	72.4	5.8	4.1	23.5	43.2	83.8	green	3	0.0	
638	268717	1996	-	-	-	-	48.3	91.4	green	4	0.0	
639	268718	1906	72.6	7.0	3.2	24.1	45.7	86.4	green	3	0.0	
640	268719	1860	73.2	7.8	3.0	23.7	48.3	94.0	green	4	0.0	
641	268720	1406	71.4	5.3	3.4	25.2	45.7	86.4	green	4	0.0	
642	268721	2042	72.1	7.1	3.1	24.8	43.2	94.0	green	3	0.0	
645	268723	1996	71.7	7.2	4.2	24.1	45.7	88.9	green	4	0.0	
646	268723	2132	74.1	3.8	2.8	23.1	45.7	94.0	green	5	0.0	
647	268724	1815	73.8	5.0	2.6	23.5	48.3	96.5	green	5	0.0	
648	268725	1951	73.8	4.8	2.8	23.4	45.7	91.4	green	4	0.0	
649	268726	2405	74.4	3.7	2.0	23.5	53.3	104.1	green	4	0.0	
650	268727	2405	75.6	4.6	1.3	23.1	55.9	104.1	green	5	0.0	
651	268728	2450	74.7	5.4	2.2	23.1	53.3	109.2	green	5	0.0	
652	268729	1996	73.8	5.0	2.8	23.4	53.3	94.0	green	5	0.0	
655	268733	1996	71.9	3.6	3.5	24.7	45.7	91.4	green	6	0.0	
656	268734	2178	70.8	4.1	4.0	25.2	48.3	94.0	green	4	0.0	
657	268735	2087	75.2	7.3	1.9	22.9	48.3	91.4	green	4	0.0	
658	268736	1860	69.6	5.6	4.3	26.1	48.3	96.5	green	3	0.0	
659	268737	1996	74.3	5.3	2.1	23.6	48.3	94.0	green	4	0.0	
660	268738	1044	71.0	7.4	4.8	24.2	45.7	78.7	green	5	0.0	
661	268739	1180	73.0	7.1	2.9	24.1	43.2	71.1	green	5	0.0	
662	268739	1497	72.3	4.1	4.6	23.1	45.7	76.2	green	3	0.0	
663	268741	1724	69.2	1.6	6.3	24.5	45.7	78.7	green	4	0.0	
664	268742	1543	73.6	5.5	2.4	23.9	43.2	78.7	green	4	0.0	
665	268743	1497	73.3	6.0	3.0	23.7	45.7	81.3	green	5	0.0	
667	268744	1679	71.3	3.5	3.9	24.9	43.2	78.7	green	4	0.0	
668	268745	1860	76.1	5.4	1.8	22.0	50.8	96.5	green	5	0.0	
669	268746	2132	73.9	4.5	2.4	23.7	50.8	99.1	green	4	0.0	
670	268747	1906	74.7	10.9	2.1	23.2	48.3	91.4	green	4	0.0	
671	268747	2042	73.7	7.5	2.8	23.5	43.2	94.0	green	3	0.0	
672	268748	2087	72.9	9.8	3.4	23.6	45.7	106.7	green	4	0.0	
673	268749	2314	74.0	6.0	2.9	23.2	48.3	96.5	green	4	0.0	
674	268751	1679	73.0	5.3	2.8	24.2	50.8	91.4	green	6	0.0	
676	268754	1951	72.9	8.1	1.4	25.7	48.3	99.1	green	5	0.0	
677	268757	1679	72.4	9.2	3.2	24.4	38.1	88.9	green	4	0.0	
679	268762	1770	69.9	10.0	4.8	25.3	45.7	81.3	green	3	0.0	
680	268763	2087	71.9	9.7	3.7	24.4	40.6	76.2	green	6	0.0	
681	268764	1770	73.0	8.5	2.6	24.4	43.2	94.0	green	4	0.0	

TABLE III (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	Sound Splits (%)	Other Kernels (%)	Hulls (%)	Plant		Stem Color	Thrips 6/25	Leaf- spot 9/15	Remarks
							Ht. (cm)	Width (cm)				
682	268765	1770	74.9	8.9	3.7	21.5	40.6	81.3	green	5	0.0	
683	268766	1906	74.5	8.1	2.4	23.2	43.2	88.9	green	4	0.0	
684	-	1860	74.0	4.4	2.7	23.3	48.3	88.9	green	4	0.0	
685	268769	2087	76.2	5.2	1.5	22.3	45.7	91.4	green	3	0.0	
687	268771	1906	75.2	5.7	1.2	23.6	43.2	86.4	green	4	0.0	
688	268772	1996	72.5	9.8	3.1	24.4	43.2	83.8	green	5	0.0	
689	268772	1588	70.9	8.1	4.5	24.6	50.8	73.7	green	5	0.0	
690	268773	2132	72.7	6.7	2.3	25.0	53.3	86.4	green	4	0.0	
691	268773	2042	-	-	-	-	50.8	86.4	green	5	0.0	
692	268774	2132	72.8	7.6	4.7	22.3	45.7	81.3	green	4	0.0	
693	268774	1996	70.9	10.4	6.2	23.0	48.3	81.3	green	5	0.0	Small seed.
694	268776	1679	72.6	8.0	4.0	23.4	45.7	76.2	green	5	0.0	
695	268777	1996	70.3	10.9	7.8	21.8	43.2	76.2	green	3	0.0	
696	268778	1679	73.1	5.2	4.8	22.0	45.7	71.1	green	4	0.0	
697	268781	1633	70.7	6.5	2.8	26.4	45.7	83.8	green	4	0.0	
698	268782	1770	69.7	3.8	2.9	27.4	53.3	81.3	green	6	0.0	
700	268784	1860	73.1	6.3	2.6	24.3	50.8	86.4	green	4	0.0	
701	268785	1860	72.4	5.9	2.1	25.3	48.3	78.7	green	5	0.0	
702	268786	1906	75.0	4.3	1.7	23.3	55.9	91.4	green	6	0.0	
703	268786	2132	75.3	6.4	1.1	23.6	50.8	94.0	green	5	0.0	
704	268787	1815	73.6	7.0	3.1	23.3	48.3	86.4	green	4	0.0	
705	268790	1951	74.0	7.9	3.3	22.7	45.7	83.8	green	5	0.0	Loose testa.
706	268791	2087	73.8	4.5	2.2	23.7	50.8	88.9	green	4	0.0	
707	268791	2269	73.6	6.3	2.8	23.6	48.3	88.9	green	3	0.0	
708	268792	2223	73.4	7.4	2.6	24.1	55.9	86.4	green	3	0.0	
709	268792	2314	73.4	6.3	2.3	24.3	53.3	94.0	green	4	0.0	
710	268793	1543	71.8	7.2	4.9	23.3	53.3	83.8	green	4	0.0	Pointed germ.
711	268794	1770	72.5	5.9	2.9	24.6	48.3	86.4	green	4	0.0	
712	268795	1860	69.7	5.3	4.3	26.0	48.3	86.4	green	5	0.0	
713	268796	1543	73.7	7.8	2.7	23.3	48.3	81.3	green	6	0.0	
714	268796	1770	72.5	5.7	2.9	24.3	48.3	86.4	green	6	0.0	
715	268797	1906	72.2	7.5	4.1	23.4	45.7	81.3	green	5	0.0	
716	268798	2223	73.1	7.2	3.8	22.8	53.3	83.8	green	6	0.0	
717	268799	1906	74.5	10.0	3.1	22.2	55.9	83.8	green	5	0.0	
719	268801	2269	74.8	4.5	1.7	23.2	50.8	86.4	green	6	0.0	
720	268802	2178	71.4	7.4	3.9	24.6	50.8	81.3	green	6	0.0	
721	268802	2087	-	-	-	-	55.9	88.9	green	5	0.0	
722	268803	1906	73.7	4.7	2.0	24.1	53.3	91.4	green	5	0.0	
723	268804	2586	72.4	7.8	3.0	24.5	48.3	86.4	green	5	0.0	
724	268805	2314	73.5	9.0	4.6	21.8	50.8	81.3	green	4	0.0	
725	268806	2359	72.8	6.3	3.0	24.5	50.8	91.4	green	6	0.0	
726	268807	1588	70.0	6.1	6.3	26.5	43.2	73.7	green	5	0.0	
727	268808	1452	68.8	3.4	9.6	21.6	43.2	76.2	green	4	0.0	
728	268809	1996	69.9	6.1	5.2	24.7	45.7	73.7	green	5	0.0	

TABLE III (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	Sound Splits (%)	Other Kernels (%)	Hulls (%)	Plant		Stem Color	Thrips 6/25	Leaf- spot 9/15	Remarks
							Ht. (cm)	Width				
729	268811	1679	68.5	5.6	5.9	25.3	50.8	78.7	green	4	0.0	
730	268811	1724	69.0	5.1	5.0	24.5	45.7	50.8	green	4	0.0	
732	268814	1225	73.4	9.3	3.3	23.1	50.8	86.4	green	4	0.0	Poor vigor.
733	268815	1860	71.8	7.4	4.2	23.9	55.9	86.4	green	5	0.0	
734	268816	2042	74.0	4.2	1.4	24.1	55.9	91.4	green	4	0.0	
735	268817	1951	72.6	6.1	2.1	25.0	53.3	81.3	green	4	0.0	
736	268818	2269	74.7	5.5	2.8	22.5	45.7	73.7	green	5	0.0	Pointed variable seed.
737	268819	1406	68.4	5.3	2.2	29.2	61.0	101.6	green	5	0.0	
738	268820	2087	71.3	6.1	2.8	25.6	53.3	83.8	green	3	0.0	
740	268822	1679	71.5	7.2	1.6	26.7	43.2	76.2	green	4	0.0	
741	268823	1633	73.4	6.0	2.7	23.7	48.3	76.2	green	5	0.0	
742	268824	1770	67.1	5.2	3.0	29.8	50.8	78.7	green	4	0.0	Thin testa.
743	268825	1361	64.8	3.3	3.8	31.3	48.3	58.4	green	4	0.0	Good base crop.
744	268826	1815	66.8	2.9	11.7	21.5	43.2	66.0	green	5	0.0	Very small seed.
746	268828	1724	72.1	6.2	4.7	23.4	43.2	73.7	green	4	0.0	
747	268830	1815	69.6	4.1	7.3	23.0	38.1	68.6	green	5	0.0	
749	268832	1770	69.5	6.7	5.2	25.3	40.6	68.6	green	4	0.0	
750	268833	1906	71.3	6.3	4.1	24.4	40.6	78.7	green	4	-	No leafspot.
751	268834	1860	73.7	5.9	2.9	23.4	48.3	86.4	green	5	0.0	
752	268835	2132	75.4	8.7	3.0	21.6	43.2	78.7	green	3	0.0	
754	270776	1996	75.8	7.5	2.0	22.2	48.3	81.3	green	5	0.0	
760	271017	1543	74.9	6.6	2.8	22.1	35.6	66.0	green	4	0.0	Poor vigor.
762	270777	1906	68.6	4.4	3.0	28.4	50.8	83.8	green	1	0.0	Poor vigor.
768	270836	2223	69.6	4.3	3.8	26.5	48.3	99.1	green	3	0.0	
769	270837	1679	74.8	9.0	2.5	22.7	40.6	73.7	green	4	0.0	Poor vigor.
770	270846	2178	74.6	9.4	1.9	23.4	43.2	78.7	green	5	0.0	
771	270851	1906	71.4	14.3	3.5	24.9	48.3	99.1	green	4	0.0	
772	270857	1134	71.2	33.7	5.8	22.8	33.0	73.7	green	4	0.0	Poor vigor.
773	268789	1316	63.8	5.3	6.8	29.4	40.6	63.5	green	5	0.0	
774	259591	1497	64.9	4.1	7.2	27.6	45.7	63.5	green	4	0.0	
781	259757	1497	71.6	4.7	3.1	25.3	40.6	63.5	green	5	0.0	
782	259765	1679	71.6	8.3	3.1	25.1	33.0	78.7	green	4	0.0	Poor vigor.
784	259771	1860	71.1	6.8	3.8	24.9	43.2	61.0	green	4	0.0	
785	259774	1770	72.0	6.2	2.7	25.2	43.2	61.0	green	3	0.0	
787	259800	1543	71.1	4.9	1.8	27.0	40.6	58.4	green	4	0.0	
788	259821	1860	-	-	-	-	48.3	81.3	green	4	0.0	
789	259579	1724	72.4	7.7	6.2	21.2	43.2	68.6	green	4	0.0	
792	262035	1633	72.0	7.5	1.8	26.2	43.2	81.3	green	6	0.0	
794	262047	1906	72.2	7.5	1.9	25.9	48.3	81.3	green	7	0.0	Two to three seeded.
795	262049	998	69.7	2.9	2.6	27.5	45.7	88.9	green	4	0.0	
796	262055	1588	73.8	4.9	1.9	24.1	43.2	68.6	green	6	0.0	
797	262065	1543	71.0	5.8	2.3	26.6	45.7	66.0	green	5	0.0	Large smooth to rough hull.
798	261918	1497	70.8	2.0	3.1	26.1	45.7	91.4	green	7	0.0	
799	261919	272	34.9	0.0	9.0	56.0	36.6	63.5	lt.pr.	7	0.0	

LEGEND FOR TABLE IV

Stem Color: Gr. = Green, Pr = Purple, lt.pr. = light purple,
R.Pr. = Reddish Purple.

Thrips Score: 0 = No Injury to, 9 = Very Severe Damage.

TABLE IV

PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS: P-NO., P.I. NO., YIELD, PLANT HEIGHT,
PLANT WIDTH, STEM COLOR, THRIPS SCORE, AND REMARKS FOR
PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN NEAR PERKINS IN 1965

Okla. P-No.	P.I. or Strain No.	Yield (lb/A)	Height (cm)	Width (cm)	Stem Color	Thrips Score	Remarks
1	Argentine	612	36.6	55.9	green	4	
6	Starr	726	36.6	55.9	green	4	
194	Span. Sel.	635	38.1	58.4	green	5	
328	Ross Sel.	272	38.1	58.4	green	4	
589	268641	580	38.1	66.0	green	4	
599	268667	136	43.2	61.0	green	4	
653	268730	635	43.2	73.7	green	4	
666	268743	544	38.1	61.0	green	5	
686	268770	771	38.1	58.4	green	4	
759	270789	726	38.1	61.0	green	5	
761	Tifton 316	590	36.6	61.0	green	4	
763	270792	499	45.7	68.6	gr. to pr.	5	
764	270815	318	40.6	61.0	green	6	
765	270830	544	43.2	73.7	purple	5	
775	259591	499	38.1	58.4	green	6	
777	259701	817	38.1	58.4	green	4	
779	259745	590	40.6	48.3	green	3	
780	259753	544	38.1	66.0	green	5	
783	259767	499	36.6	55.9	green	3	
790	259827	408	38.1	53.3	green	3	
791	259860	635	36.6	58.4	lt.red	3	
793	262042	272	48.3	68.6	purple	5	
800	261921	454	36.6	71.1	lt.pr.	6	
801	261923	499	33.0	86.4	purple	6	
802	261925	454	38.1	76.2	purple	6	
803	261922	590	33.0	76.2	lt.pr.	5	
804	261950	680	40.6	71.1	r.pr.	5	
805	261949	726	38.1	66.0	r.pr.	6	Red pegs.
809	261957	272	43.2	66.0	r.pr.	6	
810	262000	-	36.6	55.9	lt.pr.	6	
812	261959	363	43.2	63.5	r.pr.	6	
813	261978	227	43.2	71.1	r.pr.	6	
815	261962	272	43.2	70.6	r.pr.	5	
816	262048	318	32.8	61.0	lt.pr.	6	
817	262068	272	32.8	61.0	lt.pr.	6	
818	262057	363	38.1	58.4	lt.pr.	6	
822	248762 B	272	32.8	55.9	lt.pr.	7	
823	247374	318	35.6	53.3	lt.pr.	6	
826	240570	363	35.6	45.7	lt.pr.	7	
827	269710	454	35.6	55.9	lt.pr.	5	
835	268604	136	43.2	53.3	lt.pr.	7	
837	268616	680	38.1	70.6	lt.pr.	6	
838	268617	363	40.6	68.6	lt.pr.	6	
840	268621	272	43.2	68.6	lt.pr.	5	
841	268622	544	30.5	55.9	lt.pr.	6	Thick green leaflets.

TABLE IV (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. or Strain No.	Yield (lb/A)	Height (cm)	Width (cm)	Stem Color	Thrips Score	Remarks
842	268630	408	27.9	50.8	lt.pr.	5	Very few pods.
843	262632	363	32.8	63.5	lt.pr.	5	
844	262633	408	38.1	58.4	lt.pr.	6	
845	268639	227	32.8	61.0	lt.pr.	6	
847	268643	544	43.2	53.3	lt.pr.	5	
848	268645	726	40.6	58.4	lt.pr.	6	
849	268648	227	38.1	55.9	green	6	
850	268650	454	36.8	63.5	lt.pr.	6	
851	268651	408	38.1	61.0	lt.pr.	6	
852	268652	272	35.6	58.4	lt.pr.	6	
853	268653	318	35.6	50.8	lt.pr.	6	
854	268654	408	40.6	55.9	green	7	
856	268658	408	43.2	55.9	lt.pr.	5	
857	268659	408	32.8	50.8	lt.pr.	5	
858	268660	408	35.6	50.8	lt.pr.	6	
859	268679	544	32.8	55.9	green	4	
863	268687	454	27.9	48.3	lt.pr.	5	
864	268688	544	35.6	53.3	lt.pr.	5	
865	268689	454	32.8	53.3	lt.pr.	5	
866	268691	136	40.6	76.2	r.pr.	6	
867	259778	408	40.6	55.9	lt.pr.	4	
868	268693	318	45.7	66.0	purple	4	
869	268694	363	40.6	61.0	lt.pr.	4	
870	268706	272	36.8	48.3	lt.pr.	5	
871	268752	454	35.6	50.8	lt.pr.	6	
872	268755	-	35.6	48.3	lt.pr.	6	
874	268759	181	35.6	53.3	lt.pr.	7	
875	268779	-	35.6	61.0	lt.pr.	6	
876	268780	408	38.1	63.5	lt.pr.	5	
877	268781	590	38.1	63.5	lt.pr.	7	
878	268788	408	38.1	55.9	lt.pr.	5	
879	268806	454	35.6	55.9	green	4	
880	268813	-	38.1	55.9	lt.pr.	7	
883	270786 A	862	38.1	63.5	green	5	
884	270791	590	40.6	78.7	green	5	
891	259718	408	38.1	55.9	lt.pr.	5	
892	259719	454	34.3	55.9	green	5	
894	259754	272	40.6	53.3	green	6	
895	259756	907	35.6	53.3	green	4	
896	259775	862	38.1	55.9	green	4	
898	259834	680	35.6	53.3	green	4	
899	259835	272	38.1	55.9	green	6	
900	259603	227	40.6	53.3	green	6	

LEGEND FOR TABLE V

1/Catalog of Seed, Southern Regional Plant Introduction Station,
Experiment, Georgia, Regional Project S-9, pp. 1-87, 1965.

Botanical Group: V = Virginia, VL = Valencia, S = Spanish,
R = Runner.

Growth Habit: B = Bunch, R = Runner.

Branching: S = Sparse, M = Moderate, P = Profuse.

Testa Color: F = Flesh, R = Red, Pr = Purple.

Maturity: E = Early, M = Mid-season, L = Late.

Vigor: EV = Exceptionally Vigorous, V = Vigorous,
MV = Moderately Vigorous, P = Poor Vigor.

Leafspot Score: 0 = No Infection to, 5 = Severe Infection.

2/Thrips Score: 0 = No Injury to, 9 = Very Severe Damage.

TABLE V

PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS: P-NO., PLANT INTRODUCTION NO., ORIGIN, BOTANICAL TYPE, GROWTH HABIT, YIELD, SEED PER POD, PLANT HEIGHT, PLANT WIDTH, TESTA COLOR, MATURITY, VIGOR, THRIPS SCORE, LEAFSPOT, BRANCHING, AND REMARKS FOR NEW PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN NEAR PERKINS IN 1965

Okla. P-No.	P.I. or Strain No.	Origin ₁ /	Bot. Gp. ₁ /	Growth Habit ₁ /	Yield (lb/A)	Seed Pod	Height (cm)	Width (cm)	Testa Color	Maturity	Vigor 6-24	Thrips Score ₂ / 6-24	Leaf- spot ₁ /	Branching ₁ /	Remarks
941	276105	S.Africa	V	B	817	1-2	33.0	61.0	F	L	EV	3	1	M-P	
942	277197	India	V	R	726	1-3	22.9	91.4	F	L	MV	8	1	M-P	
943	290580	India	V	R	726	1-2	22.9	94.0	F	L	MV	7	1	P	
944	290581	India	V	R	1180	1-3	22.9	99.1	F	L	MV	6	1	P	
945	290536	India	V	B	1270	1-3	30.5	73.7	F	L	MV	7	1	P	
946	290596	India	V	R	998	1-2	25.4	81.3	F	L	MV	7	1	P	Variable.
947	290597	India	V	R	635	1-3	20.3	88.9	F	L	MV	7	1	P	Variable.
948	290598	India	V	R	908	1-3	22.9	88.9	F	L	MV	7	1	P	Small seed.
949	290599	India	V	R	1361	1-3	20.3	86.4	F	L	MV	7	1	P	
950	290606	India	V	B	454	1-2	27.9	73.7	F	L	MV	6	1	M-P	Hard hulls.
951	290607	India	V	B	544	1-2	30.5	81.3	F	L	MV	7	1	P	Decumbent.
952	290608	India	V	B	1270	1-2	33.0	86.4	F	L	V	7	1	P	Decumbent.
953	290633	India	V	B	998	1-2	30.5	86.4	F	L	V	6	1	M-P	
959	Va.B.67	-	V	B	1452	1-2	35.6	101.6	F	L	V	8	-	-	
960	Fla.393	-	-	R	2632	1-2	33.0	111.8	F	L	V	9	-	-	
962	F-1135	-	-	R	1543	1-2	35.6	101.6	F	L	V	8	-	-	
963	T-1097	-	-	-	1180	1-2	22.9	96.5	F	-	MV	9	-	-	
964	D.Giant	-	-	-	1815	1-3	27.9	104.1	F	-	V	8	-	-	Jumbo pods.
965	299467	S.Africa	-	-	998	1-2	48.3	78.7	F	-	V	6	-	-	
966	299468	S.Africa	-	-	1452	1-2	43.2	81.3	W	L	MV	7	-	-	
967	299469	S.Africa	-	-	908	1-2	40.6	81.3	F	-	MV	9	-	-	
968	299470	S.Africa	-	-	1180	1-3	43.2	96.5	F	-	MV	9	-	-	
969	299471	S.Africa	-	-	1180	1-2	43.2	96.5	F	-	V	7	-	-	
970 R	Span. Sel.	-	-	-	1815	2-3	43.2	96.5	R	-	V	7	-	-	Long leaflet, medium-rough hull.
970 F	Span. Sel.	-	-	-	1543	2-3	33.0	71.1	F	-	MV	6	-	-	Near Span. leaves.
971	268661	N.Rhodesia	-	-	1452	-	30.5	71.1	-	-	P	8	-	-	
972	Ga.-186-28	-	-	-	3040	-	38.1	83.8	-	-	V	7	-	-	Branch crop.
973	155053	Uruguay	S	B	2450	-	38.1	83.8	F	-	V	7	2	-	
974 R	149634	Brazil	-	B	1996	2-3	33.0	81.3	R	-	MV	7	2	-	Mixed plant types.
974 F	149634	Brazil	-	B	2541	2-3	40.6	83.8	F	M	V	8	2	-	Long leaflets.
975	196740	Fr.W.Africa	-	B	1180	-	30.5	71.1	F	E	V	8	3	-	Dk.and lt.green foliage.
976	139918	Belgian Congo	S	B	1180	2-3	33.0	78.7	F	-	V	6	2	-	Long leaflets, pr. stem.
977	158838	China	-	R-B	1724	2-3	22.9	114.3	P	-	V	7	3	-	Rough hull, pr. base stem.
978	162804	Liberia	S	B	1633	2-4	38.1	76.2	R	-	V	9	2	-	Long leaflets, rough hull.
979	145045	Hawaii	S	B	1996	2-4	38.1	86.4	R	-	MV	9	2	-	Long leaflets, S. - R. hull.

LEGEND FOR TABLE VI

Catalog of Seed, Southern Regional Plant Introduction Station,
Experiment, Georgia, Regional Project S-9, pp. 1-87, 1965.

Maturity:	E	=	Early, ME = Medium Early, M = Mid-season,
	ML	=	Medium Late, L = Late, V = Very Late.
Bot. Gp.:	S	=	Spanish, VL = Valencia, R = Runner.
Growth Habit:	B	=	Bunch, R = Runner.
Seed Size:	Gms/100	or	S = Small, M = Medium, L = Large.
Testa Color:	F	=	Flesh, R = Red, LR = Light Red,
	Pr	=	Purple, DPr = Dark Purple, T = Tan
	W	=	White.
Pod Type:	S	=	Spanish, IS = Improved Spanish.
Leafspot:	0	=	No Infection to, 5 = Severe Infection
General Vigor:	V	=	Vigorous, MV = Moderately Vigorous.
Branching:	S	=	Sparse, M = Moderate, P = Profuse.

TABLE VI

SUMMARY OF DATA FROM THE SOUTHERN REGIONAL PLANT INTRODUCTION STATION SEED CATALOG, 1965
FOR THE PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS IN REPLICATED TESTS IN 1965 AND 1966

Okla. P-No.	P.I. or Strain No.	Origin	Maturity	Bot. Gp.	Growth Habit	Seed Size (gms/100)	Testa Color	Pod Type	Leaf- spot	Shelling (%)	Gen. Vigor	Branching	Remarks
2	Argentine	Argentina											
4	Spantex												
6	Starr	Texas Sel.											
17	161300	Argentina	E		B	S	F	S	5				
22	T-437	Texas Sel											
25	229553	S.Africa			R								
293	259591	Uruguay	ME	S		48.9	F	IS		75.9	V	M-P	
294	259805	Nyasaland	ME	S		37.8	F	S		78.4	V	M-P	
295	259662	Cuba	ME	S		56.7	F	S		76.6	V	M	
301	259728	Uruguay	E	S		38.3	F	S		78.9	MV	M	
304	259814	Nyasaland	E	S		30.5	F	S		77.5	V	M-P	
309	259826	Nyasaland	E	S		37.8	F	S		76.1	MV		
315	259772	Nyasaland	ME	S		38.8	F	S		76.1	V	S-M	
317	259660	Cuba	E	S		44.3	F	S		50.6	V		
340	268516	N.Rhodesia											
342	268564	N.Rhodesia											
370	268644	N.Rhodesia		S	B	51.5	F	-		-		S-M	Variable pods and seed.
397	268703	N.Rhodesia		S	B	34.5	F	-		-		S-M	Variable pods and seed.
415	268737	N.Rhodesia		S	B	35.8	F	-		-		S-M	Variable pods and seed.
419	268740	N.Rhodesia		S	B	41.7	F	-		-		S	
431	268778	N.Rhodesia		S	B	30.5	F	-		-		S-M	Finely branched.
438	268801	N.Rhodesia		S	B	34.7	F	-		-		M	Variable pods and seed.
447	268826	N.Rhodesia		S	B	30.2	F	-		-		S	
451	268828	N.Rhodesia		S	B	35.1	F	-		-		S-M	Variable pods and seed.
463	270817	N.Rhodesia		S	B	37.3	F	-		77.0		S-M	Genetic.
465	270849	N.Rhodesia		VL	B	37.3	F	-		79.0		S-M	
471	261997	Paraguay		S		S	R/F	-					
477	262014	Paraguay		S		S	F	-					
501	262073	Brazil		S		S	R/DPr	-					
512	261935	Paraguay		S		S	F	-					
516	261940	Paraguay		S		S	LR	-					
529	261988	Paraguay		S		S	Pr-DPr	-					
532	262001	Paraguay		S		S	R/F	-					
552	248763	India	M	S		29.1	F	S	3	78.0			
560	240561	Argentina	ME	VL		31.9	Pr	-	3	78.0			
561	240572	Argentina	ME	S		29.1	F	S	3	74.0			
567	268601	N.Rhodesia		S	B	39.4	R,F/R	-		-		S	Short top.

TABLE VI (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. or Strain No.	Origin	Maturity	Bot. Gp.	Growth Habit	Seed Size (gms/100)	Testa Color	Pod Type	Leaf- spot	Shelling (%)	Gen. Vigor	Branching	Remarks
577	268626	N.Rhodesia		S	B	38.8	F	-	-	-		M	Variable pods and seed.
581	268630	N.Rhodesia		S	B	40.5	F	-	-	-		M	Variable pods and seed.
591	268646	N.Rhodesia		S	B	36.8	F	-	-	-		M	
592	268647	N.Rhodesia		S	B	36.8	F	-	-	-		M	
598	268666	N.Rhodesia		S	B	33.0	R	-	-	-		S-M	
600	268668	N.Rhodesia		S	B	33.0	R	-	-	-		S-M	Some plants coarse.
606	268674	N.Rhodesia		S	B	30.2	R	-	-	-		S-M	Very short pods.
608	268676	N.Rhodesia		S	B	33.0	R	-	-	-		M	
609	268677	N.Rhodesia		S	B	36.8	W	-	-	-		S-M	
614	268686	N.Rhodesia		S	B	36.8	F	-	-	-		S-M	
634	268713	N.Rhodesia		S	B	34.2	F	-	-	-		S-M	
643	268721	N.Rhodesia		S	B	31.2	F	-	-	-		M	Variable seed and pods.
644	268722	N.Rhodesia		S	B	31.5	F	-	-	-		M	
654	268732	N.Rhodesia		S	B	31.5	F	-	-	-		S	
675	268753	N.Rhodesia		S	B	33.0	F	-	-	-		S-M	Short tops.
678	268761	N.Rhodesia		S	B	31.2	F	-	-	-		M	Finely branched.
718	268800	N.Rhodesia		S	B	33.0	F	-	-	-		S-M	
731	268812	N.Rhodesia		S	B	29.5	F	-	-	-		M	Finely branched.
739	268821	N.Rhodesia		VL	B	32.3	F	-	-	-		S	Variable seed and pods.
745	268827	N.Rhodesia		S	B	38.3	F	-	-	-		S-M	Variable seed and pods.
748	268831	N.Rhodesia		S	B	32.6	F	-	-	-		S-M	
807	261954	Paraguay		S	B	S	DPr	-	-	-			
808	261955	Paraguay		S	B	S	T/R	-	-	-			
819	262099	Bolivia		S	B	S	R	-	-	-			
824	247375	S.Africa	ME	S	-	39.2	F	S	3	76.0			
828	269719	Japan		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
836	268612	N.Rhodesia		S	B	50.6	F	-	-	-		M	
839	268619	N.Rhodesia		S	B	47.3	F	-	-	-		M	Pod size variable.
846	268640	N.Rhodesia		S	B	41.1	F	-	-	-		M	Variable seed and pods.
855	268655	N.Rhodesia		S	B	41.1	F	-	-	-		P	Variable seed and pods.
860	268680	N.Rhodesia		S	B	41.7	F	-	-	-		S-M	
861	268681	N.Rhodesia		S	B	41.5	F	-	-	-		S-M	
862	268682	N.Rhodesia		S	B	41.1	F	-	-	-		S-M	
873	268756	N.Rhodesia		S	B	41.1	F	-	-	-		S-M	
881	268829	N.Rhodesia		S	B	39.2	F	-	-	-		S-M	Variable seed and pods.
882	270767	N.Rhodesia		S	B	41.7	R	-	-	-		M	
885	270793	N.Rhodesia		S	B	48.1	R	-	-	74.0		M	Hard, rough shell.
887	270795	N.Rhodesia		S	B	47.2	R	-	-	76.0		M-P	Rough shell.
889	270842	N.Rhodesia		-	B	41.7	R	-	-	75.0		S-M	Hard shell.
893	259746	Uruguay	ME	S	-	50.6	F	S	-	76.9	MV	S	

TABLE VII

MEAN THRIPS SCORES FOR INTRODUCTIONS IN 1965 AND 1966 AT THE AGRONOMY
RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Okla. P-No.	1965	1966	Mean
2	3.0	5.4	4.2
4	2.3	4.9	3.6
6	3.2	5.0	4.1
17	2.5	5.3	3.9
22	3.2	5.0	4.1
25	3.8	5.0	4.4
293	5.2	6.2	5.7
294	4.5	5.7	5.1
295	3.5	5.2	4.4
301	2.8	4.8	3.8
304	3.8	5.4	4.6
309	4.8	6.3	5.6
315	2.8	5.6	4.2
317	3.7	5.5	4.6
340	4.2	5.9	5.0
342	4.7	5.9	5.3
370	4.5	6.2	5.4
397	4.3	6.0	5.2
415	3.8	5.5	4.6
419	3.2	6.1	4.6
431	2.8	5.2	4.0
438	2.8	6.1	4.4
447	4.3	5.9	5.1
451	3.8	5.7	4.8
463	3.7	5.7	4.7
465	4.2	6.0	5.1
471	4.2	5.7	5.0
477	4.5	5.9	5.2
501	4.5	5.7	5.1
512	3.2	5.4	4.3
516	4.8	6.0	5.4
529	3.2	5.4	4.3
532	4.8	5.8	5.3
552	3.2	5.4	4.3
560	4.0	5.6	4.8
561	4.5	6.1	5.3
567	3.2	5.7	4.4
577	2.5	5.3	3.9

TABLE VII (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	1965	1966	Mean
581	4.2	5.9	5.0
591	4.8	6.2	5.5
592	4.8	5.9	5.4
598	4.5	5.8	5.2
600	4.2	5.8	5.0
606	4.0	5.8	4.9
608	3.7	6.0	4.8
609	2.0	5.3	3.6
614	4.2	5.8	5.0
634	3.3	5.2	4.2
643	3.8	5.0	4.4
644	3.3	5.3	4.3
654	3.3	5.6	4.4
675	2.3	5.4	3.8
678	3.5	5.8	4.6
718	3.2	5.2	4.2
731	2.0	5.2	3.6
739	3.8	5.8	4.8
745	3.8	5.7	4.8
748	3.3	5.7	4.5
807	5.0	5.7	5.4
808	3.7	5.5	4.6
819	4.3	5.8	5.0
824	4.8	6.0	5.4
828	5.2	6.0	5.6
836	4.7	6.1	5.4
839	4.0	6.0	5.0
846	4.5	5.8	5.2
855	4.3	6.2	5.2
860	4.3	5.9	5.1
861	3.8	6.0	4.9
862	4.8	6.0	5.4
873	3.7	5.5	4.6
881	4.0	6.0	5.0
882	4.2	6.1	5.2
885	4.8	6.2	5.5
887	4.2	5.6	4.9
889	5.3	6.0	5.6
893	4.2	6.0	5.1
Mean	3.9	5.7	4.8
LSD .05	1.2	0.8	
CV (%)	26.6	12.9	

TABLE VIII

DAILY PRECIPITATION RECORDED FROM MAY 1 THROUGH OCTOBER 31
ON THE PERKINS AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATION, 1964 AND 1965

Day of Mo.	1964						1965					
	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.
1	0.20		0.05									
2		0.12						0.25				
3											0.38	
4		0.22						0.04	0.03			
5								0.05				
6	0.10							0.16	1.53			
7				0.40			1.05		0.01			
8	0.02						1.64		0.12	0.32		
9			0.36				0.34					
10	2.99			0.03			0.03					
11					2.07			0.89				
12						0.08		1.24				
13		0.14				0.13		0.39				
14				0.27			0.98	0.09			0.03	
15				0.91	0.03							
16					0.35							
17				0.68							1.46	
18												0.69
19										0.23	2.18	
20					0.14			T			4.35	
21					0.07			1.03				
22					0.22			0.08		1.53		
23		0.30										
24												
25				0.39		0.17						
26			0.02	2.28		0.27	0.17					
27	0.14		0.04		0.19					0.36		
28		0.54	0.05	1.47			0.03		0.23			
29	0.67		0.18									
30	0.49										0.05	
31							0.40			0.94		
Total	4.61	1.12	0.70	6.43	3.07	0.65	4.64	4.22	1.92	3.38	8.45	0.69

TABLE IX

DAILY PRECIPITATION RECORDED FROM MAY 1 THROUGH OCTOBER 31
ON THE PERKINS AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATION, 1966 AND 1967

Day of Mo.	1966						1967					
	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.
1	0.07						0.05					
2											0.51	
3					0.08					0.49	1.22	
4		0.23			1.08						0.31	
5							2.08		0.18		0.28	
6		0.36					0.03				0.68	
7		2.44										1.29
8		0.22										
9	0.09											
10				0.10				1.12				
11	0.35			0.10				1.24				0.04
12							0.64		0.15			
13				0.03								
14					T						1.05	
15	1.15	0.10	1.09									0.32
16					0.32			0.16	0.40			
17						0.39		0.14		0.34		
18									0.07			
19				2.39								
20			T				3.10		0.12		0.21	
21	0.53		2.00							0.28	0.63	
22												
23			3.30	0.23				0.47				
24									0.05			
25								3.64				
26		T									1.05	
27					0.07				0.08	T		
28							0.44		0.11			
29			0.10				0.11	0.13				
30							0.85			T		0.35
31	0.14			0.09		T						0.35
Total	2.33	3.35	6.49	2.94	1.55	0.39	7.25	6.95	1.16	1.11	5.94	2.35

TABLE X

DAILY PRECIPITATION RECORDED FROM MAY 1 THROUGH OCTOBER 31
NEAR THE CADDO PEANUT RESEARCH STATION,
FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA, 1965 AND 1966

Day of Mo.	1965						1966					
	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.
1				T	0.02		0.02	0.58			0.13	
2		1.40					0.06	0.02		0.20		
3		T									0.08	
4		T				0.01						
5		0.07	T			0.01				0.01		
6		0.02	0.06	T								
7				0.68						0.08		
8				0.06								
9	0.88		0.03					1.36				
10	0.20			0.07						0.24		
11	T						0.01			1.15		
12	T											
13		1.85								T	0.29	
14	2.05			0.22						0.60		
15				0.10								
16		0.06						0.39			0.14	
17					0.05			0.33			0.15	0.20
18					0.10	1.39		0.13			0.25	0.25
19				0.38	1.86	0.33				0.91		
20				1.15	0.77							
21					2.32		0.70					
22		1.05								1.30		
23		0.08			T				0.02	0.44		
24	T				T					2.12		
25	0.10	0.35										
26	0.26	0.02	0.02						0.02			
27							0.01				T	
28	0.52		0.14	0.27							1.94	
29			T									
30					0.01							
31	0.05									0.36		
Total	4.06	4.90	0.25	2.93	5.13	1.74	0.79	2.82	0.04	7.41	2.98	0.45

TABLE XI
PRECIPITATION RECORDED FROM MAY 1 THROUGH OCTOBER 31
NEAR THE PERKINS AND FT. COBB AGRONOMY
RESEARCH STATIONS BY 10 DAY INTERVALS

Days			Perkins				Ft. Cobb	
			1964	1965	1966	1967	1965	1966
May	1-10		3.31	3.06	0.16	2.11	1.08	0.08
	11-20		0.00	0.98	1.50	3.74	2.05	0.01
	21-30		1.30	0.20	0.53	1.40	0.88	0.70
May	31-June	9	0.34	0.90	3.39	0.05	1.54	1.96
June	10-19		0.14	2.61	0.10	2.66	1.91	0.85
	20-29		0.84	1.11	0.00	4.24	1.50	0.01
June	30-July	9	0.41	1.69	0.00	0.18	0.09	0.00
July	10-19		0.00	0.00	1.09	0.62	0.00	0.00
	20-29		0.29	0.23	5.40	0.36	0.16	0.04
July	30-Aug.	8	0.40	0.32	0.00	0.49	0.74	0.29
Aug.	9-18		1.89	0.00	0.23	0.34	0.39	1.99
	19-28		4.14	2.12	2.62	0.28	1.80	4.77
Aug.	29-Sept.	9	0.00	1.32	1.25	3.00	0.02	0.57
Sept.	8-17		2.45	1.49	0.32	1.05	0.05	0.58
	18-27		0.62	6.53	0.07	1.89	5.05	0.25
Sept.	28-Oct.	7	0.00	0.05	0.00	1.29	0.03	1.94
Oct.	8-17		0.21	0.00	0.39	0.36	0.00	0.20
	18-27		0.44	0.69	0.00	0.00	1.72	0.25
Totals			16.78	22.98	17.05	24.06	19.01	14.49

TABLE XII

SUMMARY FOR THE MEAN YIELD FOR 1965 AND 1966 AT THE AGRONOMY
RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Okla. P-No.	Perkins			Ft. Cobb			Mean of Four Tests
	1965	1966	Mean	1965	1966	Mean	
2	862.0	1466.7	1164.4	3651.0	2548.0	3099.5	2131.9
4	726.0	1452.0	1089.0	3843.5	2590.7	3217.1	2153.0
6	862.0	1361.3	1111.6	3736.5	2534.0	3135.2	2123.4
17	816.5	1497.0	1156.8	2882.5	2405.7	2644.1	1900.4
22	1089.0	1935.7	1512.4	3203.0	2719.0	2961.0	2236.7
25	363.0	1195.0	779.0	1687.0	1850.7	1768.8	1273.9
293	725.5	1194.7	960.1	2562.5	2192.0	2377.2	1668.7
294	703.0	1210.0	956.5	2669.0	1793.3	2231.2	1593.8
295	703.0	1406.3	1054.6	2669.0	2889.7	2779.4	1917.0
301	726.0	1164.3	945.2	2775.5	2804.0	2789.8	1867.4
304	703.0	1270.3	986.6	2476.5	2718.7	2597.6	1792.1
309	544.5	1073.7	809.1	1985.5	1751.0	1868.2	1338.7
315	544.5	1104.0	824.2	2669.0	2633.7	2651.4	1737.8
317	544.5	983.0	763.8	2861.0	2306.0	2583.5	1673.6
340	340.0	559.3	449.6	1815.0	1181.7	1498.4	974.0
342	385.5	408.3	396.9	2242.0	1608.7	1925.4	1161.1
370	408.0	650.3	529.2	2306.0	1253.0	1779.5	1154.3
397	340.5	1028.3	684.4	2306.0	1622.7	1964.4	1324.4
415	476.5	1421.7	949.1	2882.5	1893.3	2387.9	1668.5
419	635.5	756.3	695.9	2455.5	1637.0	2046.2	1371.1
431	884.5	1028.3	956.4	3160.0	2619.3	2889.6	1923.0
438	749.0	907.3	828.2	2349.0	1693.7	2021.4	1424.8
447	703.5	1089.0	896.2	2562.5	1551.7	2057.1	1476.8
451	431.0	801.7	616.4	2818.5	1452.0	2135.2	1375.8
463	748.5	1164.3	956.4	2861.5	2320.3	2590.9	1773.1
465	907.0	1073.7	990.4	2626.5	1551.7	2089.1	1539.7
471	703.0	998.0	850.5	2562.5	1822.0	2192.2	1521.4
477	680.5	937.7	809.1	1601.5	1068.0	1334.8	1071.9
501	499.0	937.7	718.4	1708.0	1580.0	1644.0	1181.2
512	748.5	1240.0	994.2	2669.0	2263.3	2466.2	1730.2
516	725.5	1179.7	952.6	2263.0	1993.0	2128.0	1540.3
529	1179.5	1119.0	1149.2	2690.5	1765.0	2227.8	1688.5
532	658.0	665.3	661.6	2135.5	2092.3	2113.9	1387.8
552	884.5	1149.3	1016.9	2669.0	2434.3	2551.6	1784.3
560	454.0	907.3	680.6	2669.0	3160.0	2914.5	1797.6
561	612.5	1073.7	843.1	2242.0	2249.0	2245.5	1544.3
567	884.5	1497.0	1190.8	2477.0	2946.3	2711.6	1951.2

TABLE XII (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	Perkins			Ft. Cobb			Mean of Four Tests
	1965	1966	Mean	1965	1966	Mean	
577	862.0	1194.7	1028.4	3203.0	3018.0	3110.5	2069.4
581	363.0	619.7	491.4	1751.0	1637.0	1694.0	1092.7
591	476.0	907.3	691.6	1857.5	1708.3	1782.9	1237.3
592	590.0	680.7	635.4	2050.0	1978.7	2014.4	1324.8
598	386.0	680.3	533.2	1666.0	1138.7	1402.4	967.8
600	431.0	740.7	585.8	1900.5	1622.7	1761.6	1173.7
606	612.5	1134.3	873.4	2284.5	1366.3	1825.4	1349.4
608	272.0	741.0	506.5	1494.5	1139.0	1316.8	911.6
609	544.5	1694.0	1119.2	2178.0	2932.3	2555.2	1837.2
614	657.5	1134.3	895.9	2455.5	2135.3	2295.4	1595.6
634	703.0	1224.7	963.8	2775.5	2961.0	2868.2	1916.0
643	590.0	1315.7	952.8	2882.5	2776.0	2829.2	1891.0
644	635.0	1436.3	1035.6	2754.0	2477.0	2615.5	1825.6
654	590.0	1573.0	1081.5	2775.5	2078.0	2426.8	1754.1
675	408.0	1330.7	869.4	854.0	2206.7	1530.4	1199.8
678	476.5	1451.7	964.1	2306.5	2590.7	2448.6	1706.4
718	657.5	1013.7	835.6	2690.0	1992.7	2341.4	1588.5
731	408.5	1527.3	967.9	1794.0	2519.3	2156.6	1562.3
739	544.0	1209.7	876.8	1858.0	2348.7	2103.4	1490.1
745	340.5	1013.3	676.9	2199.0	2121.0	2160.0	1418.4
748	612.5	1134.3	873.4	2498.0	2733.0	2615.5	1744.4
807	476.5	831.3	653.9	1430.5	1523.0	1476.8	1065.3
808	363.0	589.7	476.4	1794.0	1907.3	1850.6	1163.5
819	249.5	604.7	427.1	1494.5	2206.3	1850.4	1138.8
824	408.0	968.0	688.0	2285.0	2178.0	2231.5	1459.8
828	612.0	1058.7	835.4	2839.5	2135.3	2487.4	1661.4
836	453.5	1179.7	816.6	2113.5	2021.3	2067.4	1442.0
839	385.5	786.3	585.9	1665.5	1039.0	1352.2	969.1
846	567.0	1285.3	926.2	1900.5	1793.7	1847.1	1386.6
855	408.5	922.3	665.4	2199.5	2149.7	2174.6	1420.0
860	522.0	983.0	752.5	2882.5	2719.0	2800.8	1776.6
861	431.0	1164.7	797.8	2883.0	2135.3	2509.2	1653.5
862	363.0	650.0	506.5	3075.0	2192.0	2633.5	1570.0
873	476.5	1285.7	881.1	2947.0	2534.0	2740.5	1810.8
881	431.0	1073.7	752.4	2839.5	2249.0	2544.2	1648.3
882	499.0	862.0	680.5	2028.5	1495.0	1761.8	1221.1
885	272.5	589.7	431.1	1238.0	996.3	1117.2	774.1
887	363.0	892.3	627.6	2028.5	1708.3	1868.4	1248.0
889	385.5	635.0	510.2	1815.0	1067.7	1441.4	975.8
893	544.0	998.0	771.0	2519.5	1938.0	2228.8	1499.9
Mean	575.6	1065.2	820.4	2389.0	2064.4	2226.7	1523.6
LSD .05	296.0	391.7	267.2	853.4	625.2	497.7	
CV (%)	26.0	22.7	26.1	18.0	18.7	17.9	

TABLE XIII

MEAN YIELD, TOTAL SOUND MATURE KERNELS, SOUND SPLITS, OTHER KERNELS,
DAMAGED KERNELS, SEED SIZE, PLANT HEIGHT AND WIDTH FOR 1967 AT THE
AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATION NEAR PERKINS, OKLAHOMA

Okla. P-No.	Yield (lb/A)	Total SMK (%)	SS (%)	OK (%)	DK (%)	Seed Size gms/100	Height (cm)	Width (cm)
2	1267	65.5	5.5	8.0	0.0	34.9	38.3	71.0
4	1297	62.0	9.0	9.0	2.0	32.7	35.7	68.3
6	1301	65.5	5.0	7.0	2.5	36.8	37.3	74.0
22	1134	61.5	7.0	11.5	1.0	33.3	30.0	61.6
552	1164	63.5	3.0	10.0	1.5	35.5	37.7	70.7
567	1271	66.0	2.0	7.0	1.0	42.9	35.7	63.0
577	1226	60.0	7.0	8.5	3.0	38.8	35.0	72.7
609	1332	56.0	4.0	9.0	4.0	38.4	33.7	65.0
678	1439	62.5	5.5	9.5	1.5	37.5	37.7	75.0
861	1289	59.5	3.0	8.0	2.0	47.5	40.0	73.7
Mean	1272	62.2	5.1	8.8	1.9	37.9	36.1	69.5
LSD.05	N.S.	3.4	2.9	N.S.	N.S.	4.1	4.8	7.6
CV (%)	9.6	2.4	25.1	15.0	75.0	4.8	8.1	6.3

TABLE XIV

SUMMARY FOR THE MEAN PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL SOUND MATURE KERNELS
FOR 1965 AND 1966 AT THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR
PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Okla. P-No.	Perkins			Ft. Cobb			Mean of Four Tests
	1965	1966	Mean	1965	1966	Mean	
2	54.0	65.0	59.5	72.5	69.0	70.8	65.1
4	39.0	58.5	48.8	71.5	69.5	70.5	59.6
6	42.0	61.0	51.5	71.5	69.0	70.2	60.9
17	44.0	59.0	51.5	70.5	69.0	69.8	60.6
22	51.0	57.5	54.2	66.5	70.5	68.5	61.4
25	30.0	16.5	23.2	59.5	65.5	62.5	42.9
293	43.5	60.0	51.8	71.5	72.0	71.8	61.8
294	44.0	60.5	52.2	68.5	68.5	68.5	60.4
295	46.0	59.5	52.8	71.5	69.0	70.2	61.5
301	41.5	67.0	54.2	73.0	72.0	72.5	63.4
304	34.5	64.5	49.5	72.5	72.0	72.2	60.9
309	49.0	63.0	56.0	73.5	74.5	74.0	65.0
315	47.5	64.5	56.0	71.0	67.0	69.0	69.0
317	39.0	55.0	47.0	69.5	68.5	69.0	58.0
340	42.0	58.5	50.2	75.5	71.0	73.2	61.8
342	40.0	62.5	51.2	66.5	66.0	66.2	58.8
370	44.0	60.0	52.0	72.0	70.5	71.2	61.6
397	48.0	62.5	55.2	41.5	74.0	72.8	64.0
415	43.5	64.5	54.0	72.5	72.0	72.2	63.1
419	32.5	54.5	43.5	72.5	68.0	70.2	56.9
431	50.0	65.5	57.8	73.5	72.0	72.8	65.2
438	51.5	61.0	56.2	72.5	72.5	72.5	64.4
447	49.5	62.5	56.0	71.5	73.0	72.5	64.4
451	41.0	64.5	52.8	68.5	66.0	67.2	60.0
463	49.0	65.0	57.0	71.5	70.0	70.8	63.9
465	59.0	65.5	62.2	73.0	71.5	72.2	67.2
471	56.0	66.5	61.2	69.0	70.0	69.5	65.4
477	46.0	65.5	55.8	69.0	70.5	69.8	62.8
501	39.5	62.5	51.0	65.5	68.0	66.8	58.9
512	39.5	61.5	50.5	68.0	68.5	68.2	59.4
516	57.5	66.0	61.8	70.5	71.5	71.0	66.4
529	48.5	62.5	55.5	70.5	71.5	71.0	63.2
532	49.0	60.5	54.8	70.0	67.0	68.5	61.6
552	61.0	66.0	63.5	73.5	73.5	73.5	68.5
560	51.0	65.5	58.2	73.5	70.5	72.0	65.1
561	38.5	63.0	50.8	72.0	71.0	71.5	61.1
567	58.5	68.0	63.2	72.0	72.0	72.0	67.6

TABLE XIV (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	Perkins			Ft. Cobb			Mean of Four Tests
	1965	1966	Mean	1965	1966	Mean	
577	44.5	62.5	53.5	68.5	69.0	68.8	61.1
581	43.5	64.5	54.0	75.5	72.5	74.0	64.0
591	54.0	62.5	58.2	73.5	74.0	73.8	66.0
592	44.0	61.5	52.8	75.0	72.5	73.8	63.2
598	42.5	60.5	51.5	77.0	71.0	74.0	62.8
600	48.0	65.0	56.5	78.5	70.0	74.2	65.4
606	28.0	65.0	46.5	70.5	69.5	70.0	58.2
608	39.0	62.0	50.5	76.5	70.0	73.2	61.9
609	51.5	61.0	56.2	70.5	68.0	69.2	62.8
614	37.5	61.5	49.5	69.0	68.0	68.5	59.0
634	37.5	65.0	51.2	70.0	66.5	68.2	59.8
643	44.0	63.0	53.5	71.5	66.5	69.0	51.2
644	46.5	62.0	54.2	71.5	66.5	69.0	61.6
654	50.5	66.5	58.5	73.5	70.5	72.0	65.2
675	64.0	65.5	64.8	71.5	66.0	68.8	66.8
678	65.0	64.0	64.5	73.0	71.5	72.2	68.4
718	48.5	65.5	57.0	73.5	69.0	71.2	64.1
731	57.0	61.0	59.0	72.5	69.5	71.0	65.0
739	52.5	68.0	60.2	69.0	65.0	67.0	63.6
745	37.5	62.5	50.0	73.5	69.0	71.2	60.6
748	51.5	65.0	58.2	77.0	73.0	75.0	66.6
807	39.0	61.0	50.0	73.5	71.5	72.5	61.2
808	22.0	57.0	39.5	70.5	70.5	70.5	55.0
819	48.0	59.0	53.5	72.0	68.5	70.2	61.9
824	50.5	60.5	55.5	73.0	71.0	72.0	63.8
828	47.0	61.0	54.0	71.5	71.0	71.2	62.6
836	41.5	59.5	50.5	71.5	69.0	70.2	60.4
839	39.0	60.0	49.5	70.0	68.0	69.0	59.2
846	47.5	60.5	54.0	65.5	65.0	65.2	59.6
855	51.0	63.0	57.0	71.5	69.5	70.5	63.8
860	42.0	59.5	50.8	71.0	70.5	70.8	60.8
861	48.0	64.0	56.0	72.0	70.5	71.2	63.6
862	24.5	58.0	41.2	71.5	68.0	69.8	55.5
873	49.0	65.0	57.0	74.0	71.5	72.8	64.9
881	45.0	61.5	53.2	74.0	69.5	71.8	62.5
882	48.5	57.0	52.8	72.0	70.0	71.0	61.9
885	31.5	58.5	45.0	72.0	67.5	69.8	57.4
887	48.5	66.0	57.2	72.5	72.0	72.2	64.8
889	40.0	67.0	53.5	73.5	69.5	71.5	62.5
893	48.0	63.5	55.8	72.5	72.5	72.5	64.1
Mean	45.4	61.8	53.6	71.6	69.9	70.7	62.2
LSD .05	12.1	6.4	6.8	3.1	4.7	2.8	
CV (%)	13.4	5.2	9.1	2.2	3.4	2.8	

TABLE XV

SUMMARY FOR THE MEAN SOUND SPLITS PERCENTAGE FOR 1965 AND 1966
 AT THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR
 PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Okla. P-No.	Perkins			Ft. Cobb			Mean of Four Tests
	1965	1966	Mean	1965	1966	Mean	
2	1.0	2.0	1.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.2
4	2.0	3.0	2.5	5.0	10.5	7.8	5.1
6	1.5	1.5	1.5	4.0	2.0	3.0	2.2
17	0.5	3.5	2.0	4.0	7.0	5.5	3.8
22	0.5	2.5	1.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	3.5
25	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	1.5
293	0.0	1.0	0.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	2.8
294	0.0	0.5	0.2	5.5	3.0	4.2	2.2
295	0.5	2.5	1.5	5.0	2.0	3.5	2.5
301	0.0	1.5	0.8	4.5	4.5	4.5	2.6
304	0.0	0.5	0.2	4.5	3.0	3.8	2.0
309	0.0	1.5	0.8	5.0	4.0	4.5	2.6
315	0.5	2.0	1.2	5.5	4.5	5.0	3.1
317	0.0	0.5	0.2	5.5	6.0	5.8	3.0
340	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	7.0	5.5	2.8
342	0.0	2.0	1.0	2.5	3.0	2.8	1.9
370	0.0	1.0	0.5	3.0	4.0	3.5	2.0
397	0.0	1.0	0.5	2.5	6.0	4.2	2.4
415	0.0	1.0	0.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.2
419	0.0	1.5	0.8	2.0	2.5	2.2	1.5
431	0.0	1.0	0.5	4.5	4.0	4.2	2.4
438	0.0	1.0	0.5	3.5	3.0	3.2	1.9
447	0.5	1.5	1.0	3.5	5.0	4.2	2.6
451	0.0	1.0	0.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.2
463	0.5	1.5	1.0	3.0	3.5	3.2	2.1
465	0.0	1.5	0.8	3.0	2.5	2.8	1.8
471	0.0	1.0	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0
477	0.0	0.5	0.2	1.0	1.5	1.2	0.8
501	0.0	0.5	0.2	1.5	0.0	0.8	0.5
512	0.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	1.5	1.2	0.9
516	0.0	0.5	0.2	1.5	0.5	1.0	0.6
529	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	4.5	3.8	2.4
532	0.5	0.0	0.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.6
552	0.5	0.5	0.5	3.0	5.0	4.0	2.2
560	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.5
561	0.0	1.0	0.5	4.0	2.5	3.2	1.9
567	0.5	1.0	0.8	2.0	2.5	2.2	1.5

TABLE XV (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	Perkins			Ft. Cobb			Mean of Four Tests
	1965	1966	Mean	1965	1966	Mean	
577	0.0	2.0	1.0	5.5	3.5	4.5	2.8
581	0.0	1.5	0.8	5.5	3.0	4.2	2.5
591	1.0	0.0	0.5	4.0	3.0	3.5	2.0
592	0.0	1.0	0.5	3.5	3.0	3.2	1.9
598	0.0	1.5	0.8	8.0	4.5	6.2	3.5
600	0.0	1.0	0.5	5.5	5.0	5.2	2.9
606	0.0	0.5	0.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.6
608	0.0	1.0	0.5	7.0	5.5	6.2	3.4
609	1.0	1.5	1.2	4.5	3.0	3.8	2.5
614	0.0	1.5	0.8	5.5	3.5	4.5	2.6
634	0.0	1.0	0.5	4.0	3.5	3.8	2.1
643	0.0	1.0	0.5	5.5	3.5	4.5	2.5
644	1.0	1.0	1.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	2.5
654	0.5	2.5	1.5	5.0	4.0	4.5	3.0
675	2.0	2.5	2.2	4.5	2.5	3.5	2.9
678	2.5	2.5	2.5	4.5	5.5	5.0	3.8
718	0.5	2.0	1.2	6.0	4.5	5.2	3.2
731	4.5	2.5	3.5	8.0	5.0	6.5	5.0
739	0.5	1.5	1.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	1.8
745	0.5	1.0	0.8	5.5	5.0	5.2	3.0
748	0.0	1.0	0.5	3.5	3.0	3.2	1.9
807	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.8	0.4
808	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.5	1.8	0.9
819	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	0.5
824	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	2.2
828	0.0	0.5	0.2	4.5	3.0	3.8	2.0
836	0.5	1.0	0.8	7.0	2.5	4.8	2.8
839	0.5	0.5	0.5	3.5	2.0	2.8	1.6
846	0.5	2.0	1.2	2.5	2.0	2.2	1.8
855	0.5	1.5	1.0	5.0	2.5	3.8	2.4
860	0.0	1.0	0.5	3.0	4.5	3.8	2.1
861	0.5	1.0	0.8	4.5	3.5	4.0	2.4
862	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	3.0	3.8	1.9
873	1.0	3.0	2.0	8.0	4.5	6.2	4.1
881	0.0	2.0	1.0	6.0	3.5	4.8	2.9
882	0.5	0.5	0.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	2.0
885	0.5	1.0	0.8	2.5	1.5	2.0	1.4
887	0.0	0.5	0.2	3.5	4.0	3.8	2.0
889	0.0	1.5	0.8	2.5	1.5	2.0	1.4
893	0.0	1.5	0.8	3.0	5.0	4.0	2.4
Mean	0.4	1.2	0.8	3.9	3.4	3.6	2.2
LSD .05	0.8	1.2	0.7	2.7	2.0	1.7	
CV (%)	110.0	51.3	65.6	35.0	30.4	33.4	

TABLE XVI

SUMMARY FOR THE MEAN PERCENTAGE OF OTHER KERNELS FOR 1965 AND 1966 AT
THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Okla. P-No.	Perkins			Ft. Cobb			Mean of Four Tests
	1965	1966	Mean	1965	1966	Mean	
2	8.5	5.0	6.8	2.5	6.0	4.2	5.5
4	15.0	14.5	14.8	4.5	7.0	5.8	10.2
6	13.5	7.5	10.5	3.0	5.0	4.0	7.2
17	16.0	14.5	15.2	4.0	5.5	4.8	10.0
22	12.5	15.0	13.8	6.5	6.5	6.5	10.1
25	27.0	52.0	39.5	13.0	8.5	10.8	25.1
293	8.0	7.5	7.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	4.9
294	8.5	5.5	7.0	1.5	3.0	2.2	4.6
295	14.0	10.0	12.0	2.0	5.0	3.5	7.8
301	12.5	3.5	8.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	5.5
304	13.5	5.5	9.5	3.0	5.0	4.0	6.8
309	10.0	7.5	8.8	2.0	3.5	2.8	5.8
315	9.0	6.0	7.5	3.0	5.5	4.2	5.9
317	11.0	10.5	10.8	2.5	4.5	3.5	7.1
340	12.5	9.0	10.8	2.0	7.0	4.5	7.6
342	8.0	4.0	6.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
370	12.0	10.0	11.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	7.0
397	10.0	7.5	8.8	2.5	4.0	3.2	6.0
415	10.0	5.0	7.5	2.0	3.5	2.8	5.1
419	12.0	12.5	12.2	1.0	3.5	2.2	7.2
431	13.0	4.0	8.5	2.5	4.5	3.5	6.0
438	12.0	9.0	10.5	3.0	4.0	3.5	7.0
447	8.5	5.0	6.8	1.0	3.0	2.0	4.4
451	11.0	4.0	7.5	2.0	5.5	3.8	5.6
463	12.5	6.0	9.2	1.5	4.0	2.8	6.0
465	11.0	8.5	9.8	2.5	4.0	3.2	6.5
471	11.0	6.0	8.5	4.7	5.5	5.1	6.8
477	14.5	7.0	10.8	6.0	6.0	6.0	8.4
501	18.0	8.0	13.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	10.0
512	25.0	14.5	19.8	8.5	9.0	8.8	14.2
516	9.0	6.5	7.8	4.0	4.5	4.2	6.0
529	10.5	9.0	9.8	3.5	5.0	4.2	7.0
532	8.5	6.0	7.2	4.0	5.5	4.8	6.0
552	7.5	8.5	8.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	5.5
560	10.5	5.0	7.8	2.0	5.5	3.8	5.8
561	14.5	8.5	11.5	3.0	4.5	3.8	7.6
567	8.0	4.5	6.2	2.0	3.5	2.8	4.5

TABLE XVI (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	Perkins			Ft. Cobb			Mean of Four Tests
	1965	1966	Mean	1965	1966	Mean	
577	10.5	6.0	8.2	2.0	4.0	3.0	5.6
581	11.0	6.0	8.5	1.5	5.0	3.2	5.9
591	8.0	8.0	8.0	2.5	3.5	3.0	5.5
592	12.5	8.5	10.5	2.0	3.5	2.8	6.6
598	15.5	10.0	12.8	2.0	7.0	4.5	8.6
600	14.0	8.5	11.2	2.0	6.0	4.0	7.6
606	37.0	9.5	23.2	6.0	6.5	6.2	14.8
608	15.0	10.5	12.8	2.0	7.0	4.5	8.6
609	6.5	7.0	6.8	2.5	4.0	3.2	5.0
614	9.0	6.0	7.5	1.0	3.0	2.0	4.8
634	11.0	4.0	7.5	3.5	5.0	4.2	5.9
643	10.0	7.0	8.5	2.0	7.0	4.5	6.5
644	8.0	8.0	8.0	2.5	7.5	10.0	6.5
654	10.5	6.5	8.5	2.5	5.5	4.0	6.2
675	5.5	6.5	6.0	4.5	6.5	5.5	5.8
678	5.0	8.5	6.8	3.5	4.5	4.0	5.4
718	9.0	8.5	8.8	2.0	4.0	3.0	5.9
731	9.5	12.5	11.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	7.8
739	8.0	3.5	5.8	3.5	5.0	4.2	5.0
745	8.5	5.0	6.8	1.0	3.0	2.0	4.4
748	10.0	6.5	8.2	2.0	4.5	3.2	5.8
807	12.0	5.0	8.5	1.5	3.0	2.2	5.4
808	13.0	5.5	9.2	2.5	3.0	2.8	6.0
819	13.0	8.0	10.5	3.0	5.0	4.0	7.2
824	4.5	7.0	5.8	2.0	2.5	2.2	4.0
828	9.0	5.5	7.2	1.0	3.0	2.0	4.6
836	6.0	6.5	6.2	1.0	3.0	2.0	4.1
839	10.5	6.5	8.5	1.5	4.0	2.8	5.6
846	12.5	10.5	11.5	6.0	8.5	7.2	9.4
855	8.5	9.5	9.0	4.0	6.5	5.2	7.1
860	10.0	7.5	8.8	1.0	2.0	1.5	5.1
861	7.0	4.0	5.5	2.0	3.5	2.8	4.1
862	14.0	8.5	11.2	2.0	3.5	2.8	7.0
873	8.0	3.5	5.8	2.0	1.5	1.8	3.8
881	14.0	5.5	9.8	1.0	4.0	2.5	6.1
882	8.0	8.0	8.0	1.5	3.0	2.2	5.1
885	14.0	4.5	9.2	2.0	4.5	3.2	6.2
887	9.0	3.0	6.0	1.5	3.5	2.5	4.2
889	11.0	5.0	8.0	1.5	5.0	3.2	5.6
893	7.5	5.0	6.2	1.5	2.5	2.0	4.1
Mean	11.4	7.9	9.6	2.8	4.7	3.8	6.7
LSD .05	4.2	4.1	2.9	2.0	2.9	1.7	
CV (%)	26.1	26.4	21.6	35.1	30.7	32.2	

TABLE XVII

SUMMARY FOR THE MEAN SEED SIZE^{1/} (gms/100) FOR 1965 AND 1966 AT THE
AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Okla. P-No.	Perkins			Ft. Cobb			Mean of Four Tests
	1965	1966	Mean	1965	1966	Mean	
2	30.3	34.1	32.2	40.9	37.5	39.2	35.7
4	27.1	30.5	28.8	34.6	33.6	34.1	31.4
6	28.5	33.6	31.0	40.3	40.8	40.6	35.8
17	24.3	31.6	28.0	24.3	36.3	35.3	31.6
22	22.8	30.6	26.7	34.4	34.7	34.6	30.6
25	27.0	30.4	28.7	38.9	40.7	39.8	34.2
293	27.5	39.0	33.2	47.6	48.9	48.2	40.8
294	30.0	40.7	35.4	46.3	47.9	47.1	41.2
295	26.3	34.1	30.2	39.1	39.8	39.4	34.8
301	25.7	34.3	30.0	40.2	39.4	39.8	34.9
304	26.3	33.5	29.9	38.8	37.4	38.1	34.0
309	29.5	40.2	34.8	43.7	45.3	44.5	39.7
315	26.4	32.7	29.6	39.1	38.4	38.8	34.2
317	26.8	36.3	31.6	44.1	45.9	45.0	38.3
340	27.1	33.3	30.2	39.1	37.9	38.5	34.4
342	33.8	39.3	36.6	40.0	41.1	40.6	38.6
370	27.8	37.4	32.6	43.2	44.8	44.0	38.3
397	30.5	39.6	35.0	41.0	42.6	41.8	38.4
415	29.8	38.4	34.1	41.9	42.1	42.0	38.0
419	27.4	37.8	32.6	47.1	46.2	46.6	39.6
431	30.1	36.7	33.4	42.5	41.9	42.2	38.0
438	29.4	36.7	33.0	41.5	40.9	41.2	37.1
447	30.7	41.8	36.2	49.2	48.0	48.6	42.4
451	27.2	39.1	33.2	44.2	41.3	42.8	37.9
463	28.6	38.7	33.6	43.2	42.6	42.9	38.3
465	31.0	37.3	34.2	44.1	42.0	43.0	38.6
471	32.2	30.7	36.0	39.0	37.0	38.0	37.0
477	32.4	47.9	40.2	43.2	40.6	41.9	41.0
501	30.3	43.0	36.6	41.2	42.6	41.9	39.3
512	24.4	32.5	28.4	32.7	32.5	32.6	30.5
516	31.4	40.5	36.0	39.9	38.5	39.2	37.6
529	26.6	31.4	29.0	36.8	35.2	36.0	32.5
532	32.9	47.3	40.1	45.8	43.0	44.4	42.2
552	29.2	31.9	30.6	42.1	38.6	40.4	35.4
560	31.3	39.1	35.2	40.5	40.3	40.4	37.8

^{1/}Seed size determined from those kernels riding a 15/64-inch x 3/4-inch slotting sieve.

TABLE XVII (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	Perkins			Ft. Cobb			Mean of Four Tests
	1965	1966	Mean	1965	1966	Mean	
561	26.3	38.0	32.2	44.4	42.2	43.3	37.7
567	30.2	38.7	34.4	44.8	44.2	44.5	39.5
577	26.6	34.9	30.8	42.8	40.4	41.6	36.2
581	27.5	39.0	33.2	41.3	47.1	44.2	38.7
591	29.3	40.5	34.9	44.1	45.6	44.8	39.9
592	27.8	38.3	33.0	43.3	41.6	42.4	37.8
598	25.3	35.2	30.2	45.4	38.6	42.0	36.1
600	26.8	32.2	29.5	40.3	39.8	40.0	34.8
606	26.5	31.7	29.1	34.6	33.7	34.2	31.6
608	26.2	33.9	30.0	40.4	38.0	39.2	34.6
609	29.8	35.0	32.4	40.3	40.4	40.4	36.4
614	28.1	38.3	33.2	44.2	44.5	44.4	38.8
634	25.6	31.5	28.6	36.3	37.8	37.0	32.8
643	26.2	33.3	29.8	41.0	37.4	39.2	34.5
644	26.7	32.3	29.5	38.6	36.8	37.7	33.6
654	28.2	32.7	30.4	41.0	37.3	39.2	34.8
675	35.3	33.6	34.4	38.4	37.3	37.8	36.2
678	36.0	34.9	35.4	41.4	40.8	41.1	38.3
718	29.3	35.0	32.2	42.3	40.1	41.2	36.7
731	31.6	31.1	31.4	34.8	34.5	34.6	33.0
739	33.0	38.1	35.6	38.6	38.2	38.4	37.0
745	29.5	38.5	34.0	49.2	48.4	48.8	41.4
748	27.9	35.3	31.6	43.1	40.9	42.0	36.8
807	31.7	45.2	38.4	50.6	45.5	48.0	43.2
808	28.0	44.9	36.4	48.3	49.5	48.9	42.7
819	39.7	45.7	42.7	46.6	45.2	45.9	44.3
824	38.8	45.0	41.9	56.4	50.9	53.6	47.8
828	33.9	41.1	37.5	53.0	50.3	51.6	44.6
836	35.1	46.3	40.7	53.2	49.6	51.4	46.0
839	32.8	48.5	40.6	47.4	48.3	47.8	44.2
846	29.8	41.0	35.4	41.5	38.4	40.0	37.7
855	32.2	41.9	37.0	45.8	41.8	43.8	40.4
860	30.2	39.4	34.8	47.7	44.7	46.2	40.5
861	35.5	41.6	38.6	47.3	44.7	46.0	42.3
862	30.4	38.3	34.4	47.8	46.5	47.2	40.8
873	31.2	40.9	36.0	52.2	48.8	50.5	43.3
881	30.9	39.7	35.3	55.9	48.2	52.0	43.7
882	33.0	42.1	37.6	54.2	48.4	51.3	44.4
885	27.0	43.0	35.0	47.1	42.8	45.0	40.0
887	30.3	42.7	36.5	48.5	45.6	47.0	41.8
889	30.0	46.2	38.1	49.0	44.1	46.6	42.3
893	34.5	42.1	38.3	50.2	49.3	49.8	44.0
Mean	29.6	37.9	33.8	43.3	42.0	42.6	38.2
LSD .05	3.5	2.9	2.2	3.8	3.5	2.5	
CV (%)	6.0	3.8	4.4	4.1	4.3		

TABLE XVIII

SUMMARY FOR THE MEAN PLANT HEIGHT IN CENTIMETERS FOR 1965 AND 1966 AT
THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Okla. P-No.	Perkins			Ft. Cobb			Mean of Four Tests
	1965	1966	Mean	1965	1966	Mean	
2	35.6	39.9	37.8	54.6	68.6	61.6	49.7
4	33.0	38.1	35.6	58.4	58.4	58.4	47.0
6	34.3	38.1	36.2	61.0	64.3	62.7	49.4
17	34.3	43.2	38.8	64.8	61.7	63.3	51.0
22	30.5	38.1	34.3	57.2	66.0	61.6	48.0
25	21.6	33.0	27.3	45.7	60.2	53.0	40.1
293	35.6	39.9	37.8	61.0	71.1	66.1	51.9
294	29.2	34.8	32.0	54.6	62.7	58.7	45.3
295	31.8	38.1	35.0	61.0	62.7	61.9	48.4
301	35.6	36.3	36.0	67.3	70.4	68.9	52.4
304	34.3	39.9	37.1	62.2	71.9	67.1	52.1
309	33.0	39.9	36.5	53.3	69.3	61.3	49.0
315	34.3	38.9	36.6	62.2	67.8	65.0	50.8
317	36.8	39.9	38.4	62.2	73.7	68.0	53.2
340	44.5	41.4	43.0	66.0	77.0	71.5	57.2
342	35.6	37.3	36.5	76.2	71.1	73.7	55.1
370	31.8	33.8	32.8	68.6	68.6	68.6	50.7
397	33.0	41.4	37.2	59.7	69.3	64.5	50.9
415	29.2	41.4	35.3	55.9	60.2	58.1	46.7
419	36.8	36.3	36.6	64.8	71.9	68.4	52.5
431	36.8	33.0	34.9	57.2	57.7	57.5	46.2
438	40.6	41.4	41.0	55.9	70.4	63.2	52.1
447	31.8	30.5	31.2	47.0	53.3	50.2	40.7
451	39.4	35.6	37.5	54.6	65.3	60.0	48.7
463	38.1	40.6	39.4	58.4	64.3	61.4	50.4
465	43.2	41.4	42.3	68.6	75.4	72.0	57.2
471	45.7	47.5	46.6	76.2	78.7	77.5	62.0
477	47.0	53.3	50.2	73.7	85.6	79.7	64.9
501	48.3	50.8	49.6	77.5	78.0	77.8	63.7
512	40.6	41.4	41.0	59.7	66.0	62.9	51.9
516	45.7	45.7	45.7	87.6	76.2	81.9	63.8
529	43.2	38.1	40.7	76.2	67.8	72.0	56.3
532	49.5	43.9	46.7	73.7	84.6	79.2	62.9
552	34.3	38.9	36.6	62.2	74.4	68.3	52.5
560	35.6	34.8	35.2	59.7	61.7	60.7	48.0
561	35.6	37.3	36.5	63.5	70.4	67.0	51.7
567	38.1	38.9	38.5	63.5	59.2	61.4	49.9

TABLE XVIII (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	Perkins			Ft. Cobb			Mean of Four Tests
	1965	1966	Mean	1965	1966	Mean	
577	34.3	35.6	35.0	55.9	66.0	61.0	48.0
581	35.6	36.3	36.0	59.7	66.8	63.3	49.6
591	34.3	39.9	37.1	61.0	66.0	63.5	50.3
592	34.3	35.6	35.0	58.4	61.7	60.1	47.5
598	43.2	45.7	44.5	78.7	85.6	82.2	63.3
600	43.2	42.4	42.8	64.8	74.4	69.6	56.2
606	39.4	42.4	40.9	54.6	71.1	62.9	51.9
608	43.2	50.0	46.6	76.2	75.4	75.8	61.2
609	33.0	43.2	38.1	53.3	57.7	55.5	46.8
614	30.5	33.0	31.8	52.1	62.7	57.4	44.6
634	38.1	39.9	39.0	73.7	73.7	73.7	56.4
643	36.8	38.1	37.5	62.2	66.8	64.5	51.0
644	36.8	42.4	39.6	77.5	66.8	72.2	55.9
654	33.0	41.4	37.2	64.8	70.4	67.6	52.4
675	35.6	39.9	37.8	55.9	71.9	63.9	50.8
678	26.7	39.9	33.3	55.9	77.0	66.5	50.0
718	36.8	39.9	38.4	64.8	68.6	66.7	52.5
731	26.7	39.9	33.3	62.2	67.8	65.0	49.2
739	41.9	41.4	41.7	68.6	71.1	69.9	55.8
745	29.2	35.6	32.4	49.5	61.0	55.3	43.8
748	33.0	34.8	33.9	68.6	71.1	69.9	51.9
807	45.7	45.0	45.4	76.2	83.1	79.7	62.5
808	41.9	39.9	40.9	67.3	75.4	71.4	56.1
819	39.4	43.2	41.3	49.5	82.0	65.8	53.5
824	39.4	39.9	39.7	63.5	58.4	61.0	50.3
828	38.1	35.6	36.9	62.2	64.3	63.3	50.1
836	34.3	39.9	37.1	68.6	59.2	63.9	50.5
839	36.8	37.3	37.1	57.2	62.7	60.0	48.5
846	36.8	42.4	39.6	66.0	66.8	66.4	53.0
855	36.8	38.1	37.5	67.3	62.7	65.0	51.2
860	30.5	33.0	31.8	61.0	61.0	61.0	46.4
861	33.0	39.9	36.5	61.0	62.7	61.9	49.2
862	33.0	37.3	35.2	63.5	66.8	65.2	50.2
873	35.6	39.9	37.8	64.8	74.4	69.6	53.7
881	30.5	33.0	31.8	61.0	61.7	61.4	46.6
882	35.6	37.3	36.5	58.4	68.6	63.5	50.0
885	38.1	42.4	40.3	61.0	74.4	67.7	54.0
887	36.8	43.2	40.0	71.1	73.7	72.4	56.2
889	36.8	42.4	39.6	63.5	81.3	72.4	56.0
893	35.6	38.1	36.9	72.4	64.3	68.4	52.6
Mean	36.3	39.6	38.0	63.2	68.8	66.0	52.0
LSD .05	5.6	6.4	4.4	14.0	10.2	8.1	
CV (%)	7.9	9.8	9.4	11.1	9.2	9.9	

TABLE XIX

SUMMARY FOR THE MEAN PLANT WIDTH IN CENTIMETERS FOR 1965 AND 1966 AT
THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Okla. P-No.	Perkins			Ft. Cobb 1965	Mean of Three Tests
	1965	1966	Mean		
2	61.0	65.3	63.0	118.1	81.5
4	58.4	66.8	62.5	130.8	85.3
6	57.2	64.3	60.7	116.8	80.3
17	57.2	65.3	61.2	119.4	80.5
22	54.6	70.4	62.5	124.5	83.1
25	73.7	78.0	75.7	111.8	87.9
293	54.6	63.5	58.9	119.4	79.2
294	53.3	56.6	54.9	116.8	75.7
295	57.2	61.0	58.9	124.5	80.8
301	50.8	57.7	54.4	123.2	77.2
304	50.8	55.1	52.8	127.0	77.7
309	54.6	61.0	57.9	119.4	78.2
315	49.5	58.4	53.8	121.9	76.7
317	58.4	64.3	61.5	127.0	83.3
340	64.8	56.6	60.7	124.5	82.0
342	62.2	67.8	65.0	119.4	83.1
370	50.8	53.3	52.1	132.1	78.7
397	53.3	64.3	58.9	130.8	82.8
415	49.5	78.0	63.8	128.3	85.3
419	66.0	59.2	62.5	129.5	84.8
431	68.6	55.1	62.0	129.5	84.3
438	78.7	67.8	73.2	116.8	87.9
447	62.2	60.2	61.2	116.8	79.8
451	73.7	60.2	67.1	132.1	88.6
463	71.1	66.0	68.6	124.5	87.1
465	69.9	58.4	64.0	129.5	85.9
471	81.3	72.9	77.2	137.2	97.0
477	87.6	89.7	88.6	132.1	103.1
501	88.9	88.1	88.4	137.2	104.6
512	69.9	70.4	70.1	124.5	88.1
516	83.8	74.4	79.2	129.5	96.0
529	77.5	57.7	67.6	129.5	88.1
532	87.6	78.0	82.8	121.9	95.8
552	71.1	66.0	68.6	132.1	89.7
560	68.6	66.0	67.3	124.5	86.4
561	57.2	61.0	58.9	127.0	81.8
567	55.9	66.0	61.0	132.1	84.6

TABLE XIX (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	Perkins			Ft. Cobb 1965	Mean of Three Tests
	1965	1966	Mean		
577	58.4	61.7	59.9	121.9	80.8
581	58.4	57.7	57.9	121.9	79.2
591	58.4	69.3	64.0	132.1	86.6
592	59.7	61.0	60.5	127.0	82.6
598	69.9	70.4	70.1	121.9	87.4
600	66.0	59.2	62.5	121.9	82.3
606	62.2	65.3	63.8	114.3	80.5
608	71.1	77.0	74.2	121.9	89.9
609	68.6	72.9	70.6	114.3	85.3
614	53.3	56.6	54.9	111.8	73.9
634	53.3	61.7	57.4	121.9	79.0
643	58.4	67.8	63.0	121.9	82.8
644	55.9	66.0	61.0	124.5	82.0
654	58.4	71.1	64.8	132.1	87.1
675	73.7	64.3	69.1	106.7	81.5
678	66.0	67.8	67.1	114.3	82.8
718	68.6	72.9	70.6	127.0	89.4
731	69.9	71.9	70.9	109.2	83.6
739	67.3	71.9	69.6	129.5	89.7
745	54.6	58.4	56.4	119.4	77.5
748	55.9	61.0	58.4	121.9	79.5
807	74.9	72.9	73.9	129.5	92.5
808	76.2	61.7	69.1	119.4	85.9
819	74.9	66.0	70.6	116.8	85.9
824	63.5	63.5	63.5	111.8	79.5
828	52.1	57.7	54.9	114.3	74.7
836	54.6	68.6	61.5	124.5	82.6
839	54.6	61.0	57.9	111.8	75.7
846	57.2	71.9	64.5	119.4	82.8
855	55.9	65.3	60.5	124.5	81.8
860	55.9	59.2	57.4	116.8	77.2
861	59.7	62.7	61.2	121.9	81.5
862	53.3	59.2	65.4	132.1	81.5
873	53.3	61.7	57.4	124.5	79.8
881	52.1	62.7	57.4	121.9	79.0
882	50.8	57.7	54.4	121.9	76.7
885	64.8	74.4	69.6	124.5	87.9
887	64.8	70.4	67.6	124.5	86.6
889	66.0	76.2	71.1	127.0	89.7
893	55.9	61.0	58.4	121.9	79.5
Mean	62.7	65.5	64.1	123.2	83.8
LSD .05	13.7	12.2	9.1	N.S.	
CV (%)	11.0	11.5	11.4	6.7	

TABLE XX

MEAN LEAFLET AREA (cm²) OF PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS FOR 1964, 1965 AND 1966
AT THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATION NEAR PERKINS, OKLAHOMA

Okla. P-No.	1964	1965	1966	Mean
2	15.0	13.0	11.5	13.2
4	11.5	11.5	9.0	10.7
6	13.5	12.5	12.5	12.8
17	15.2	15.2	10.8	13.7
22	14.0	14.0	11.8	13.3
25	7.8	7.8	4.0	6.5
293	14.2	18.2	14.8	15.7
294	13.5	13.5	13.3	13.4
295	12.5	13.2	12.8	12.8
301	12.5	13.2	13.6	13.1
304	14.5	15.0	14.8	14.8
309	14.0	18.8	14.8	15.9
315	13.5	14.2	15.1	14.3
317	11.5	16.8	12.8	13.7
340	11.5	20.2	15.8	15.8
342	9.0	17.5	16.5	14.3
370	13.0	18.0	14.5	15.2
397	12.5	20.0	13.8	15.4
415	11.0	14.8	14.6	13.5
419	14.5	13.2	15.0	14.2
431	13.5	15.5	15.1	14.7
438	10.0	16.8	15.8	14.2
447	12.5	17.0	12.0	13.8
451	12.5	18.8	12.8	14.7
463	14.0	15.0	14.5	14.5
465	12.0	15.8	12.1	13.3
471	14.0	18.2	14.3	15.5
477	13.5	15.5	15.1	14.7
501	13.0	16.0	14.6	14.5
512	13.5	16.8	14.8	15.0
516	15.0	19.0	14.1	16.0
529	13.5	18.5	10.8	14.3
532	15.0	17.0	15.8	15.9
552	15.0	18.2	15.3	16.2
560	15.5	18.5	15.0	16.3
561	12.0	16.8	13.1	14.0
567	16.5	13.2	15.3	15.0

TABLE XX (Continued)

Okla P-No.	1964	1965	1966	Mean
577	12.5	11.0	11.8	11.8
581	14.0	13.5	16.3	14.6
591	15.0	16.2	14.6	15.3
592	12.0	16.0	15.1	14.4
598	14.5	17.0	14.6	15.4
600	13.5	15.8	15.8	15.0
606	12.5	10.0	15.6	12.7
608	14.5	17.5	18.3	16.8
609	13.0	12.2	18.3	14.5
614	10.5	16.2	14.3	13.7
634	12.5	15.0	15.0	14.2
643	13.0	14.0	14.0	13.7
644	12.5	14.0	13.3	13.3
654	11.0	13.2	15.1	13.1
675	17.5	14.5	14.8	15.6
678	17.0	11.2	12.6	13.6
718	14.5	11.2	14.6	13.4
731	11.0	11.8	12.3	11.7
739	15.0	15.5	16.0	15.5
745	15.5	14.8	15.5	15.3
748	13.5	12.8	13.5	13.3
807	14.0	15.2	15.8	15.0
808	15.0	13.5	14.0	14.2
819	15.0	16.8	16.6	16.1
824	15.0	15.5	15.6	15.4
828	13.0	15.2	13.6	13.9
836	15.0	14.0	17.6	15.5
839	12.0	13.8	14.0	13.3
846	13.5	14.8	13.1	13.8
855	14.0	18.0	14.6	15.5
860	12.0	14.5	13.6	13.4
861	12.0	15.2	15.6	14.3
862	13.5	13.8	15.8	14.4
873	13.0	16.5	14.6	14.7
881	12.5	14.2	14.3	13.7
882	13.0	15.0	16.1	14.7
885	11.0	11.2	12.1	11.4
887	11.0	10.2	14.1	11.8
889	13.0	15.0	13.3	13.8
893	16.0	16.8	14.5	15.8
Mean	13.4	15.1	14.2	14.2
LSD .05	-	5.2	3.5	-
CV (%)	-	17.2	1.5	-

TABLE XXI

MEAN PERCENTAGE OF PANEL MEMBERS SCORING PEANUT BUTTER PREFERENCE RANK, PEANUT BUTTER TURN-OUT, SEED WEIGHT,
MEAN RATING OF OTHER CHARACTERISTICS AND CLER SCORE, ROAST AND RANK OF ROASTED PEANUTS IN ORGANOLEPTIC
STUDIES INVOLVING 33 PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN NEAR FT. COBB AND PERKINS, 1965

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Location	Mean Rank	Peanut Butter %	Gms/100 Seed	Mean Rating of:						Roasted Peanuts		
						Odor	Flavor	Taste	Roast	Texture	Dryness	Cler Score	Roast Score	Rank
2	Argentina	Standard	2.8	87.3	38.8	2.9	2.8	3.0	2.4	3.1	2.0	53.4	2.5	3.2
		Standard	1.5	87.1	37.8	2.6	3.7	3.5	2.6	3.2	2.2	66.2	2.6	2.0
		Mean	2.2	87.2	38.3	2.8	3.3	3.3	2.5	3.2	2.1	59.8	2.6	2.6
293	259591	Ft. Cobb	4.0	83.7	45.4	3.6	2.6	2.6	3.0	3.6	2.4	56.8	2.8	3.4
		Perkins	3.8	85.4	28.9	3.0	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.0	1.6	21.6	2.5	4.6
		Mean	3.9	84.6	37.2	3.3	2.6	2.7	2.9	3.3	2.0	39.2	2.6	4.0
397	268703	Ft. Cobb	2.8	87.3	42.6	3.2	3.6	3.0	2.4	3.4	2.6	62.0	2.5	2.4
		Perkins	3.4	86.4	29.0	2.6	3.0	3.2	2.2	3.4	2.4	61.4	2.1	2.6
		Mean	3.1	86.8	35.8	2.9	3.3	3.1	2.3	3.4	2.5	61.7	2.3	2.5
415	268737	Ft. Cobb	2.0	86.5	44.9	2.8	3.4	3.6	2.2	4.0	2.6	51.0	2.5	2.6
		Perkins	2.2	83.2	28.1	2.6	2.8	3.0	2.6	3.0	2.2	73.8	3.0	2.2
		Mean	2.1	84.9	36.5	2.7	3.1	3.3	2.4	3.5	2.4	62.4	2.7	2.4
431	268778	Ft. Cobb	2.2	87.2	43.2	3.4	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.2	1.6	56.4	2.7	3.0
		Perkins	4.6	85.8	29.0	2.4	2.6	1.8	3.6	3.2	1.8	45.6	2.4	4.0
		Mean	3.4	86.5	36.1	2.9	2.9	2.7	3.4	3.2	1.7	51.0	2.6	3.5
438	268801	Ft. Cobb	4.1	88.0	42.1	3.2	3.0	2.4	2.4	3.8	2.4	59.0	2.1	2.6
		Perkins	3.2	86.8	39.0	2.6	2.6	3.8	1.8	3.8	2.0	31.2	2.2	4.2
		Mean	3.6	87.4	40.5	2.9	2.8	3.1	2.1	3.8	2.2	45.1	2.2	3.4
447	268826	Ft. Cobb	3.0	86.4	51.0	2.8	2.4	2.6	2.6	3.6	2.4	53.2	3.3	3.0
		Perkins	3.2	84.3	30.3	3.2	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.0	48.0	2.7	2.8
		Mean	3.1	85.4	40.6	3.0	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.5	2.7	50.6	3.0	2.9
451	268828	Ft. Cobb	2.4	88.1	44.3	3.2	2.4	2.8	2.2	3.4	2.4	47.2	2.6	3.0
		Perkins	2.8	83.5	26.6	3.6	2.2	3.2	3.8	3.4	2.2	40.0	2.8	3.2
		Mean	2.6	85.8	35.4	3.4	2.3	3.0	3.0	3.4	2.3	43.6	2.7	3.1

TABLE XXI (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Location	Mean Rank	Peanut Butter %	Gms/100 Seed	Mean Rating of:						Roasted Peanuts		
						Odor	Flavor	Taste	Roast	Texture	Dryness	Cler Score	Roast Score	Rank
463	270817	Ft. Cobb	2.0	87.2	45.1	3.0	3.6	3.6	1.6	3.0	1.8	66.4	2.8	2.6
		Perkins	3.4	81.8	27.7	3.0	2.6	3.2	3.8	3.2	3.0	52.4	1.9	4.0
		Mean	2.7	84.5	36.4	3.0	3.1	3.4	2.7	3.1	2.4	59.4	2.4	3.3
465	270849	Ft. Cobb	2.4	87.2	39.6	3.0	2.8	2.6	2.2	3.2	1.8	74.8	2.5	2.4
		Perkins	2.8	86.9	32.6	3.6	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.6	2.0	37.4	2.2	3.6
		Mean	2.6	87.0	36.1	3.3	2.9	2.8	2.7	3.4	1.9	56.1	2.4	3.0
471	261997	Ft. Cobb	3.4	85.5	38.8	3.6	3.2	2.4	3.6	3.8	2.2	76.6	2.5	1.6
		Perkins	3.0	82.2	32.8	3.2	3.0	3.2	2.4	3.2	2.6	32.0	2.2	4.2
		Mean	3.2	83.9	35.8	3.4	3.1	2.8	3.0	3.5	2.4	54.3	2.4	2.9
516	261940	Ft. Cobb	3.2	88.3	41.5	3.4	2.4	3.0	1.4	3.6	2.0	55.0	3.0	4.0
		Perkins	3.4	83.9	30.1	2.6	2.6	3.0	3.2	3.2	2.4	31.2	2.2	3.6
		Mean	3.3	86.1	35.8	3.0	2.5	3.0	2.3	3.4	2.2	43.1	2.6	3.8
529	261988	Ft. Cobb	5.0	83.3	26.0	3.4	2.6	2.6	3.4	2.8	1.8	22.0	3.4	5.0
		Perkins	2.6	86.0	26.7	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.6	3.4	2.8	48.8	2.2	3.0
		Mean	3.8	84.7	26.4	3.1	2.7	2.8	3.0	3.1	2.3	35.4	2.8	4.0
532	262001	Ft. Cobb	3.4	86.6	45.7	3.0	3.0	3.4	2.6	3.2	2.2	61.2	2.5	2.8
		Perkins	3.0	86.4	35.0	2.6	3.2	3.6	2.6	3.8	2.6	46.0	3.1	3.6
		Mean	3.2	86.5	40.3	2.8	3.1	3.5	2.6	3.5	2.4	53.6	2.8	3.2
552	240555	Ft. Cobb	2.8	86.5	36.4	2.2	3.8	3.6	1.8	3.4	2.8	64.0	2.6	2.4
		Perkins	3.4	83.8	30.0	2.4	2.8	3.8	1.2	3.8	2.4	55.4	2.6	3.0
		Mean	3.1	85.2	33.2	2.3	3.3	3.7	1.5	3.6	2.6	59.7	2.6	2.9
560	240561	Ft. Cobb	3.0	85.4	41.0	3.6	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.6	2.8	50.0	3.3	3.8
		Perkins	4.6	76.2	28.7	2.8	3.4	2.4	3.2	3.4	3.0	44.4	2.0	3.0
		Mean	3.8	80.8	34.8	3.2	3.1	2.7	3.1	3.5	2.9	47.2	2.6	3.4
567	268601	Ft. Cobb	2.0	84.2	44.5	3.2	2.6	3.2	2.4	3.8	2.0	67.2	2.4	2.0
		Perkins	2.6	85.7	30.6	2.6	2.8	3.6	2.4	3.2	2.4	39.6	2.7	3.8
		Mean	2.3	85.0	37.6	2.9	2.7	3.4	2.4	3.5	2.2	53.4	2.6	2.9

TABLE XXI (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Location	Mean Rank	Peanut Butter %	Gms/100 Seed	Mean Rating of:						Roasted Peanuts		
						Odor	Flavor	Taste	Roast	Texture	Dryness	Cler Score	Roast Score	Rank
591	268646	Ft. Cobb	3.0	86.5	46.2	3.0	2.6	3.2	2.6	3.8	2.0	46.2	2.3	3.6
		Perkins	2.0	82.3	29.2	2.4	3.8	3.2	1.6	3.4	2.4	36.8	2.4	2.8
		Mean	2.5	84.4	37.6	2.7	3.2	3.2	2.1	3.6	2.2	41.5	2.4	3.2
609	268677	Ft. Cobb	2.6	87.4	39.9	2.4	2.8	3.0	1.8	3.6	2.2	54.0	2.4	2.2
		Perkins	2.6	85.0	31.1	2.6	3.2	3.8	2.2	3.4	2.6	65.8	2.7	2.2
		Mean	2.6	86.2	35.5	2.5	3.0	3.4	2.0	3.5	2.4	59.9	2.6	2.2
643	268721	Ft. Cobb	3.2	87.4	39.6	2.8	2.8	3.2	1.4	3.8	2.8	55.8	2.6	2.4
		Perkins	3.2	84.6	26.6	3.2	3.6	2.4	3.6	3.0	2.4	21.4	2.9	3.6
		Mean	3.2	86.0	33.1	3.0	3.2	2.8	2.5	3.4	2.6	38.6	2.7	3.0
644	268722	Ft. Cobb	3.4	73.4	40.0	2.2	3.2	3.4	2.6	3.4	2.8	38.8	2.4	3.2
		Perkins	3.0	82.4	28.1	2.2	2.4	3.8	1.8	4.0	2.8	42.6	2.6	3.6
		Mean	3.2	77.9	34.0	2.2	2.8	3.6	2.2	3.7	2.8	40.7	2.5	3.4
654	268732	Ft. Cobb	3.0	87.1	36.7	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.8	2.0	71.4	2.7	1.8
		Perkins	3.2	82.3	27.7	3.8	2.8	2.2	3.4	3.0	2.6	39.2	2.3	3.6
		Mean	3.1	85.0	32.2	3.3	2.9	2.6	3.3	3.4	2.3	55.3	2.5	2.7
678	268761	Ft. Cobb	3.8	86.6	40.2	2.8	1.8	2.8	3.2	3.0	1.8	78.2	2.4	1.4
		Perkins	3.8	84.6	36.9	3.4	2.6	3.0	3.2	4.0	3.4	47.2	2.9	4.0
		Mean	3.8	85.6	38.5	3.1	2.2	2.9	3.2	3.5	2.6	62.7	2.7	2.7
718	268800	Ft. Cobb	3.6	88.7	41.7	3.6	3.0	3.2	2.8	3.4	1.6	55.8	2.1	3.2
		Perkins	2.8	85.3	30.2	3.2	2.8	3.2	1.8	3.6	3.0	46.4	1.9	3.4
		Mean	3.2	87.0	36.0	3.4	2.9	3.2	2.3	3.5	2.3	51.1	2.0	3.3
739	268821	Ft. Cobb	3.4	83.8	37.9	2.2	1.8	2.8	1.6	4.0	2.2	56.4	1.8	3.8
		Perkins	4.0	80.5	32.1	3.0	1.6	2.0	1.2	3.0	2.2	62.8	2.4	2.6
		Mean	3.7	82.2	35.0	2.6	1.7	2.4	1.4	3.5	2.2	59.6	2.1	3.2
748	268831	Ft. Cobb	3.6	86.6	42.5	3.4	2.4	3.4	2.6	3.0	1.6	44.6	2.5	4.4
		Perkins	2.2	86.2	28.3	2.4	3.6	3.8	2.0	4.0	2.8	63.6	2.4	2.8
		Mean	2.9	86.4	35.4	2.9	3.0	3.6	2.3	3.5	2.2	54.1	2.5	3.6

TABLE XXI (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Location	Mean Rank	Peanut Butter %	Gms/100 Seed	Mean Rating of:						Roasted Peanuts		
						Odor	Flavor	Taste	Roast	Texture	Dryness	Cler Score	Roast Score	Rank
824	247375	Ft. Cobb	2.6	87.0	54.3	3.6	3.4	2.8	3.8	3.6	1.4	44.8	2.2	3.4
		Perkins	4.0	82.7	40.1	3.2	2.6	2.4	2.8	3.4	3.2	33.2	2.6	3.8
		Mean	3.3	84.9	47.2	3.4	3.0	2.6	3.3	3.5	2.3	39.0	2.4	3.6
828	269719	Ft. Cobb	3.6	87.6	52.1	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.4	4.0	2.6	47.6	3.0	4.6
		Perkins	3.2	85.0	34.1	2.6	2.0	2.2	2.0	3.8	2.8	52.8	2.4	2.8
		Mean	3.4	86.3	43.1	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.7	3.9	2.7	50.2	2.7	3.7
860	268680	Ft. Cobb	3.0	87.3	46.0	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.4	2.2	67.2	3.0	3.0
		Perkins	3.8	86.4	29.2	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.8	2.4	33.2	2.8	4.4
		Mean	3.4	86.8	37.6	3.2	3.1	3.0	3.1	3.6	2.3	50.2	2.9	3.7
861	268681	Ft. Cobb	1.6	87.4	52.0	2.8	3.6	3.6	3.2	4.0	2.8	64.4	3.0	2.6
		Perkins	4.8	82.8	34.2	3.0	2.6	2.2	3.4	4.0	3.6	51.6	2.4	2.6
		Mean	3.2	85.1	43.1	2.9	3.1	2.9	3.3	4.0	3.2	58.0	2.7	2.6
882	270767	Ft. Cobb	2.4	88.4	55.6	3.6	3.2	2.6	2.0	4.0	2.8	64.8	2.3	2.2
		Perkins	4.6	87.1	30.7	2.8	2.4	2.4	3.0	2.4	1.2	58.0	2.6	2.6
		Mean	3.5	87.8	43.2	3.2	2.8	2.5	2.5	3.2	2.0	61.4	2.4	2.4
887	270795	Ft. Cobb	3.0	74.5	50.7	3.6	3.2	3.8	3.8	3.4	2.6	52.8	2.5	3.2
		Perkins	4.0	87.6	32.0	2.6	2.4	3.6	2.8	3.4	2.8	56.8	2.8	2.2
		Mean	3.5	81.1	41.4	3.1	2.8	3.7	3.3	3.4	2.7	54.8	2.6	2.7
893	259746	Ft. Cobb	3.2	87.6	50.6	2.8	1.8	3.4	2.8	2.6	1.4	60.8	2.1	3.2
		Perkins	4.6	85.1	31.3	3.2	1.4	3.2	2.4	3.8	2.4	61.6	2.4	2.8
		Mean	3.9	86.4	41.0	3.0	1.6	3.3	2.6	3.2	1.9	61.2	2.3	3.0
		Mean	3.2	85.2	37.3	3.0	2.8	3.1	2.6	3.5	2.4	51.9	2.5	3.1
		LSD .05	1.1	N.S.	N.S.	0.6	0.9	0.6	0.8	N.S.	0.7	23.9	0.7	N.S.
		CV (%)	39.6	3.3	11.4	25.0	36.8	23.2	34.1	21.0	33.9	33.5	22.1	40.3

TABLE XXII

MEAN PERCENTAGE OF PANEL MEMBERS SCORING PEANUT BUTTER PREFERENCE RANK, PEANUT BUTTER TURN-OUT, SEED WEIGHT,
MEAN RATING OF OTHER CHARACTERISTICS AND CLER SCORE, ROAST AND RANK OF ROASTED PEANUTS IN ORGANOLEPTIC
STUDIES INVOLVING 77 PEANUT INTRODUCTIONS GROWN NEAR FT. COBB AND PERKINS, 1966

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Location	Mean Rank	Peanut Butter %	Gms/100 Seed	Mean Rating of:						Roasted Peanuts		
						Odor	Flavor	Taste	Roast	Texture	Dryness	Cler Score	Roast Score	Rank
2	Argentine	Ft. Cobb	2.4	83.2	37.5	2.6	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.0	2.0	54.2	1.7	3.8
		Perkins	2.6	83.6	34.1	2.2	1.6	1.8	1.4	1.0	1.8	64.0	1.6	4.2
		Mean	2.5	83.4	35.8	2.4	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.0	1.9	59.1	1.7	4.0
4	Spantex	Ft. Cobb	2.6	81.9	33.6	1.8	2.2	1.6	1.8	1.0	2.4	65.4	1.6	2.6
		Perkins	2.2	81.9	30.5	3.2	1.6	1.4	1.8	1.4	2.0	59.2	1.5	2.8
		Mean	2.4	81.9	32.0	2.5	1.9	1.5	1.8	1.2	2.2	62.3	1.6	2.7
6	Starr	Ft. Cobb	2.6	85.6	40.8	2.6	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.0	1.8	68.4	1.7	2.0
		Perkins	1.4	81.8	33.6	2.2	1.4	1.4	2.2	1.0	1.6	58.8	1.6	2.6
		Mean	2.0	83.7	37.2	2.4	1.7	1.6	2.1	1.0	1.7	63.6	1.6	2.3
17	161300	Ft. Cobb	3.0	85.1	36.3	2.6	2.0	1.6	1.6	1.0	1.4	72.8	1.6	2.0
		Perkins	2.6	81.0	31.6	2.4	2.2	1.4	2.0	1.4	2.4	63.6	1.5	3.2
		Mean	2.8	83.0	34.0	2.5	2.1	1.5	1.8	1.2	1.9	68.2	1.6	2.6
22	T-437	Ft. Cobb	1.4	82.0	34.7	3.2	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.0	1.6	64.4	1.6	3.2
		Perkins	2.0	79.4	30.6	2.6	2.0	1.4	1.8	1.6	2.0	56.0	1.6	3.4
		Mean	1.7	80.7	32.7	2.9	1.7	1.5	1.7	1.3	1.8	60.2	1.6	3.3
25	229553	Ft. Cobb	4.2	78.5	40.7	3.6	2.6	2.2	1.6	1.6	2.4	50.2	1.6	4.2
		Perkins	4.8	68.4	30.4	3.2	3.4	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.8	40.4	1.8	4.4
		Mean	4.5	73.4	35.6	3.4	3.0	2.3	1.8	1.8	2.6	45.3	1.7	4.3
293	259591	Ft. Cobb	1.8	85.0	48.9	2.6	1.4	1.4	1.8	1.0	2.0	57.6	1.6	3.0
		Perkins	3.6	83.6	39.0	3.0	2.6	2.2	2.6	1.0	2.2	72.0	1.6	2.2
		Mean	2.7	84.3	44.0	2.8	2.0	1.8	2.2	1.0	2.1	64.8	1.6	2.6
294	259805	Ft. Cobb	3.0	83.6	47.9	3.0	1.8	1.2	1.6	1.0	1.6	70.0	1.5	1.6
		Perkins	3.2	82.5	40.7	1.8	2.4	1.4	1.6	1.6	2.4	70.4	1.6	1.0
		Mean	3.1	83.0	44.3	2.4	2.1	1.3	1.6	1.3	2.0	70.2	1.5	1.3

TABLE XXII. (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Location	Mean Rank	Peanut Butter %	Gms/100 Seed	Mean Rating of:						Roasted Peanuts		
						Odor	Flavor	Taste	Roast	Texture	Dryness	Cler Score	Roast Score	Rank
295	259662	Ft. Cobb	2.2	85.9	39.8	2.6	2.0	2.0	1.6	1.0	2.0	60.0	1.6	2.8
		Perkins	3.0	81.4	34.1	3.0	2.1	1.6	1.8	1.4	2.4	44.8	1.8	4.2
		Mean	2.6	83.6	37.0	2.8	2.1	1.8	1.7	1.2	2.2	52.4	1.7	3.5
301	259728	Ft. Cobb	3.6	85.7	39.4	2.6	2.2	2.2	2.4	1.2	2.0	69.6	1.4	2.2
		Perkins	2.6	82.2	34.3	2.8	2.0	1.4	1.8	1.0	2.4	44.4	1.9	4.6
		Mean	3.1	84.0	36.9	2.7	2.1	1.8	2.1	1.1	2.2	57.0	1.7	3.4
304	259814	Ft. Cobb	4.2	82.3	37.4	3.8	2.8	2.8	1.6	1.6	2.0	54.4	1.5	3.6
		Perkins	3.0	83.0	33.5	3.2	2.4	2.0	1.4	1.6	3.2	69.2	1.5	2.4
		Mean	3.6	82.6	35.4	3.5	2.6	2.4	1.5	1.6	2.6	61.8	1.5	3.0
309	259826	Ft. Cobb	1.8	83.1	45.3	3.2	1.6	1.2	1.6	1.0	1.8	68.4	1.4	2.2
		Perkins	3.4	82.0	40.2	3.0	2.0	1.6	1.8	1.6	2.4	65.6	1.5	2.0
		Mean	2.6	82.6	42.8	3.1	1.8	1.4	1.7	1.3	2.1	67.0	1.5	2.1
315	259772	Ft. Cobb	3.6	80.4	38.4	3.4	2.4	1.6	2.6	1.2	2.0	56.0	1.7	3.2
		Perkins	2.8	81.3	32.7	2.6	2.0	1.6	1.8	1.8	2.4	66.0	1.7	2.2
		Mean	3.2	80.8	35.6	3.0	2.2	1.6	2.2	1.5	2.2	61.0	1.7	2.7
317	259660	Ft. Cobb	4.4	85.5	45.9	3.2	2.8	2.2	2.0	1.4	2.2	53.0	1.7	4.2
		Perkins	4.0	82.4	36.3	3.2	2.4	2.0	1.8	2.4	3.2	54.0	1.7	3.8
		Mean	4.2	84.0	41.1	3.2	2.6	2.1	1.9	1.9	2.7	53.5	1.7	4.0
340	268516	Ft. Cobb	2.6	85.9	37.9	3.0	1.8	1.8	2.0	1.0	1.8	58.4	1.7	3.4
		Perkins	4.2	81.0	33.3	3.2	2.4	2.6	1.6	1.4	2.4	66.8	1.6	4.6
		Mean	3.4	83.4	35.6	3.1	2.1	2.2	1.8	1.2	2.1	62.6	1.6	4.0
342	268564	Ft. Cobb	2.4	84.4	41.1	3.6	2.0	1.4	1.8	1.2	1.6	62.8	1.6	1.6
		Perkins	2.6	84.5	39.3	2.6	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.6	2.0	64.8	1.5	2.0
		Mean	2.5	84.4	40.2	3.1	2.0	1.7	1.8	1.4	1.8	63.8	1.6	1.8
370	268644	Ft. Cobb	5.0	86.5	44.8	3.2	2.4	1.8	2.0	1.6	2.4	56.2	1.6	3.8
		Perkins	2.8	81.2	37.4	3.2	2.0	1.6	1.4	2.0	2.8	56.6	1.8	3.0
		Mean	3.9	83.8	41.1	3.2	2.2	1.7	1.7	1.8	2.6	56.4	1.7	3.4
397	268703	Ft. Cobb	2.2	85.4	42.6	2.8	1.8	1.4	2.0	1.0	2.0	66.2	1.6	1.6
		Perkins	3.0	83.7	39.6	2.8	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.4	48.0	1.9	4.4
		Mean	2.6	84.6	41.1	2.8	2.1	1.7	2.0	1.6	2.1	57.1	1.8	3.0

TABLE XXII (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Location	Mean Rank	Peanut Butter %	Gms/100 Seed	Mean Rating of:						Roasted Peanuts		
						Odor	Flavor	Taste	Roast	Texture	Dryness	Cler Score	Roast Score	Rank
415	268737	Ft. Cobb	3.6	79.7	42.1	3.6	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.6	2.0	56.2	1.6	3.6
		Perkins	4.2	85.9	38.4	3.6	2.4	2.0	1.8	1.8	2.6	60.0	1.5	2.6
		Mean	3.9	82.8	40.2	3.6	2.2	1.9	1.9	1.7	2.3	58.1	1.6	3.1
419	268740	Ft. Cobb	5.0	82.9	46.2	3.8	3.2	2.8	3.4	1.4	2.4	57.6	1.6	4.4
		Perkins	4.2	79.3	37.8	3.0	2.6	2.0	1.4	2.0	3.0	50.6	1.6	3.4
		Mean	4.6	81.1	42.0	3.4	2.9	2.4	2.4	1.7	2.7	54.1	1.6	3.9
431	268778	Ft. Cobb	3.0	87.0	41.9	3.2	1.8	1.8	2.0	1.0	1.8	70.4	1.6	2.8
		Perkins	2.0	84.1	36.7	3.4	2.0	1.4	1.8	1.2	1.8	73.2	1.6	1.4
		Mean	2.5	85.6	39.3	3.3	1.9	1.6	1.9	1.1	1.8	71.8	1.6	2.1
438	268801	Ft. Cobb	2.6	84.0	40.9	3.6	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.4	2.0	64.2	1.6	2.0
		Perkins	4.2	82.1	36.7	3.6	3.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	3.2	55.4	1.6	3.0
		Mean	3.4	83.0	38.8	3.6	2.7	2.2	2.1	1.9	2.6	59.8	1.6	2.5
447	268826	Ft. Cobb	4.4	86.9	48.0	4.0	2.6	2.6	2.2	1.2	1.8	49.0	1.8	4.2
		Perkins	3.2	82.0	41.8	3.0	2.4	2.2	3.2	1.2	2.8	50.8	1.7	4.4
		Mean	3.8	84.4	44.9	3.5	2.5	2.4	2.7	1.2	2.3	49.9	1.8	4.3
451	268828	Ft. Cobb	2.6	84.8	41.3	3.4	2.0	1.8	2.2	1.6	2.0	65.6	1.6	3.0
		Perkins	2.6	84.4	39.1	3.0	2.4	1.6	1.8	1.0	2.0	56.8	1.6	2.6
		Mean	2.6	84.6	40.2	3.2	2.2	1.7	2.0	1.3	2.0	61.2	1.6	2.8
463	270817	Ft. Cobb	3.0	86.1	42.6	2.4	2.2	2.0	1.8	1.4	1.8	61.2	1.7	2.0
		Perkins	3.8	81.9	38.7	3.4	2.4	1.8	2.4	1.0	2.6	54.2	1.8	3.2
		Mean	3.4	84.0	40.7	2.9	2.3	1.9	2.1	1.2	2.2	57.7	1.7	2.6
465	270849	Ft. Cobb	2.8	84.6	42.0	2.6	2.2	2.2	2.0	1.4	2.0	57.2	1.5	3.2
		Perkins	2.8	85.0	37.3	3.8	2.4	2.2	1.8	1.2	2.8	67.0	1.6	1.7
		Mean	2.8	84.8	39.7	3.2	2.3	2.2	1.9	1.3	2.4	62.1	1.6	2.4
471	261997	Ft. Cobb	2.8	85.2	37.0	3.6	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.8	51.6	1.5	4.0
		Perkins	2.4	82.2	30.7	2.8	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.8	1.6	58.6	1.6	3.6
		Mean	2.6	83.7	33.9	3.2	1.9	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.7	52.6	1.5	3.8
477	262014	Ft. Cobb	2.8	86.8	40.6	3.2	2.4	1.8	1.8	1.0	2.0	66.0	1.5	2.0
		Perkins	2.4	86.3	47.9	1.4	1.8	1.6	2.2	1.0	2.0	63.6	1.3	3.4
		Mean	2.6	86.6	44.2	2.3	2.1	1.7	2.0	1.0	2.0	64.8	1.4	2.7

TABLE XXII (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Location	Mean Rank	Peanut Butter %	Gms/100 Seed	Mean Rating of:						Roasted Peanuts		
						Odor	Flavor	Taste	Roast	Texture	Dryness	Cler Score	Roast Score	Rank
501	262073	Ft. Cobb	1.8	84.0	42.6	3.2	1.4	1.4	1.8	1.6	1.8	66.8	1.7	2.2
		Perkins	3.4	82.8	43.0	3.4	2.2	2.0	1.4	1.8	2.4	58.8	1.6	3.8
		Mean	2.6	83.4	42.8	3.3	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.7	2.1	62.8	1.6	3.0
512	261935	Ft. Cobb	3.0	85.1	32.5	3.2	2.0	1.2	2.0	1.0	2.0	62.4	1.5	3.0
		Perkins	2.4	84.7	32.5	2.8	2.2	1.8	2.0	1.0	2.0	46.4	1.8	4.4
		Mean	2.7	84.9	32.5	3.0	2.1	1.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	54.4	1.7	3.7
516	261940	Ft. Cobb	2.6	85.9	38.5	3.6	2.2	2.4	1.4	1.4	2.0	56.0	1.6	3.4
		Perkins	3.0	85.1	40.5	3.4	2.8	2.2	1.6	1.4	2.4	57.4	1.6	2.7
		Mean	2.8	85.5	39.5	3.5	2.5	2.3	1.5	1.4	2.2	56.7	1.6	3.1
529	261985	Ft. Cobb	5.0	81.5	35.2	3.8	2.8	2.8	3.0	1.4	2.4	58.0	1.8	1.7
		Perkins	4.2	84.7	31.4	3.6	2.2	2.0	2.8	1.8	2.2	51.4	1.8	1.7
		Mean	4.6	83.1	33.3	3.7	2.5	2.4	2.9	1.6	2.3	54.7	1.8	1.7
532	262001	Ft. Cobb	3.8	86.4	43.0	4.0	2.4	2.4	2.0	1.4	2.0	67.2	1.8	2.2
		Perkins	4.0	84.0	47.3	3.6	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.0	2.0	59.0	1.7	2.8
		Mean	3.9	85.2	45.1	3.8	2.5	2.5	2.4	1.7	2.0	63.1	1.8	2.5
552	248763	Ft. Cobb	2.0	86.5	38.6	2.4	1.8	1.6	2.0	1.0	1.6	73.4	1.5	2.0
		Perkins	4.4	86.2	31.9	4.0	3.6	3.2	4.0	1.6	2.8	50.0	1.8	3.8
		Mean	3.2	86.4	35.2	3.2	2.7	2.4	3.0	1.3	2.2	61.7	1.7	2.9
560	240561	Ft. Cobb	3.8	83.0	40.3	3.6	2.0	2.2	3.2	1.6	2.2	62.2	1.9	3.0
		Perkins	3.6	77.2	39.1	3.2	3.0	2.6	4.0	1.4	2.4	33.2	1.9	4.8
		Mean	3.4	80.1	39.7	3.4	2.5	2.4	3.6	1.5	2.1	47.7	1.9	3.9
561	240572	Ft. Cobb	1.8	89.9	42.2	3.0	1.4	1.2	1.8	1.0	1.6	63.6	1.5	2.6
		Perkins	4.0	83.1	38.0	3.2	2.0	1.6	1.4	1.6	3.2	59.0	1.6	2.4
		Mean	2.9	86.5	40.1	3.1	1.7	1.4	1.6	1.3	2.4	61.3	1.5	2.5
567	268601	Ft. Cobb	2.2	85.2	44.2	2.8	1.8	1.2	2.0	1.0	2.0	59.6	1.5	3.0
		Perkins	2.6	83.8	38.7	2.8	1.8	1.6	3.0	1.2	2.8	58.0	1.6	3.0
		Mean	2.4	84.5	41.4	2.8	1.8	1.4	2.5	1.1	2.4	58.8	1.6	3.0
577	268626	Ft. Cobb	2.0	86.6	40.4	3.0	1.4	1.6	2.0	1.0	1.6	62.0	1.5	2.6
		Perkins	4.0	80.1	34.9	3.2	2.2	2.2	1.8	1.0	2.4	54.6	1.7	2.4
		Mean	3.0	83.4	37.1	3.1	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.0	2.0	58.3	1.6	2.5

TABLE XXII (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Location	Mean Rank	Peanut Butter %	Gms/100 Seed	Mean Rating of:						Roasted Peanuts		
						Odor	Flavor	Taste	Roast	Texture	Dryness	Cler Score	Roast Score	Rank
581	268630	Ft. Cobb	4.8	85.7	47.1	3.4	2.6	2.0	1.8	1.4	2.2	63.2	1.6	2.8
		Perkins	2.6	85.2	39.0	2.8	2.0	1.4	1.6	1.8	3.6	60.4	1.4	1.8
		Mean	3.7	85.4	43.0	3.1	2.3	1.7	1.7	1.6	2.9	61.8	1.5	2.3
591	268646	Ft. Cobb	2.6	82.7	45.6	3.0	2.2	1.6	1.8	1.4	2.0	73.2	1.6	1.6
		Perkins	3.2	82.7	40.5	3.8	2.4	2.2	1.6	1.6	2.4	52.2	1.7	2.8
		Mean	2.9	82.7	43.1	3.4	2.3	1.9	1.7	1.5	2.2	62.7	1.7	2.2
592	268647	Ft. Cobb	4.0	84.1	41.6	3.6	2.8	2.0	1.4	1.4	2.0	61.6	1.7	3.2
		Perkins	3.0	85.0	38.3	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.4	2.0	2.0	51.6	1.7	3.4
		Mean	3.5	84.6	40.0	3.3	2.4	2.0	1.4	1.7	2.0	56.6	1.7	3.3
598	268666	Ft. Cobb	2.8	84.0	38.6	3.2	2.4	1.8	1.6	1.0	1.6	56.2	1.6	3.0
		Perkins	2.6	82.5	35.2	3.0	2.2	1.4	2.2	2.0	2.8	56.8	1.6	2.6
		Mean	2.7	83.2	36.9	3.1	2.3	1.6	1.9	1.5	2.2	56.5	1.6	2.8
600	268668	Ft. Cobb	4.0	81.4	39.8	4.0	2.8	1.8	1.6	1.0	2.2	55.2	1.6	2.8
		Perkins	3.6	81.6	32.2	3.2	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.4	56.0	1.6	2.6
		Mean	3.8	81.5	36.0	3.6	2.6	2.0	2.0	1.7	2.3	55.6	1.6	2.7
606	268674	Ft. Cobb	3.0	86.2	33.7	3.0	2.2	2.0	1.6	1.0	2.0	49.4	1.7	3.4
		Perkins	4.0	80.7	31.7	3.2	3.2	2.2	1.6	1.0	1.8	31.0	1.7	4.6
		Mean	3.5	83.4	32.7	3.1	2.7	2.1	1.6	1.0	1.9	40.2	1.7	4.0
608	268676	Ft. Cobb	4.4	81.9	38.0	3.6	2.4	2.6	1.6	2.2	2.2	46.8	1.8	3.2
		Perkins	2.8	83.5	33.9	3.4	2.2	1.6	2.0	1.0	1.8	61.2	1.7	3.0
		Mean	3.6	82.7	36.0	3.5	2.3	2.1	1.8	1.6	2.0	54.0	1.8	3.1
609	268677	Ft. Cobb	2.6	86.4	40.4	2.2	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.4	2.0	42.0	1.8	4.4
		Perkins	3.0	81.7	35.0	3.0	2.0	2.2	2.0	1.4	2.0	63.0	1.8	4.4
		Mean	2.8	84.0	37.7	2.6	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.4	2.0	52.5	1.8	3.6
614	268686	Ft. Cobb	2.0	82.4	44.5	3.0	1.6	1.4	1.8	1.0	1.6	58.8	1.8	2.8
		Perkins	3.8	83.8	38.3	2.4	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.4	2.8	66.4	1.8	3.6
		Mean	2.9	83.1	41.4	2.7	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.2	2.2	62.6	1.8	3.2
634	268713	Ft. Cobb	3.6	81.7	37.8	3.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.4	1.8	38.2	1.7	4.0
		Perkins	2.0	83.7	31.5	2.4	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.6	50.8	1.3	2.6
		Mean	2.8	82.7	34.7	2.7	1.9	1.6	1.7	1.5	1.7	44.5	1.5	3.3

TABLE XXII (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Location	Mean Rank	Peanut Butter %	Gms/100 Seed	Mean Rating of:						Roasted Peanuts		
						Odor	Flavor	Taste	Roast	Texture	Dryness	Cler Score	Roast Score	Rank
643	268721	Ft. Cobb	2.6	82.9	37.4	2.6	2.2	2.0	1.6	1.2	2.2	55.0	1.8	3.4
		Perkins	1.8	83.3	33.3	3.2	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.4	2.4	66.4	1.4	2.2
		Mean	2.2	83.1	35.4	2.9	2.1	1.9	1.7	1.3	2.3	60.7	1.6	2.8
644	268722	Ft. Cobb	1.0	73.3	36.8	3.2	1.4	1.6	2.0	1.4	2.2	61.0	1.5	2.8
		Perkins	2.6	84.2	32.3	3.0	2.0	2.4	2.0	1.6	2.0	65.6	1.6	2.2
		Mean	1.8	78.7	34.6	3.1	1.7	2.0	2.0	1.5	2.1	63.3	1.5	2.5
654	268732	Ft. Cobb	3.4	85.2	37.3	2.8	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.6	2.0	48.0	1.8	4.4
		Perkins	3.0	84.2	32.7	3.2	2.4	2.2	1.8	1.2	2.0	61.8	1.4	3.0
		Mean	3.2	84.7	35.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	1.4	2.0	54.9	1.6	3.7
675	268753	Ft. Cobb	2.0	81.9	37.3	2.4	1.8	1.4	2.4	1.0	1.8	64.8	1.7	1.4
		Perkins	3.8	81.3	33.6	3.0	2.4	1.8	2.2	1.0	2.2	63.2	1.5	3.6
		Mean	2.9	81.6	35.4	2.7	2.1	1.6	2.3	1.0	2.0	64.0	1.6	2.5
678	268761	Ft. Cobb	3.0	86.8	40.8	3.6	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.4	1.6	54.6	1.7	3.2
		Perkins	2.4	82.5	34.9	3.2	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.0	2.0	58.0	1.7	2.6
		Mean	2.7	84.6	37.9	3.4	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.2	1.8	56.3	1.7	2.9
718	268800	Ft. Cobb	3.4	84.4	40.1	3.4	2.4	2.4	1.8	1.4	1.8	49.8	1.8	3.0
		Perkins	3.6	84.3	35.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	1.8	66.0	1.7	1.8
		Mean	3.5	84.4	37.6	3.2	2.2	2.2	1.8	1.2	1.8	57.9	1.7	2.4
731	268812	Ft. Cobb	3.8	81.7	34.5	2.6	2.2	1.6	1.6	1.4	2.0	59.2	1.6	4.0
		Perkins	2.0	80.3	31.1	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.4	2.0	2.0	48.8	1.8	3.8
		Mean	2.9	81.0	32.8	2.2	2.0	1.6	1.5	1.7	2.0	54.0	1.7	3.9
739	268821	Ft. Cobb	4.2	85.1	38.2	3.4	2.4	2.0	1.8	2.2	2.6	54.4	1.6	4.6
		Perkins	3.0	82.8	38.1	3.4	2.6	1.9	1.6	1.0	2.6	55.4	1.6	3.0
		Mean	3.6	84.0	38.2	3.4	2.5	1.9	1.7	1.6	2.6	54.9	1.6	3.8
745	268827	Ft. Cobb	3.6	86.0	48.4	3.2	1.8	2.0	1.4	1.0	1.8	62.2	1.5	3.0
		Perkins	2.6	83.5	38.5	3.2	2.0	2.0	1.6	1.6	2.0	58.8	1.7	2.2
		Mean	3.1	84.8	43.5	3.2	1.9	2.0	1.5	1.3	1.9	60.5	1.6	2.6
748	268831	Ft. Cobb	1.8	86.0	40.9	3.6	1.6	1.2	1.8	1.2	1.8	57.8	1.7	3.2
		Perkins	3.0	81.8	35.3	2.4	2.0	1.6	1.8	1.4	2.2	53.8	1.6	2.8
		Mean	2.4	83.9	38.1	3.0	1.8	1.4	1.8	1.3	2.0	55.8	1.6	3.0

TABLE XXII (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Location	Mean Rank	Peanut Butter %	Gms/100 Seed	Mean Rating of:						Roasted Peanuts		
						Odor	Flavor	Taste	Roast	Texture	Dryness	Cler Score	Roast Score	Rank
807	261954	Ft. Cobb	2.4	85.7	45.5	2.2	1.6	1.2	2.0	1.0	1.8	56.4	1.8	2.8
		Perkins	3.0	84.0	45.2	2.4	2.4	1.8	1.8	1.0	2.4	57.6	1.9	2.8
		Mean	2.7	84.8	45.4	2.3	2.0	1.5	1.9	1.0	2.1	57.0	1.9	2.8
808	261955	Ft. Cobb	1.8	85.5	49.5	3.0	1.4	1.0	1.6	1.0	1.6	72.6	1.6	1.6
		Perkins	4.0	84.5	44.9	2.4	2.6	2.2	2.0	1.6	2.4	54.8	1.6	3.0
		Mean	2.9	85.0	47.2	2.7	2.0	1.6	1.8	1.3	2.0	63.7	1.6	2.3
819	262099	Ft. Cobb	4.6	73.7	45.2	3.8	3.0	2.6	3.0	1.2	1.8	46.2	1.8	3.6
		Perkins	4.2	83.8	45.7	3.6	2.4	2.2	1.8	1.6	2.4	58.4	1.5	3.2
		Mean	4.4	78.8	45.4	3.7	2.7	2.4	2.4	1.4	2.1	52.3	1.7	3.4
824	247375	Ft. Cobb	4.4	85.5	50.9	3.2	2.8	2.4	2.0	1.8	3.0	73.4	1.6	1.6
		Perkins	4.8	80.0	45.0	3.4	3.4	3.0	3.6	2.2	3.6	52.4	1.6	3.8
		Mean	4.6	82.8	48.0	3.3	3.1	2.7	2.8	2.0	3.3	62.9	1.6	2.7
828	269719	Ft. Cobb	2.4	86.1	50.3	2.8	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.0	2.0	62.0	1.6	3.4
		Perkins	2.0	83.3	41.1	3.2	1.8	1.6	2.0	1.2	2.6	59.6	1.4	3.2
		Mean	2.2	84.7	45.7	3.0	1.7	1.6	1.9	1.1	2.3	60.8	1.5	3.3
836	268612	Ft. Cobb	3.2	85.4	49.6	3.2	2.2	1.4	1.8	1.0	2.0	60.2	1.6	2.6
		Perkins	2.6	84.3	46.3	3.2	2.0	2.0	1.4	1.0	1.8	52.4	1.5	3.2
		Mean	2.9	84.8	48.0	3.2	2.1	1.7	1.6	1.0	1.9	56.3	1.6	2.9
839	268619	Ft. Cobb	2.6	86.6	48.3	3.0	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.0	1.6	60.6	1.5	2.6
		Perkins	3.8	75.4	48.5	1.8	2.4	2.4	1.8	1.0	2.2	63.6	1.6	2.4
		Mean	3.2	81.0	48.4	2.4	2.1	2.0	1.7	1.0	1.9	62.1	1.6	2.5
846	268640	Ft. Cobb	4.0	82.6	38.4	3.2	2.4	2.0	2.4	1.2	2.0	65.2	1.5	2.6
		Perkins	3.2	86.4	41.0	3.4	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.8	67.6	1.5	2.6
		Mean	3.6	84.5	39.7	3.3	2.1	1.8	2.0	1.4	1.9	66.4	1.5	2.6
855	268655	Ft. Cobb	2.6	85.9	41.8	2.8	2.0	1.4	2.0	1.2	1.6	66.6	1.6	3.2
		Perkins	3.8	85.2	41.9	3.2	2.6	2.0	2.0	1.4	2.0	64.8	1.6	3.0
		Mean	3.2	85.6	41.9	3.0	2.3	1.7	2.0	1.3	1.8	65.7	1.6	3.1
860	268680	Ft. Cobb	2.4	85.5	44.7	3.4	2.0	1.6	1.8	1.8	2.0	55.8	1.7	2.8
		Perkins	2.6	81.2	39.4	3.2	2.0	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.4	48.4	1.7	3.4
		Mean	2.5	83.4	42.1	3.3	2.0	1.7	1.8	1.9	2.2	52.1	1.7	3.1

TABLE XXII (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	P.I. No.	Location	Mean Rank	Peanut Butter %	Gms/100 Seed	Mean Rating of:						Roasted Peanuts		
						Odor	Flavor	Taste	Roast	Texture	Dryness	Cler Score	Roast Score	Rank
861	268681	Ft. Cobb	2.2	84.8	44.7	3.4	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.4	63.6	1.6	1.6
		Perkins	2.4	83.4	41.6	3.0	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.0	1.8	59.4	1.5	1.9
		Mean	2.3	84.1	43.2	3.2	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.2	1.6	61.5	1.5	1.7
862	268682	Ft. Cobb	4.0	86.3	46.5	2.8	2.2	1.4	1.8	2.0	2.2	59.4	1.5	3.6
		Perkins	2.8	86.0	38.3	2.2	2.0	1.4	2.4	1.6	2.2	54.8	1.8	3.6
		Mean	3.4	86.2	42.4	2.5	2.1	1.4	2.1	1.8	2.2	57.1	1.7	3.6
873	268756	Ft. Cobb	3.0	86.9	48.8	2.2	1.6	2.0	2.2	1.4	2.2	58.4	1.5	3.6
		Perkins	3.6	82.2	40.9	2.6	2.2	1.6	1.2	1.6	2.2	68.0	1.5	2.6
		Mean	3.3	84.6	44.9	2.4	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.5	2.2	63.2	1.5	3.1
881	268829	Ft. Cobb	5.8	86.1	48.2	3.8	3.2	3.0	2.0	1.0	1.8	50.0	1.7	4.6
		Perkins	3.2	86.6	39.7	2.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.8	59.4	1.5	3.8
		Mean	4.5	86.4	44.0	3.3	2.5	2.4	1.9	1.5	2.3	54.7	1.6	4.2
882	270767	Ft. Cobb	2.2	82.6	48.4	3.0	1.6	1.0	2.2	1.0	1.2	66.6	1.7	1.6
		Perkins	2.6	84.2	42.1	3.4	1.8	1.6	2.0	1.0	2.6	55.4	1.8	3.8
		Mean	2.4	83.4	45.2	3.2	1.7	1.3	2.1	1.0	1.9	61.0	1.8	2.7
885	270793	Ft. Cobb	3.4	85.9	42.8	3.6	2.2	2.0	1.6	1.6	2.0	55.0	1.4	2.6
		Perkins	3.4	83.3	43.0	3.4	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.8	2.4	67.2	1.5	3.6
		Mean	3.4	84.6	42.9	3.5	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.7	2.2	61.1	1.4	3.1
887	270795	Ft. Cobb	3.6	82.0	45.6	2.6	2.2	2.2	2.0	1.8	2.4	55.8	1.7	4.0
		Perkins	3.4	85.1	42.7	1.8	2.4	1.8	2.2	1.6	2.8	61.2	1.8	2.4
		Mean	3.5	83.6	44.2	2.2	2.3	2.0	2.1	1.7	2.6	58.5	1.8	3.2
889	270842	Ft. Cobb	3.6	84.2	44.1	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.4	1.0	2.2	70.8	1.4	1.8
		Perkins	4.6	84.4	46.2	3.0	2.6	1.8	1.6	1.6	2.2	56.0	1.6	3.8
		Mean	4.1	84.3	45.2	2.5	2.3	1.8	2.0	1.3	2.2	63.4	1.5	2.8
893	259746	Ft. Cobb	3.2	86.6	49.3	3.6	2.2	2.2	1.6	1.2	1.8	57.2	1.6	2.8
		Perkins	2.6	81.9	42.1	3.4	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.2	51.8	1.9	2.8
		Mean	2.9	84.2	45.7	3.5	2.0	2.0	1.7	1.5	2.0	54.5	1.7	2.8
		Mean	3.1	83.5	40.0	3.0	2.2	1.8	1.9	1.4	2.1	58.7	1.6	3.0
		LSD .05	1.2	N.S.	2.2	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.7	11.5	0.2	1.1
		CV (%)	42.6	2.7	4.0	27.5	31.0	35.7	30.9	54.6	35.2	22.5	12.3	43.3

TABLE XXIII

SUMMARY FOR THE MEAN PERCENTAGE OF OIL AND PROTEIN FOR 1965 AT THE
AGRONOMY RESEARCH STATIONS NEAR PERKINS AND FT. COBB, OKLAHOMA

Okla. P-No.	Oil (%)		Mean	Protein (%)		Mean
	Perkins	Ft. Cobb		Perkins	Ft. Cobb	
2	50.19	51.71	50.95	31.14	32.55	31.84
4	50.21	50.23	50.22	32.29	29.77	31.03
6	50.20	51.63	50.92	31.86	29.35	30.60
17	51.14	49.21	50.18	31.19	30.66	30.92
22	48.05	50.28	49.16	31.14	29.83	30.48
25	47.78	49.39	48.58	29.48	29.56	29.52
293	51.15	50.96	51.06	32.78	31.64	32.21
294	48.99	47.91	48.45	32.01	30.16	31.08
295	50.65	49.70	50.18	31.88	30.66	31.27
301	50.65	52.65	51.65	30.16	28.78	29.47
304	31.14	50.45	50.80	30.53	29.23	29.88
309	51.53	49.98	50.76	31.09	30.48	30.78
315	54.25	51.14	52.70	30.25	29.69	29.97
317	49.47	51.11	50.29	30.97	39.78	30.38
340	50.69	50.07	50.38	30.66	30.86	30.76
342	51.42	49.11	50.26	32.25	31.91	32.08
370	51.40	49.25	50.32	32.25	30.91	31.58
397	51.38	50.36	50.87	31.83	31.01	31.42
415	50.09	50.95	50.52	31.63	31.29	31.46
419	47.33	52.60	49.96	30.17	30.39	30.28
431	49.83	50.32	50.08	29.33	29.36	29.34
438	48.43	50.66	49.54	29.56	29.43	29.50
447	50.15	50.32	50.24	30.83	30.81	30.82
451	48.56	50.42	49.49	31.20	30.52	30.86
463	48.70	49.22	48.96	29.25	30.21	29.73
465	49.19	49.02	49.10	30.11	29.58	29.84
471	49.41	49.71	49.56	31.14	29.26	30.20
477	51.04	51.27	51.16	31.50	31.13	31.32
501	49.36	50.75	50.06	32.73	30.26	31.50
512	50.81	50.58	50.70	31.26	29.45	30.36
516	50.01	49.93	49.97	32.01	32.04	32.02
529	50.06	51.50	50.78	29.79	30.13	29.96
532	49.56	49.40	49.48	32.48	31.07	31.78
552	49.93	49.39	49.66	29.79	29.32	29.56
560	50.40	50.57	50.48	30.91	29.02	29.96
561	51.01	50.17	50.59	30.29	28.79	29.54
567	50.76	48.48	49.62	30.56	31.23	30.90

TABLE XXIII (Continued)

Okla. P-No.	Oil (%)		Mean	Protein (%)		Mean
	Perkins	Ft. Cobb		Perkins	Ft. Cobb	
577	51.36	50.84	51.10	31.14	28.86	30.00
581	50.75	49.95	50.35	30.78	29.69	30.24
591	50.49	49.62	50.06	32.22	29.39	30.80
592	50.31	49.21	49.76	31.33	30.52	30.92
598	49.61	53.02	51.32	30.41	30.28	30.34
600	49.54	51.54	50.54	30.00	30.27	30.14
606	45.70	45.75	45.72	29.79	30.24	30.02
608	49.55	51.82	50.68	30.09	30.02	30.06
609	50.55	51.90	51.22	30.63	29.54	30.08
614	49.19	49.90	49.54	31.70	31.61	31.66
634	48.29	50.57	49.43	31.56	28.79	30.18
643	50.18	51.87	51.02	30.00	28.09	29.04
644	47.46	52.06	49.76	30.73	28.96	29.84
654	48.67	49.66	49.16	31.48	29.10	30.29
675	49.43	50.96	50.20	30.95	29.67	30.31
678	48.03	50.53	49.28	31.76	29.93	30.84
718	49.28	52.12	50.70	30.50	29.38	29.94
731	49.77	51.23	50.50	32.33	29.28	30.80
739	50.78	49.30	50.04	33.95	31.93	32.94
745	50.93	50.59	50.76	31.78	32.29	32.04
748	49.52	49.91	49.72	31.28	29.88	30.58
807	49.15	49.74	49.44	32.44	31.63	32.04
808	47.44	47.93	47.68	33.59	32.16	32.88
819	49.35	50.47	49.91	32.45	31.13	31.79
824	50.01	50.59	50.30	31.48	30.75	31.12
828	50.05	50.33	50.19	31.81	30.07	30.94
836	50.29	50.21	50.25	31.84	31.48	31.66
839	49.22	50.68	49.95	32.31	31.48	31.90
846	51.06	50.84	50.95	30.64	29.92	30.28
855	49.86	51.30	50.58	31.54	30.03	30.78
860	46.32	49.52	47.92	30.89	31.88	31.38
861	49.34	49.65	49.50	29.00	30.73	29.86
862	49.10	49.43	49.26	30.48	30.98	30.73
873	51.39	52.56	51.98	30.16	31.09	30.62
881	51.82	52.31	52.06	30.76	31.08	30.92
882	51.56	50.39	50.98	32.47	29.19	30.83
885	51.84	49.91	50.88	31.00	30.24	30.62
887	52.68	48.78	50.73	30.47	30.73	30.60
889	50.57	49.63	50.10	30.70	31.68	31.19
893	52.49	51.96	52.22	31.03	31.34	31.18
Mean	49.97	50.38	50.17	31.14	30.33	30.74
LSD .05			2.30			1.56
CV (%)			2.29			2.53

APPENDIX B

Rating for Peanut Butter

Date _____

Taster _____

Experiment _____

CODE NO.	ODOR			FLAVOR			COMMENT						
	Superior to Standard	Equal to Standard	Inferior to Standard	Better than Standard	Equal to Standard	Poorer than Standard	ODOR	FLAVOR	TASTE	ROAST	TEXTURE	DRYNESS	Pref. Rank No. 1 Best
1													
2													
3													
4													
5													

ODOR

1. Weak
2. None
3. Moderate
4. Strong

FLAVOR

1. Excellent
2. Good
3. Low
4. Off

TASTE

1. Sweet
2. Fair
3. Bitter
4. Sour

ROAST

1. Excellent
2. Good
3. Under
4. Over

TEXTURE

1. Smooth
2. Mealy
3. Mushy
4. Chunky

DRYNESS

1. Moist
2. Moderate
3. Oily
4. Very Dry

Figure 1. Rating Form for Evaluating Peanut Butter.

Cler Score for Organoleptic Appraisal of Roasted Peanuts

Experiment _____ Taster _____ Date _____

The quality categories for each cotyledon	Panel Code	1		2		3		4		5	
	Peanut No.	Flavor	Roast	Flavor	Roast	Flavor	Roast	Flavor	Roast	Flavor	Roast
	1										
	2										
	3										
	4										
	5										
	15										
	16										
	17										
	18										
	19										
	20										
Cler Score No. and Roasted Average		C.S.	R.A.	C.S.	R.A.	C.S.	R.A.	C.S.	R.A.	C.S.	R.A.
Pref. Rank											
Comment											
Cler Score		100- (5 x No. scored 1) + (4 x No. scored 2) + (2 x No. scored 3) + (0 x No. scored 4)									

Note: Flavor and Roast grade for each cotyledon. Score one of the four qualitative categories in each cell.

FLAVOR

1. Bad off flavor
2. Low level off flavor
3. Low peanut flavor
4. Good peanut flavor

ROAST

1. Excellent
2. Good
3. Under roasted
4. Over roasted

Figure 2. Rating Form for Evaluating Roasted Peanuts.

VITA

Eric Gordon Stone

Candidate for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Thesis: GENETIC, AGRONOMIC, BOTANICAL, PHYSICAL, CHEMICAL, AND
ORGANOLEPTIC EVALUATION OF PEANUTS, ARACHIS HYPOGAEA L.

Major Field: Plant Breeding and Genetics

Biographical:

Personal Data: Born in Blackwell, Oklahoma, September 16, 1931,
the son of Mr. and Mrs. Philip A. Stone.

Education: Graduated from Blackwell High School, Blackwell, Oklahoma,
in 1949; received the Bachelor of Science degree from Oklahoma
State University in 1955, with a major in Agronomy. Attended
United States Air Force Pilot Training School, 1955-1956.
Received the Master of Science degree from Oklahoma State
University in 1964, with a major in Agronomy (Field Crops);
completed requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy degree
at Oklahoma State University in July, 1968.

Professional Experience: Born and reared on a farm. Officer in
United States Air Force, 1955-1960; receiving the Soldier's
Medal. Student-Assistant, Oklahoma Foundation Seed Stocks
Inc., Oklahoma State University, 1961-1963. Graduate
Research and Teaching Assistant from 1963-1967 for the
Department of Agronomy.

Professional Organizations: American Society of Agronomy and
Crops Science of America; American Institute Biological
Science; Society of Sigma Xi.